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## About Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) 2020 Wave 1

## About ASER

Every year from 2005 to 2014, the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) report has provided district, state, and national estimates of the status of children's schooling and foundational learning across rural India. Children in the age group 3 to 16 were surveyed to find out their enrollment status in pre-school or school. Children in the age group 5 to 16 were assessed one-on-one to understand their basic reading and arithmetic abilities.

Starting its second decade of existence in 2016, ASER switched to an alternate-year cycle, where the 'basic' ASER described above is conducted every other year (2016, 2018); and in alternate years, ASER focuses on a different aspect of children's schooling and learning. In 2017, ASER 'Beyond Basics' focused on the abilities, activities, awareness, and aspirations of youth in the 14 to 18 age group across 28 districts in the country. In 2019, ASER 'Early Years' reported on young children's (age 4 to 8 ) pre-school and school enrolment status and their abilities on a range of important developmental indicators across 26 districts in the country.

The COVID-19 crisis interrupted this alternate-year calendar, making it impossible to conduct the nationwide 'basic' ASER that was due to be repeated in 2020. However, the urgent need to systematically examine the effects of the pandemic on schooling and learning opportunities of children across the country was apparent.

## Why ASER 2020 Wave 1?

Recent global estimates suggest that school closures, unequal access to technology-based educational inputs used for remote learning, and other related disruptions due to the pandemic are likely to result in 'learning loss' and higher dropout rates, aggravating existing equity gaps in education among other consequences. In India, numerous studies have been done on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in the country since the first lockdown was announced in March 2020, but very few cover children's education. Although a lot of digital content has been generated and transmitted to help children continue to learn while at home, there is limited evidence on the extent to which this content is in fact reaching children; whether they are engaging with it; and the impact it is having on their participation and learning.

In order to take the unprecedented pandemic-related constraints into account, but at the same time address the urgent need for large scale nationally representative data on children's education, the ASER 2020 survey was adapted to a phone survey format that could be conducted in multiple waves, in order to capture the effects of the pandemic on different aspects of children's education.

## What is ASER 2020 Wave 1?

The ASER 2020 Wave 1 survey was designed to be conducted at a time when schools have not yet reopened and governments and schools are reaching out to children through a variety of remote means with diverse educational content. It explores the provision of, and access to, remote education mechanisms and materials in rural parts of the country, and the ways in which children, families, and educators are engaging with these from their homes.

Objectives: The ASER 2020 Wave 1 survey focuses on the following key questions regarding provision of, access to, engagement with, and challenges concerning remote learning during school closures:

- What resources do families have to support children's learning at home?
- How are families and other community members helping children with learning activities?
- What learning materials and activities are children and families receiving from schools?
- How are families and children accessing learning materials or activities?
- Are children engaging with these learning material and activities?
- What kind of contact do teachers and children/parents have with each other?
- What kinds of challenges are families and teachers facing with regard to remote learning?

Sample: The standard operating procedure for ASER survey includes recording a contact number from each household and school surveyed, where available. These phone numbers are used to monitor and cross-check the data collection effort in that survey year. The ASER 2020 household survey was therefore conducted with a random sample of households with mobile phones drawn from the ASER 2018 data set, selected to generate estimates that are representative at state and all-India levels. In addition, head teachers or teachers from all schools in the ASER 2018 sample were included in the ASER 2020 school survey. Extensive pilots and experiments were conducted to check the feasibility of the ASER 2018 data set as a sampling frame for ASER 2020. For more details on sampling, see the note on Sample design of rural ASER 2020 Wave 1 on page 10. For more details on implementation - survey training, survey data collection process and data quality control - see pages 94 to 114 .

Design: To conduct the survey, phone calls were made to parents/caregivers of children aged 5-16 in 118,838 households as well as head teachers or teachers in 16,761 schools over a span of ten days in September 2020, the sixth month of continuous school closures across the country. Of these, the survey was completed with 52,227 households and 8,963 teachers (see section on Survey coverage on page 8 for more details). Using standardised questionnaires, information was collected separately for each child in the 5-16 age group in each surveyed household. For schools, information was collected for the grade (between Std 1-8) that the teacher could provide the most information for.

## This report uses the ASER 2020 survey data to explore the following areas:

- Children's enrollment: Explores patterns of enrolment and dropout among 6-16 year olds in rural India.
- Children not currently enrolled: Examines which children are currently not enrolled in school and the reasons behind this.
- Household resources: Explores whether households have key resources that can help support children's education. These include parents' own education levels; access to technology such as TV and smartphones; and availability of textbooks.
- Learning support at home: Examines whether and how households support children during school closures. This includes support from family members as well as other support such as paid private tuition.
- Access to and availability of learning materials: Reports whether families received learning materials or activities from schools, and the mediums through which they accessed these.
- Children's engagement with learning materials and activities: Analyses the extent to which children actually engaged with different kinds of materials and activities received from any source; as well as the nature of contact between families and schools during the lockdown.
- School survey: Explores teachers' preparation for and implementation of remote teaching-learning activities with their students, and whether they received any help from the community to support children's learning during school closures.


## Survey call summary




## Survey process summary

## 1. STARTING THE SURVEY

Surveyor keeps her mobile phone charged, and all printed formats (Call Log Sheet and Household \& School Survey Sheets) handy; and then starts the calling process based on the list of sampled phone numbers provided.

## 4. ADMINISTERING THE HOUSEHOLD AND SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRES

## 2. MAINTAINING CALL RECORDS

While making the calls, surveyor records the Call Connection and Survey Completion status for each household and school in the Call Log Sheet. She also makes additional attempts to numbers that do not connect in the first attempt, at different time intervals.

## 3. TALKING TO THE RESPONDENTS

Surveyor takes information about remote teaching \& learning activities from school headmasters or teachers for grades 1-8, \& from households for children age 5-16 years. She fills the data in the respective formats.

## 5. DATA ENTRY AND RECHECK

The surveyor enters the data from the call $\log$ and survey sheets into the mobile app for the survey. She then sends formats for recheck. The Pratham/ASER state and central teams perform various quality checks.
Surveyor introduces herself and the survey on the call. She explains the objectives of the survey clearly to the respondent using a standardised introductory script.


## Sample design of rural ASER 2020 Wave 1

Since 2005, ASER has been providing comparable estimates of learning and schooling at the elementary stage. The 'basic' ASER, measuring foundational reading and arithmetic abilities of children in the school-going age group, was done annually from 2005 to 2014 and on a biennial basis from 2016 onwards. Therefore, it was scheduled to be conducted in 2020. While the design, training, monitoring and data analysis of ASER is done by ASER Centre and Pratham teams, the actual survey is done by volunteers in the field. The first lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic commenced on March 22, 2020 and was extended multiple times in a variety of ways. Given how fast the pandemic was spreading, it was soon clear that it would not be possible to conduct a field survey in 2020, especially not with volunteers.

However, given that schools closed as early as March 2020 and are yet to open, it was also clear that it was extremely important to conduct ASER this year to be able to gauge the impact of the pandemic on children's enrollment and learning. Further, the impact of the pandemic on different aspects of education would be felt at different times. Therefore, ASER 2020 was designed to be conducted in multiple waves to measure different aspects of the COVID-19 impact. The first wave, conducted during September 2020, focused on children's access to and use of learning materials during the period when schools were closed.

The challenge of conducting a field survey during a pandemic was met by conducting a phone-based survey. However, if estimates representative at various geographic levels were to be obtained, a sampling frame of phone numbers was required at the All India level. Unfortunately, no such frame exists in the public domain. A possible solution to the lack of a frame was suggested by the ASER methodology. As part of the ASER survey, phone numbers of sampled households are recorded for monitoring and recheck purposes. Since ASER is representative at the district level its sample size is fairly large - about 350,000 households across 17,500 villages and almost 600 districts. The need for such a large sample is necessitated by representation at the district level - to get representative estimates at the state and national levels such large sample sizes are not necessary. For instance, NSS surveys that are representative at the state and national levels have a sample size about a third as large as ASER.

Therefore, the ASER 2018 sample was used as a frame to draw the ASER 2020 sample that would be representative at the state and national levels. Drawing the new sample would require adding a third stage to ASER's existing two-stage sample design, to exclude households without mobile phones. With $90 \%$ mobile coverage in rural India, the extent of the self-selection bias due to uncovered populations would be small. A larger problem was that the ASER 2018 sample was two years old. With people moving, changing their mobile numbers, etc., it was possible that a large percentage of households would not be reachable. However, pan-India pilots suggested a fairly good reach (of about $70 \%$ ); extensive experiments were also conducted to validate the frame.

In normal years, including 2018, ASER has a two-stage sample design. In the first stage, for each rural district, 30 villages are randomly selected from the Census 2011 village directory. Villages are selected using the probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling method. This method allows villages with larger populations to have a higher chance of being selected in the sample. It is most useful when the first stage sampling units vary considerably in size, because it ensures that households in larger villages have the same probability of getting into the sample as those in smaller villages, and vice versa. ${ }^{1,2}$ In the second stage 20 households are randomly selected in each of the 30 selected villages in the first stage - giving a total sample of 600 households per district. This sampling strategy generates a representative picture of each district. All rural districts are surveyed. The estimates obtained are then aggregated to the state and all-India levels. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]ASER 2020 sampled 7 households with a mobile phone from each of the sampled ASER 2018 villages, giving a sample size of 210 households in each rural district. While this may not be sufficient to generate precise district level estimates, it is large enough to get good state level and national estimates. Like the standard ASER, the coverage of ASER 2020 is the rural household population of India.

To summarize, ASER 2020 has a three-stage clustered design. In the first stage 30 households are sampled from the Census 2011 village directory using PPS. In the second stage, 20 households are randomly selected from each of the sampled villages. And, in the third stage, 7 households with mobile phones are randomly sampled from the 20 selected households in each of the 30 sampled villages in each rural district. All children in the age group of 5-16 years are surveyed in the households selected in the third stage.

In normal years, including 2018, ASER surveyors also visit a government primary or upper primary school in each sampled village, to record data on attendance and provision and usability of facilities. In each visited school, the phone number of the headmaster or a teacher is recorded for monitoring purposes. In ASER 2020, the entire ASER 2018 school sample was retained to explore whether schools shared learning materials during the period of school closures, how they shared this material, and what contact they had with parents and the village community.

ASER 2020 provides estimates at the state and national levels. In order to aggregate estimates up from the district level households have to be assigned weights --- also called inflation factors. The inflation factor corresponding to a particular household denotes the number of households that the sampled household represents in the population. Given that 210 households are sampled in each district regardless of the size of the district, a household in a larger district will represent many more households and, therefore, have a larger weight associated with it than one in a sparsely populated district. ${ }^{4}$

In ASER's two-stage design, the sample is self-weighting at the district level - weights are the same for all households within a district. However, since ASER 2020 adds another stage of sampling based on mobile phone coverage, the sample is no longer self-weighting; rather, weights will differ across villages. ${ }^{5}$ All estimates at the state and national levels are weighted, since states have a different number of districts and villages which vary by population.

[^1]
## Sample description of ASER 2020 Wave 1

| State | Census 2011 <br> Actual <br> Districts | Surveyed Districts | Surveyed Villages | Sampled Households | Households connected | Surveyed Households | Wave 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surveyed children |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Children age 5-16 | Std 1-2 | Std 3-5 | Std 6-8 | Std 9-12 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 13 | 13 | 388 | 2715 | 1829 | 1442 | 1041 | 155 | 266 | 298 | 227 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 16 | 8 | 202 | 1409 | 637 | 428 | 480 | 93 | 132 | 131 | 79 |
| Assam | 27 | 26 | 727 | 5079 | 2619 | 2099 | 2162 | 425 | 552 | 584 | 79 |
| Bihar | 38 | 38 | 1136 | 7947 | 4071 | 2913 | 4862 | 877 | 1202 | 1125 | 1001 |
| Chhattisgarh | 18 | 16 | 459 | 3206 | 1570 | 1068 | 1261 | 207 | 310 | 324 | 312 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 1 | 1 | 28 | 208 | 126 | 84 | 65 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 17 |
| Daman and Diu | 2 | 2 | 17 | 227 | 147 | 125 | 93 | 27 | 32 | 24 | 9 |
| Goa | 2 | 2 | 45 | 314 | 198 | 92 | 54 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 14 |
| Gujarat | 26 | 26 | 759 | 5303 | 3303 | 2605 | 1892 | 364 | 611 | 457 | 339 |
| Haryana | 21 | 21 | 627 | 4398 | 3064 | 2184 | 2442 | 400 | 638 | 680 | 496 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 12 | 12 | 357 | 2511 | 1669 | 1470 | 1697 | 263 | 460 | 442 | 456 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 22 | 14 | 405 | 2819 | 1678 | 1174 | 1650 | 286 | 422 | 441 | 316 |
| Jharkhand | 24 | 24 | 662 | 4619 | 1962 | 1358 | 2078 | 379 | 526 | 555 | 388 |
| Karnataka | 30 | 30 | 900 | 6155 | 3922 | 3128 | 4008 | 567 | 1007 | 1167 | 808 |
| Kerala | 14 | 12 | 351 | 2505 | 1931 | 1264 | 742 | 113 | 188 | 197 | 176 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 50 | 50 | 1471 | 10289 | 5503 | 4218 | 4985 | 770 | 1301 | 1306 | 1134 |
| Maharashtra | 33 | 33 | 981 | 6863 | 4209 | 3409 | 3891 | 626 | 1068 | 1174 | 741 |
| Manipur | 9 | 9 | 239 | 1717 | 884 | 678 | 1048 | 169 | 274 | 278 | 166 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 7 | 173 | 1200 | 497 | 336 | 584 | 116 | 169 | 131 | 58 |
| Nagaland | 11 | 11 | 312 | 2238 | 1163 | 883 | 1169 | 181 | 306 | 323 | 146 |
| Odisha | 30 | 30 | 817 | 5701 | 2966 | 2378 | 2661 | 410 | 687 | 740 | 552 |
| Puducherry | 2 | 2 | 55 | 409 | 269 | 171 | 90 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 20 |
| Punjab | 20 | 20 | 595 | 4142 | 2821 | 2434 | 2010 | 315 | 475 | 536 | 516 |
| Rajasthan | 33 | 33 | 984 | 6888 | 4466 | 3340 | 4292 | 697 | 1041 | 1131 | 954 |
| Tamil Nadu | 31 | 31 | 923 | 6472 | 4058 | 2928 | 2134 | 242 | 494 | 565 | 529 |
| Telangana | 9 | 9 | 268 | 1876 | 1383 | 1151 | 1050 | 161 | 286 | 250 | 193 |
| Tripura | 4 | 4 | 118 | 826 | 392 | 295 | 196 | 29 | 49 | 55 | 45 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 71 | 70 | 2096 | 14662 | 8299 | 5912 | 7882 | 1376 | 2009 | 1848 | 1307 |
| Uttarakhand | 13 | 13 | 374 | 2614 | 1501 | 1042 | 1163 | 165 | 281 | 337 | 261 |
| West Bengal | 18 | 17 | 505 | 3526 | 2088 | 1618 | 1569 | 273 | 412 | 400 | 302 |
| All India | 607 | 584 | 16974 | 118838 | 69225 | 52227 | 59251 | 9710 | 15239 | 15550 | 11940 |

** Andhra Pradesh was bifurcated into Telangana and Andhra Pradesh in 2014. As a result, the sample frames of Census 2011 do not have the new state divisions. Of the 22 districts in
undivided Andhra Pradesh, 9 rural districts are located in Telangana and the remaining 13 districts are located in Andhra Pradesh. ASER estimates for the two states are based on this separation of districts.
$* * *$ Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.
$* * * *$ ASER 2020 Wave 1 was not conducted in Sikkim and Mizoram.

## ASER 2020 Wave 1 (Rural) findings - India



## Children's school enrollment

The ASER 2020 Wave 1 phone survey was conducted during late September 2020. This section explores patterns of enrollment among 6-16 year olds in rural India.

## Have enrollment patterns changed as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?

Beyond the health consequences of COVID-19, the pandemic has caused school closures as well as economic hardships due to migration and loss of livelihoods, among other reasons. ASER 2020 explored whether this unprecedented situation has caused shifts in children's enrollment patterns in rural India.

Table 1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 6-14: All | 65.8 | 28.8 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 65.5 | 28.6 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 64.3 | 30.5 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 60.9 | 33.6 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 68.1 | 27.0 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 68.0 | 27.4 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 64.5 | 30.9 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 71.9 | 23.5 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 62.1 | 27.3 | 0.6 | 9.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 60.8 | 29.7 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 63.6 | 24.8 | 0.5 | 11.1 | 100 |

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.
'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or are not currently enrolled.

Table 1 summarizes enrollment data for different age groups in the ASER 2020 sample. For children in the 6-14 age group, these data show that overall, more than $60 \%$ of all children are enrolled in government schools and close to $30 \%$ are enrolled in private schools.

This marks a change from two years ago, when the last comparable ASER survey was conducted (Table 2).
There has been a clear shift from private to government schools between 2018 and 2020, in all grades and among both boys and girls (Table 2). Reasons may include financial distress in households and/or permanent school shutdowns among the private schools.

Table 2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 100 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 100 | 66.7 | 33.4 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 62.7 | 37.3 | 100 | 71.2 | 28.8 | 100 | 65.6 | 34.4 | 100 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 65.8 | 34.3 | 100 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 100 | 68.3 | 31.7 | 100 | 77.0 | 23.0 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 64.6 | 35.4 | 100 | 68.9 | 31.2 | 100 | 69.7 | 30.4 | 100 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 100 |
| All | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 100 | 66.4 | 33.6 | 100 | 73.0 | 27.0 | 100 |

[^2]
## India rural

## Children not enrolled in school

One widely anticipated consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic was that many more children would drop out of school. Although the true picture will only be known once schools reopen, ASER 2020 asked whether children were currently enrolled for the school year 2020-21.

## Are fewer children enrolled in 2020 than before?

Table 3: \% Children not enrolled in school. By age group and sex. 2018 and 2020*

|  | $\%$ Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Boys | Girls | All | Boys | Girls | All |
| Age 6-10 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Age 11-14 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Age 15-16 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 9.9 |
| All | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 |

Table 3 compares the proportion of children not enrolled in school in 2018 and 2020, separately for different age groups. These data show that while there have indeed been changes in children's enrollment status, these vary across age groups.

- Among boys in the 6-10 age group, for example, there has been a sharp increase in the proportion of children not currently enrolled, from $1.8 \%$ in 2018 to $5.3 \%$ in 2020; with a similar increase among girls in this age group.
- However, this proportion has increased much less among children in the 11-14 age group, among both boys and girls.
- The proportion of children not currently enrolled has actually decreased over 2018 levels among the 15-16 year old age group.


## Why the spike in children who are not enrolled in school, especially among young children?

Chart 1: \% Children not enrolled in school. By age and sex. 2018 and 2020*



With schools closed, in a sense all children are currently out of school, and the 'true' proportion of out of school children is difficult to measure. However, the age-wise breakdown of children in the 6-10 age group who are not currently enrolled shows that while the increase in this proportion over 2018 is visible at each of these ages, the biggest spike is visible for the youngest children - those who are 6 years old, especially among girls (Chart 1).

To understand these patterns better, parents of children who are not currently enrolled were asked which year the child had dropped out and why this was the case. Their responses show that across the entire 6-16 age group surveyed, more than half of the children not currently enrolled had 'dropped out' in 2020. However, the vast majority of these children are not 'dropouts' in the usual sense of the term: they are awaiting admission to school. This is particularly true for children in the 6-10 age group, and explains the spike visible among the 6 year olds in particular.

> Because schools are closed, many young children have not yet secured admission to Std 1. The increase in not enrolled children in the 6-10 age group is therefore likely to be more a reflection of children waiting to enroll in school rather than of children who have indeed dropped out.

[^3]
## India rural

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Household resources

A family's resources influence the type and amount of support they can provide for children's learning, not only in terms of choosing a school to send their child to but in many other ways as well. ASER 2020 asked questions about selected household resources, such as parents' own education levels; access to technology such as TV and smartphones; and availability of textbooks for the current grade. Other than the availability of textbooks, ASER 2020 Wave 1 did not explore if the household had other learning materials like other books, instructional games, etc.

How much schooling do parents of children in the ASER 2020 sample have?

Table 4: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

| Parents' <br> education <br> level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| No schooling | 35.0 | 22.7 | 31.3 | 18.9 | 9.5 | 16.1 |
| Std I-V | 17.7 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 13.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 19.2 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 15.4 | 19.2 |
| Std IX-X | 18.8 | 23.6 | 20.3 | 26.3 | 29.9 | 27.4 |
|  <br> above | 9.4 | 24.7 | 14.0 | 18.2 | 37.9 | 24.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 5: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources. 2020

| Parents <br> education | \% Children | Of Whose children, <br> households <br> have | \% Enrolled in <br> Govt school <br> smartphones |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | 22.5 | 45.1 | 84.0 |
| Medium | 49.9 | 60.2 | 71.6 |
| High | 27.6 | 78.7 | 53.9 |
| All | 100 | 61.9 | 69.5 |

We categorize parents' education as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std $V$ or less (including those with no schooling). At the other end of the spectrum, the 'high' parental education category comprises families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

Increasingly, parents of children currently in school have been to school themselves.

In ASER 2020, for example, Table 4 shows that under a third of mothers ( $31.3 \%$ ) and even fewer fathers ( $16.6 \%$ ) have no schooling.

## More than half of all mothers (53.1\%) and an even higher proportion of fathers ( $70.8 \%$ ) have completed more than 5 years of school.

ASER does not collect information on household income, but parents' education levels can be used as a proxy for the household's socio-economic status. On average, more educated parents have households with higher incomes. Table 5 shows, for example, that as parents' education level increases, the likelihood that the household has a smartphone also increases; and the probability that the sampled child is studying in a government school decreases:

- Almost a quarter of all children have parents in the 'low' education category $(22.5 \%)$. The vast majority of these children study in government schools ( $84 \%$ ) and less than half of their families have a smartphone ( $45.1 \%$ ).
- Similar proportions of children have parents in the 'high' education category ( $27.6 \%$ ). But a far lower proportion are in government schools ( $53.9 \%$ ), while most have families with a smartphone ( $78.7 \%$ ).



## Do children have textbooks at home?

Table 6: \% Enrolled children who have textbooks for their current grade. By grade and school type. 2020

| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 79.8 | 69.7 | 76.2 |
| Std III-V | 85.5 | 72.0 | 81.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 86.3 | 73.7 | 82.8 |
| Std IX \& above | 82.7 | 73.5 | 80.0 |
| AlI | 84.1 | 72.2 | 80.5 |

Table 6 indicates that in all grades, a very high proportion of children have textbooks for their current grade. For every grade, the percentage of children in government schools who have textbooks is higher than among children in private schools.



## Do children have a smartphone at home?

Table 7: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 29.6 | 49.9 | 36.5 | 56.4 | 74.2 | 61.8 |
| TV | 54.8 | 72.5 | 60.7 | 56.0 | 71.9 | 60.8 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 39.1 | 62.5 | 46.9 | 43.5 | 64.7 | 49.9 |

The comparison between ASER 2018 and 2020 shows that a much higher proportion of children now come from households with a smartphone as compared to two years ago (Table 7).

## Although the proportion of children from households with assets like TV and motorized vehicles changed only slightly over the last two years, the proportion owning a smartphone increased enormously - from $36.5 \%$ to $\mathbf{6 1 . 8 \%}$.

The percentage point increase in smartphone ownership was similar in households of children enrolled in government and private schools. Among children enrolled in both government and private schools, about 1 in every 10 households bought a new phone to support their children's education after schools closed in March 2020 (Table 8). Most often parents purchased a smartphone. But even among children who did not have a smartphone at home, about 1 in every 10 was able to access a smartphone elsewhere, for example from a neighbour.

Table 8: \% Enrolled children with access to smartphones. By school type. 2020

| School type | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | If no smartphone in the household, then \% children who have access to any other smartphone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of smartphones in the household |  |  |  |  | Bought a new phone for children's education since the lockdown began | If bought a new phone, then type of phone purchased |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | smartphone | 1 | 2 | more | Total |  | Regular phone | Smartphone |  |
| Govt | 43.6 | 43.6 | 9.7 | 3.1 | 100 | 10.2 | 20.1 | 80.6 | 12.6 |
| Pvt | 25.8 | 50.3 | 16.7 | 7.2 | 100 | 13.2 | 15.7 | 83.8 | 13.1 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 38.2 | 45.6 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 100 | 11.1 | 18.5 | 81.7 | 12.7 |
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## Learning support for children at home

The previous section summarized what households have, in terms of the availability of some key resources that they can use to support children's learning. This section examines some dimensions of what households do, in order to provide learning support to children during the period of school closures. This includes support from family members as well as other support such as paid private tuition.

## Do families help children while studying at home?

Table 9: \% Enrolled children who receive help from family members while studying at home. By grade and school type. 2020

| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 78.6 | 86.7 | 81.5 |
| Std III-V | 75.3 | 81.7 | 77.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 70.8 | 79.1 | 73.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 66.9 | 71.7 | 68.3 |
| All | 72.6 | 80.0 | 74.9 |

Table 9 shows the proportion of children who receive help at home for learning activities.

- Taking all children across different grades together, close to three quarters of all children receive help from family members.
- For both types of schools, more younger children receive help from families than older children. Overall, $81.5 \%$ children in Std I-II receive help from family members as compared to $68.3 \%$ children in Std IX and above.
- For each grade level, private school children get more help than government school children. For example, for children in Std III-V, 75.3\% government school children receive help as compared to $81.7 \%$ of children enrolled in private schools.


## Which family members help children to study at home?

Chart 2: \% Enrolled children who receive help at home. By grade and family member. 2020

'Other' includes uncle, aunt, cousin or any other family member.
The surveyed household was asked about who helps children most often with studying at home. Options included mother, father, older siblings and others.

Data indicate that as children move into higher grades, fewer get help from family members, especially mothers. For example, $33 \%$ of Std III children receive help from their mothers but only $15 \%$ of Std IX \& above children are helped by their mothers.

However, help from older siblings increases as children move to higher grades.


## India rural

## Does parents ${ }^{\prime}$ education level influence whether children get learning support at home?

Clearly, the more educated the parents, the more help their children receive. Among families where both parents have completed Std IX or more (the 'high' category), for example, close to $45 \%$ children receive help from their mothers (Chart 3). These trends do not vary much across government and private school children (Table 10).

Chart 3: \% Enrolled children who receive help at home. By parents' education and family member. 2020

'Other' includes uncle, aunt, cousin or any other family member.
We categorize parents' education as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std V or less (including those with no schooling). At the other end of the spectrum, the 'high' parental education category comprises families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

Table 10: \% Enrolled children who receive family support for learning. By parents' education and type of school. 2020.

| Parents' <br> education | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | 55.0 | 54.0 | 54.8 |
| Medium | 75.5 | 78.9 | 76.5 |
| High | 89.4 | 89.4 | 89.4 |
| All | 72.9 | 80.3 | 75.2 |



## Although school closures had relatively little impact on children's tuition, these data reveal significant family support for children's education even among children whose parents have only studied up to Std V or less (the 'low' category of education).

For example, among children whose parents have completed Std V or less,

- A little more than half of these children get help at home, whether they study in government or private school (Table 10).
- $14 \%$ receive help from their fathers and almost $8 \%$ from their mothers (Chart 3 ).
- Further, if parents have low levels of education, older siblings and others play a more significant role (Chart 3).


## Are children taking tuition classes while schools are closed?

Table 11: \% Enrolled children taking tuition. By school type and tuition category. 2020

| School type | \% Children currently taking tuition |  | \% Children currently not taking tuition |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Started before the lockdown | Started after the lockdown | Not taking tuition even before the lockdown | Discontinued tuition after the lockdown |  |
| Govt | 26.9 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 11.2 | 100 |
| Pvt | 21.8 | 8.1 | 58.7 | 11.4 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 25.4 | 5.8 | 57.6 | 11.3 | 100 |

## Access to and availability of learning materials and activities

The ASER 2020 survey asked households whether schools had sent learning materials or activities for children during the week prior to the survey (the reference week), which was carried out in September 2020 when schools across the country were closed. Learning materials included traditional materials like textbooks and worksheets in print or virtual form; online or recorded classes; and videos or other activities sent via phone or received in person.

## Did children receive any learning materials or activities during the reference week?*

## Overall, approximately one third of all enrolled children received some kind of learning materials or activities from their teachers during the reference week (Table 12).

A slightly larger proportion of students in higher classes received materials as compared to lower classes. For example, close to $38 \%$ of high school students received materials as compared to $30.8 \%$ of children in Std I-II.

A higher percentage of private school children received learning materials/activities as compared to government school children in the same grades.

Table 12: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 27.9 | 35.8 | 30.8 |
| Std III-V | 33.7 | 40.4 | 35.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 35.4 | 42.7 | 37.4 |
| Std IX \& above | 34.8 | 43.4 | 37.3 |
| All | 33.5 | 40.6 | 35.6 |

Through what medium did children receive learning materials or activities?


Table 13: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

| School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal <br> visit | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt | 67.3 | 12.3 | 31.8 | 5.6 |
| Pvt | 87.2 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 5.8 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 74.2 | 11.5 | 24.8 | 5.7 |

Answer options were read out; respondents could select more than one option.

As noted above, only a third of all children received materials or activities during the reference week.

But those who did receive material, received it in a variety of ways.
Regardless of school type, WhatsApp was by far the most common medium used for sharing learning materials and activities, followed by phone calls and visits.
A higher proportion of students enrolled in private schools received materials through WhatsApp than their counterparts in government schools. Accessing materials/opportunities via phone calls or visits was more common among children enrolled in government schools.
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| School <br> type | 1 | Number of mediums |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt | 85.8 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Pvt | 88.3 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 86.7 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 100 |

Table 15: \% Enrolled children who received materials from only one medium. By smartphone availability and medium. 2020

| Smartphone <br> availability | WhatsApp | Phone <br> call | Personal <br> visit | Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 83.9 | 2.8 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 100 |
| No | 23.4 | 11.8 | 57.1 | 7.8 | 100 |
| All | 72.2 | 4.6 | 20.5 | 2.7 | 100 |

Answer options were read out; respondents could select more than one option.

Despite the variety of ways in which children could have accessed learning materials and activities, during the reference week most children - more than $86 \%$ - received these materials in just one way (Table 14).

If a smartphone was available in the family, it is very likely that the child's access to available material was via WhatsApp (Table 15). Interestingly, even among children whose families had no smartphones, almost a fourth ( $23.4 \%$ ) were able to access WhatsApp using someone else's smartphone. However, in families that had no smartphones, more than half of all children availed of materials through physical visits (either going to the school or the teacher coming to the home).


## If households did not access learning materials or activities during the reference week, what did they say was the reason?

Table 16: Of enrolled children who did not receive learning materials/activities during the reference week reasons given by parents. By school type and reason. 2020

| School type | School not sending | No internet | No smartphone | Connectivity issues | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt | 68.5 | 10.7 | 25.8 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| Pvt | 66.9 | 11.6 | 20.4 | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 68.1 | 11.0 | 24.3 | 5.1 | 4.8 |

Respondents could specify more than one reason.
Families cited different reasons for why their children did not receive learning materials or activities during the reference week. Across children enrolled in both government and private schools, most parents said that the school had not sent materials ( $68.1 \%$ ). Overall, almost a quarter of sampled children's parents mentioned not having a smartphone as a reason $(24.3 \%)$, with more parents of children enrolled in government school highlighting this reason (25.8\%) than those enrolled in private school (20.4\%).

## Children's engagement with learning materials and activities

While the previous section looked at whether households received learning materials and activities from schools in the week prior to the survey in September 2020, this section analyses whether children actually engaged with different kinds of materials and activities during that week. Households were asked about a variety of materials and activities received from any source, including traditional materials like textbooks and worksheets (in print or virtual format), lessons that were broadcast on television or radio; and online activities such as pre-recorded videos or live classes.

## Did children do learning activities during the reference week?

Table 17: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By grade and type of material. 2020

|  | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Std I-II | 55.6 | 33.5 | 15.7 | 2.3 | 16.6 | 7.3 |
| Std III-V | 60.2 | 35.5 | 19.7 | 2.7 | 19.7 | 8.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 60.7 | 36.0 | 20.8 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 11.5 |
|  <br> above | 61.2 | 35.5 | 21.5 | 2.6 | 27.5 | 16.3 |
| All | 59.7 | 35.3 | 19.6 | 2.7 | 21.5 | 11.0 |

Table 18: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school type and type of material. 2020

|  | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book <br> Videos/ <br> re- <br> sheet | TV | RadioLive <br> corded <br> online <br> classes |  |  |  |
| Govt | 59.5 | 34.1 | 20.2 | 2.8 | 18.3 | 8.1 |
| Pvt | 60.1 | 38.0 | 18.4 | 2.3 | 28.7 | 17.7 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 59.7 | 35.3 | 19.6 | 2.7 | 21.5 | 11.0 |

> Even though only a third of all children received materials from their schools during the reference week, households reported that most children did do some learning activity during that week.

These activities were shared by diverse sources such as schools, families, and private tutors, among others. Students in higher grades were more likely to be connected to online classes or video recordings as compared to their younger counterparts (Table 17).

While the proportion of children doing different types of activities is quite similar for government and private schools, there is one significant difference. Children enrolled in private schools were much more likely to be connected to online classes and recorded video lessons. For example,

- While close to $60 \%$ of all children in both types of schools reported using textbooks durnig the reference week, $28.7 \%$ of private school children reported using recorded video lessons as opposed to $18.3 \%$ of government school children.
- Further, $17.7 \%$ children in private schools accessed live online classes during the reference week as compared to $8.1 \%$ of government school children (Table 18).


## How much did children do during the reference week?

Table 19: \% Enrolled children by the number of learning activities done during the reference week. By school type and number of activities. 2020

| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt | 30.5 | 26.2 | 24.2 | 19.1 | 100 |
| Pvt | 28.1 | 21.0 | 24.2 | 26.7 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 29.8 | 24.6 | 24.2 | 21.4 | 100 |

Based on responses from households, $30.5 \%$ students in government schools and $28.1 \%$ children in private schools did not do any of these activities during the reference week.

Close to a fifth of all children did three activities or more. In this category, there is higher proportion of private school students $(26.7 \%)$ as compared to government school students ( $19.1 \%$ ).

## How much contact was there between school and home during the reference week? And since schools closed?

Even when schools are closed, contact between the home and school is important. Teachers and parents/families need to discuss how the child is doing both academically and in terms of well-being. ASER 2020 explored this issue in two ways: whether parents and teachers had been in touch (phone or visit) during the reference week; and if not, whether there had been contact since the lockdown began in March 2020.
The data indicates that overall, about a third of all children's teachers contacted parents/families during the reference week. This proportion is higher among families of children in private than in government schools (Table 20).

> More educated parents had greater contact with school teachers, as well as a lower proportion of children who did not do any activity in the reference week (Table 21). This suggests that children whose parents could offer support at home were also those who got more support from school.


Table 20: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

| School type | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 32.3 | 29.2 | 19.3 | 40.4 |
| Pvt | 37.4 | 36.1 | 21.7 | 31.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 33.9 | 31.3 | 20.0 | 37.7 |

'Contact for administrative purposes' includes contact by phone calls, personal visits or SMS/WhatsApp.

Table 21: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By parents' education and type of contact. 2020

| Parents' education | \% Children who did no activity | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Low | 40.8 | 25.2 | 23.0 | 15.0 |
| Medium | 30.1 | 32.8 | 30.4 | 20.3 |
| High | 19.6 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 24.5 |
| All | 29.6 | 34.0 | 31.4 | 19.9 |
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## School survey

The ASER 2020 Wave 1 phone survey attempted to reach the head teacher or another teacher of a government school with primary classes in each village where sampled households were located. These schools were surveyed two years ago as part of ASER 2018. This year, teachers were asked about their ability to maintain contact and conduct distance learning activities with their students during school closures. Teachers were asked questions about the school in general, as well as about the grade that they could offer the most information for. For many questions, responses were requested for the reference period of the week prior to the survey.

## What kinds of schools and teachers did ASER 2020 reach?

Table 22: Number of schools reached by grades offered. 2020

|  | Number of schools |
| :--- | :---: |
| Primary (Std I-IV/V) | 4881 |
| Upper primary (Std I-VII/VIII) | 3411 |
| Other | 671 |
| Total | 8963 |

ASER 2020 reached teachers or head teachers in a total of 8,963 government schools across the country. More than half of these were primary schools, while most of the remainder were upper primary schools (Table 22). In more than half of these schools, the respondent was the head teacher (Table 23).

When asked to select one specific grade that they were able to provide the most information about, more than half of these respondents selected Std III, IV, or V; and over a quarter selected Std VI, VII, or VIII (Table 24).

Table 23: \% School respondents by designation. 2020

| Designation | \% School respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Head teacher | 55.9 |
| Teacher | 44.1 |
| Total | 100 |

Table 24: \% School respondents by the grade they opted to provide information about. 2020

| Std | \% School respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Std I-II | 18.9 |
| Std III-V | 54.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 26.4 |
| Could not give information | 0.5 |
| Total | 100 |

## How prepared are teachers for remote teaching-learning?

Table 25: \% School respondents who have children's phone numbers available. By grade and proportion of children. 2020

| Std | All <br> children | $>=$ Half | $<$ Half | None/ <br> Don't <br> know | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 35.8 | 37.8 | 17.2 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 41.3 | 36.2 | 16.8 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 43.1 | 40.5 | 13.6 | 2.7 | 100 |
| AlI | 40.8 | 37.7 | 16.1 | 5.5 | 100 |

## Overall, school respondents seemed to be well placed to conduct remote teachinglearning activities.

Table 26: \% School respondents who received training to conduct remote teaching-learning activities. By grade and type of training received. 2020

| Std | \% School respondents who received training | Of those who received training, type of training received |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Brief instructions (in person or online) | Series of in person/ online training sessions | Enrolled in/ completed online course | Other kinds of training received |
| Std I-II | 49.8 | 62.3 | 38.7 | 6.3 | 3.9 |
| Std III-V | 50.6 | 68.4 | 32.4 | 7.3 | 4.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 48.7 | 74.4 | 27.0 | 8.7 | 4.8 |
| All | 50.0 | 68.8 | 32.2 | 7.5 | 4.4 |

Respondents could specify more than one type of training.

Most teachers reported having phone numbers for at least half of their students (Table 25). However, the necessary training was perhaps inadequate, with half the respondents having received any training. Of those who did, the majority reported only receiving brief instructions, either online or in person, on what they should do and how they should do it (Table 26).

## Learning materials and engagement

## How often did teachers share learning materials or activities with their students, and how did they share it?

Table 27: \% School respondents who shared learning materials/activities with students. By grade and frequency of sharing. 2020

| Std | In the <br> reference <br> week | At least <br> once since <br> lockdown | Not even <br> once | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 65.8 | 23.5 | 10.7 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 67.1 | 22.4 | 10.5 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 66.8 | 18.9 | 14.3 | 100 |
| All | 66.8 | 21.7 | 11.5 | 100 |

Table 28: \% School respondents who reported having distributed textbooks to children. By grade and reach of textbook distribution. 2020

| Std | All parents/ <br> children | Some <br> parents/ <br> children | Not <br> distributed/ <br> Don't know | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 87.1 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 88.3 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 83.5 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 100 |
| All | 86.8 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 100 |

School respondents were asked whether they had shared any learning materials or activities with their students during the reference week; and if they had not, then whether they had done so at least once since the school closures in March 2020. The responses received were similar across all grades: two thirds of all respondents reported having shared materials in the preceding week; and most of the remaining reported having done so at least once since March 2020 (Table 27). Only one respondent in every ten reported not having shared any materials with their students. Similarly, the vast majority of teachers reported having distributed textbooks to all children in the selected grade (Table 28).

Table 29: Of school respondents who shared learning materials/activities with students during the reference week, \% respondents using different mediums. By grade and medium. 2020

| Std | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal <br> visit | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std I-II | 80.8 | 25.5 | 64.8 | 7.6 |
| Std III-V | 79.8 | 26.9 | 59.8 | 10.6 |
| Std VI-VIII | 84.4 | 34.0 | 56.5 | 19.4 |
| All | 81.2 | 28.5 | 59.9 | 12.3 |

'Other' includes Telegram, SMS or other mediums.
Answer options were read out; respondents could select more than one option.

Regardless of grade, WhatsApp was by far the most common method used by school respondents who reported having sent materials or activities to their students during the reference week ( $81.2 \%$ ) (Table 29). A majority also reported distributing materials through personal contact with parents or children ( $59.9 \%$ ). Contact between teachers and parents (or children) during the reference week was usually initiated by the teacher (Table 30).

Table 30: \% School respondents in contact with parents/children. By grade and type of contact. 2020

| Std | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Std I-II | 46.6 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 54.9 |
| Std III-V | 46.9 | 25.7 | 23.7 | 55.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 47.2 | 29.9 | 16.7 | 56.3 |
| All | 46.9 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 55.5 |
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## Community involvement

## Do teachers get help from others in the community to support children＇s learning？

Table 31：\％School respondents who reported taking help from community members．By state and stakeholder whose help was taken． 2020

| State | \％School respondents who take help from village／ community members | Of those who reported taking help，\％school respondents who took help from： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Village head or ward member | NGO or local volunteers | Older children | Parents or caregivers | Anganwadi workers | SMC members | Others |
| Andhra Pradesh | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0.0 |  |  | Data Insufficient |  |  |  |  |
| Assam | 62.3 | L－ | － | － | － | －－ | －－－－－－－」 |  |
| Bihar | 88.3 | 39.6 | 3.8 | 30.2 | 52.8 | 5.7 | 39.6 | 11.3 |
| Chhattisgarh | 70.2 | 20.7 | 1.2 | 54.0 | 47.1 | 6.9 | 50.6 | 8.1 |
| Gujarat | 69.7 | 23.6 | 0.9 | 20.4 | 48.0 | 11.1 | 48.4 | 0.0 |
| Haryana | 60.9 | 13.1 | 4.6 | 51.5 | 62.1 | 5.6 | 36.4 | 3.0 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 73.4 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 38.1 | 52.2 | 5.3 | 33.6 | 0.0 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 59.3 | 56.3 | 2.5 | 8.8 | 50.0 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 13.8 |
| Jharkhand | 83.7 | 37.0 | 3.0 | 25.0 | 53.0 | 8.0 | 43.5 | 4.0 |
| Karnataka | 62.5 | 38.3 | 13.3 | 42.1 | 31.3 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 1.7 |
| Kerala | 42.3 | 61.5 | 69.2 | 9.6 | 32.7 | 9.6 | 34.6 | 19.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 77.4 | 9.9 | 5.2 | 51.8 | 79.7 | 13.7 | 21.6 | 2.7 |
| Maharashtra | 76.0 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 46.3 | 55.4 | 5.7 | 44.1 | 3.7 |
| Manipur | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meghalaya | 50.0 |  |  | Data Insufficient |  |  |  |  |
| Nagaland | 55.0 | L－ | － | －－ | －－ | －－－ | － | －－」 |
| Odisha | 59.3 | 16.9 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 46.8 | 4.0 | 53.2 | 2.4 |
| Punjab | 85.1 | 19.1 | 5.4 | 32.7 | 19.7 | 26.0 | 41.6 | 32.7 |
| Rajasthan | 65.2 | 35.8 | 2.8 | 51.4 | 35.3 | 29.8 | 15.6 | 6.9 |
| Tamil Nadu | 46.5 | 45.0 | 5.0 | 55.0 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| Telangana | 72.7 | 34.7 | 5.6 | 72.2 | 66.7 | 2.8 | 20.8 | 2.8 |
| Tripura | 96.6 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 60.7 | 28.9 | 2.5 | 16.1 | 43.4 | 22.1 | 58.0 | 8.0 |
| Uttarakhand | 78.9 | 26.7 | 17.4 | 41.9 | 54.7 | 12.8 | 44.2 | 3.5 |
| West Bengal | 80.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All India | 68.8 | 24.6 | 7.6 | 36.7 | 49.4 | 12.9 | 38.1 | 7.1 |

Answer options were read out；respondents could select more than one option．
Across the country，school respondents reported getting help from a wide variety of community actors in order to reach and support children．Overall， 7 out of every 10 respondents reported receiving help from somebody in the community（Table 31）．Of these，half reported support being provided by parents；while many also reported being helped by SMC members，older children，or village heads／ ward members．

Clear differences in these patterns are visible across states．For example，large proportions of school respondents in Kerala report receiving help from NGOs or local volunteers；while many teachers in Punjab and Rajasthan report receiving help from Anganwadi workers．

## ASER 2020 Wave 1 (Rural) findings - State estimates



Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 66.9 | 26.6 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 68.2 | 25.3 | 0.1 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 62.8 | 31.6 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 61.2 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 64.8 | 28.1 | 0.3 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: All | 71.7 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 68.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 74.6 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 100 |


|  | Assam |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 65.0 | 33.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 65.8 | 31.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 61.7 | 37.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 58.7 | 40.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 64.9 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 68.4 | 29.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 62.8 | 34.3 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 74.4 | 24.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 69.5 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 68.5 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 70.6 | 22.0 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 100 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 67.0 | 30.1 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 68.9 | 27.1 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 63.0 | 35.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 61.7 | 35.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 64.4 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 73.5 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 68.5 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 78.1 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 70.3 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 58.4 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 82.3 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 100 |

## Arunachal Pradesh

| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 47.4 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 51.6 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 100 |

Age 7-10: Boys
Age 7-10: Girls

| Age 11-16: All | 59.7 | 38.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 54.9 | 42.5 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 65.7 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 76.9 | 18.0 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 78.5 | 16.9 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 75.7 | 18.8 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 71.5 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 80.2 | 14.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 79.7 | 17.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 75.8 | 21.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 84.1 | 12.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 82.1 | 10.9 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 82.5 | 10.9 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 81.9 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 100 |


|  | Gujarat |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 84.7 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 81.9 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 86.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 86.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 86.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 83.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 81.5 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 85.4 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 64.3 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 63.1 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 65.4 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 100 |

SE1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 46.9 | 48.9 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 49.1 | 46.1 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 44.6 | 52.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 39.5 | 56.6 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 50.4 | 47.2 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 50.9 | 44.2 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 48.0 | 47.5 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 54.4 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 54.7 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 54.7 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 55.1 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 100 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 54.1 | 44.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 57.8 | 40.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 49.2 | 49.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 42.4 | 57.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 56.8 | 40.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 59.3 | 39.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 56.1 | 42.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 63.0 | 35.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 70.9 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 67.3 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 74.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 100 |


| Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 72.1 | 22.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 70.7 | 23.6 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 70.2 | 26.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 70.4 | 25.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 70.0 | 27.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 72.4 | 20.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 68.5 | 26.5 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 76.4 | 14.9 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 67.2 | 25.5 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 64.2 | 29.9 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 69.7 | 21.9 | 0.9 | 7.6 | 100 |


|  | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | 68.6 | 25.0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age 6-14: All | 68.1 | 25.3 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 68.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 7-10: All | 67.0 | 26.8 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 66.7 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 67.2 | 26.7 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 69.5 | 24.1 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 65.7 | 27.7 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 73.8 | 20.1 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 66.9 | 25.2 | 0.1 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 65.7 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 68.0 | 25.7 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 100 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 52.3 | 45.0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 56.4 | 40.9 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 46.3 | 51.2 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 42.7 | 54.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 50.4 | 47.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 59.1 | 38.6 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 53.2 | 45.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 65.8 | 30.6 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 71.3 | 24.7 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 74.0 | 24.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 68.4 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 100 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 60.9 | 36.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 63.0 | 31.1 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 53.5 | 44.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 49.6 | 48.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 57.8 | 39.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: All | 69.5 | 21.9 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 65.9 | 23.0 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 72.5 | 21.0 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 100 |

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 65.2 | 30.2 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 66.2 | 28.1 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 61.4 | 34.7 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 54.7 | 41.3 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 67.9 | 28.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 69.1 | 26.1 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 65.5 | 28.4 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 73.2 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 69.4 | 19.6 | 0.4 | 10.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 68.6 | 21.7 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 70.6 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 100 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 67.9 | 30.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 61.7 | 35.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 73.8 | 24.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 71.4 | 26.6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 75.9 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 62.4 | 36.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 62.2 | 36.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 62.7 | 35.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 36.8 | 55.5 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 40.0 | 52.5 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 33.1 | 58.9 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 100 |


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 37.9 | 50.5 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 39.0 | 50.2 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 33.5 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 45.4 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 23.5 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: All | 42.3 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 40.3 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 43.7 | 42.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 100 |


|  | Nagaland |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 30.5 | 63.0 | 0.7 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 31.3 | 62.7 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 24.6 | 70.1 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 23.6 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 25.7 | 66.4 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: All | 35.6 | 57.8 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 35.8 | 57.4 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 35.2 | 58.4 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 100 |


| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 6-14: All | 81.5 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 79.2 | 14.9 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 78.1 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 74.7 | 24.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 81.6 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 85.8 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 82.7 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 89.1 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 65.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 67.9 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 61.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 100 |

Facilitated by PRATHAM

SE1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 46.4 | 52.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 49.5 | 48.6 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 42.6 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 38.6 | 59.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 47.5 | 50.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 50.3 | 48.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 48.0 | 50.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 53.1 | 45.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 61.2 | 35.1 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 59.0 | 36.9 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 64.0 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100 |


|  | Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 56.7 | 36.6 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 58.2 | 34.6 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 54.9 | 38.0 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 51.9 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 58.7 | 34.1 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 59.2 | 35.0 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 53.9 | 41.3 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 65.9 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 62.5 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 57.7 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 68.5 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 100 |

## Tamil Nadu

| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| Age 6-14: All | 64.6 | 27.5 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 67.9 | 25.2 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 60.4 | 29.0 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 56.4 | 30.5 | 2.3 | 10.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 65.0 | 27.2 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 72.2 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 66.1 | 29.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 78.5 | 16.8 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 73.9 | 22.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 72.8 | 24.5 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 74.8 | 20.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 100 |


|  | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | 54.8 | 40.1 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 6-14: All | Telangana |  |  |  |  |
| Age 7-16: All | 56.6 | 37.4 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 48.1 | 48.2 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 45.8 | 49.8 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 50.5 | 46.4 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: All | 62.2 | 30.3 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Boys | 58.2 | 34.8 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Age 11-16: Girls | 67.0 | 25.0 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 100 |


|  | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | 49.6 | 39.4 | 0.7 | 10.2 | 100 |
| Age 6-14: All | 47.2 | 41.8 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 7-10: All | 51.2 | 37.8 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 48.3 | 41.0 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 54.9 | 33.8 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 47.5 | 43.0 | 0.5 | 9.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 45.7 | 45.4 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 49.8 | 40.1 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 38.1 | 48.0 | 0.3 | 13.6 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 37.7 | 51.3 | 0.5 | 10.5 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 38.6 | 44.1 | 0.1 | 17.3 | 100 |


|  | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | 50.3 | 43.8 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 6-14: All | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Age 7-16: All | 51.4 | 42.1 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 44.0 | 50.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 37.6 | 55.1 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 52.0 | 45.6 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 54.9 | 38.9 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 47.7 | 46.9 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 64.2 | 29.1 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 56.4 | 34.0 | 1.4 | 8.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 49.7 | 43.3 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 63.9 | 23.7 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 100 |

## State estimates

SE1: \% Children enrolled in school. By age group, sex and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

|  | Govt | Pvt | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group <br> and sex | Gest Bengal |  |  |  |  |
| Age 6-14: All | 88.3 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 89.0 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 83.9 | 15.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 80.7 | 17.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 87.1 | 12.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 93.8 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 92.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 95.0 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 88.7 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 86.7 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 8.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 90.8 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 100 |

## State estimates

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-V in Andhra Pradesh in 2018, $54.3 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-V, $62 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In $2020,62.7 \%$ of boys in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools and $68 \%$ of girls in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 54.3 | 45.7 | 100 | 62.0 | 38.0 | 100 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 100 | 68.0 | 32.0 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 66.9 | 33.1 | 100 | 69.7 | 30.3 | 100 | 74.4 | 25.6 | 100 | 80.5 | 19.5 | 100 |
| All | 60.2 | 39.8 | 100 | 65.7 | 34.3 | 100 | 68.8 | 31.3 | 100 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 100 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 53.6 | 46.4 | 100 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 100 | 50.4 | 49.6 | 100 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 68.2 | 31.9 | 100 | 71.8 | 28.2 | 100 | 56.1 | 43.9 | 100 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100 |
| All | 59.4 | 40.7 | 100 | 63.9 | 36.1 | 100 | 52.9 | 47.2 | 100 | 53.7 | 46.3 | 100 |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 61.4 | 38.6 | 100 | 68.7 | 31.3 | 100 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 100 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 68.7 | 31.3 | 100 | 74.6 | 25.4 | 100 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 70.9 | 29.1 | 100 | 76.3 | 23.7 | 100 | 68.6 | 31.5 | 100 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 73.9 | 26.1 | 100 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 100 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 100 | 73.1 | 26.9 | 100 |
| All | 68.6 | 31.4 | 100 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 100 | 64.7 | 35.4 | 100 | 71.2 | 28.8 | 100 |
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## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in Bihar in 2018, 66.5\% were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, $75.1 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $70.6 \%$ of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and $83.5 \%$ of girls in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools.

| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 66.5 | 33.5 | 100 | 75.1 | 24.9 | 100 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 100 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 75.6 | 24.4 | 100 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 100 | 76.1 | 24.0 | 100 | 82.9 | 17.1 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 85.6 | 14.4 | 100 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 100 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 100 | 91.3 | 8.8 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 91.3 | 8.7 | 100 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 100 | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 100 |
| All | 78.4 | 21.6 | 100 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 100 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 100 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 100 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 68.0 | 32.0 | 100 | 71.3 | 28.7 | 100 | 52.8 | 47.2 | 100 | 56.6 | 43.5 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 75.1 | 24.9 | 100 | 78.8 | 21.2 | 100 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 100 | 65.6 | 34.4 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 79.8 | 20.2 | 100 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 100 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 100 | 80.9 | 19.1 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 80.5 | 19.5 | 100 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 100 | 69.6 | 30.5 | 100 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 100 |
| All | 76.0 | 24.0 | 100 | 80.7 | 19.3 | 100 | 65.2 | 34.8 | 100 | 74.4 | 25.6 | 100 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 83.5 | 16.6 | 100 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 100 | 84.8 | 15.2 | 100 | 84.2 | 15.8 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 84.0 | 16.0 | 100 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 100 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 100 | 88.8 | 11.2 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 87.6 | 12.4 | 100 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 100 | 82.6 | 17.4 | 100 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 76.1 | 23.9 | 100 | 75.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 72.8 | 27.2 | 100 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 100 |
| All | 83.3 | 16.7 | 100 | 87.2 | 12.8 | 100 | 82.7 | 17.3 | 100 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 100 |
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## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in Haryana in 2018, 31.4\% were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, 41.6\% were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, 37.7\% of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and $36 \%$ of girls in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools.

| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 31.4 | 68.6 | 100 | 41.6 | 58.4 | 100 | 37.7 | 62.3 | 100 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 36.9 | 63.1 | 100 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 100 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 100 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 41.0 | 59.0 | 100 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 100 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 100 | 55.5 | 44.5 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 43.9 | 56.1 | 100 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 100 | 59.9 | 40.1 | 100 | 60.3 | 39.7 | 100 |
| All | 38.2 | 61.8 | 100 | 50.4 | 49.7 | 100 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 100 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 100 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 44.4 | 55.6 | 100 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 100 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 52.0 | 48.0 | 100 | 59.3 | 40.7 | 100 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 100 | 58.8 | 41.3 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 61.0 | 39.0 | 100 | 66.4 | 33.6 | 100 | 53.1 | 46.9 | 100 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 74.0 | 26.0 | 100 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 100 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 100 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 100 |
| All | 58.8 | 41.3 | 100 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 100 | 52.3 | 47.7 | 100 | 62.9 | 37.1 | 100 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 48.4 | 51.6 | 100 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 100 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 100 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 51.9 | 48.1 | 100 | 60.7 | 39.3 | 100 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 100 | 55.6 | 44.5 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 60.1 | 39.9 | 100 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 100 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 100 | 76.2 | 23.8 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 73.7 | 26.3 | 100 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 100 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 100 | 68.1 | 31.9 | 100 |
| All | 57.3 | 42.7 | 100 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 100 | 53.5 | 46.6 | 100 | 61.3 | 38.7 | 100 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.
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## State estimates

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in Jharkhand in 2018, 67.7\% were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, 76\% were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $64.1 \%$ of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and 71.7\% of girls in Std III are enrolled in government schools.

| Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 67.7 | 32.3 | 100 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 100 | 64.1 | 35.9 | 100 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 75.0 | 25.0 | 100 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 100 | 72.0 | 28.0 | 100 | 77.2 | 22.8 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 81.2 | 18.8 | 100 | 84.5 | 15.5 | 100 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 100 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 76.7 | 23.4 | 100 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 100 | 80.9 | 19.1 | 100 |
| All | 75.1 | 24.9 | 100 | 80.9 | 19.1 | 100 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 100 | 79.1 | 20.9 | 100 |


| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 59.0 | 41.0 | 100 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 | 74.1 | 26.0 | 100 | 73.2 | 26.8 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 65.8 | 34.2 | 100 | 76.2 | 23.8 | 100 | 70.1 | 30.0 | 100 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 69.8 | 30.2 | 100 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 100 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 69.7 | 30.3 | 100 | 71.8 | 28.2 | 100 | 71.8 | 28.2 | 100 | 74.4 | 25.6 | 100 |
| All | 66.2 | 33.8 | 100 | 74.2 | 25.8 | 100 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 100 | 75.1 | 24.9 | 100 |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 43.4 | 56.6 | 100 | 50.4 | 49.6 | 100 | 45.4 | 54.6 | 100 | 57.5 | 42.6 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 57.2 | 42.8 | 100 | 59.3 | 40.7 | 100 | 74.9 | 25.1 | 100 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 100 |
| All | 50.7 | 49.3 | 100 | 55.0 | 45.1 | 100 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 100 | 69.8 | 30.3 | 100 |
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## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in Madhya Pradesh in 2018, $57.8 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, $65.5 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $57.8 \%$ of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and $64.9 \%$ of girls in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 57.8 | 42.3 | 100 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 100 | 57.8 | 42.2 | 100 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 64.1 | 35.9 | 100 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 100 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 100 | 69.7 | 30.3 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 69.6 | 30.5 | 100 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 100 | 67.4 | 32.6 | 100 | 76.7 | 23.3 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 76.4 | 23.6 | 100 | 83.2 | 16.8 | 100 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 100 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 100 |
| All | 66.8 | 33.2 | 100 | 75.3 | 24.7 | 100 | 65.9 | 34.1 | 100 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 100 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 72.3 | 27.8 | 100 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 100 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 100 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 68.7 | 31.3 | 100 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 100 | 72.2 | 27.8 | 100 | 77.1 | 22.9 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 41.0 | 59.0 | 100 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 100 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 100 | 63.1 | 36.9 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 22.0 | 78.0 | 100 | 21.3 | 78.7 | 100 | 43.2 | 56.8 | 100 | 39.4 | 60.6 | 100 |
| All | 53.2 | 46.8 | 100 | 57.3 | 42.7 | 100 | 63.8 | 36.2 | 100 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 100 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 28.1 | 71.9 | 100 | 30.6 | 69.5 | 100 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 100 | 13.7 | 86.3 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 24.2 | 75.9 | 100 | 27.7 | 72.3 | 100 | 17.9 | 82.1 | 100 | 11.5 | 88.5 | 100 |
| All | 26.5 | 73.6 | 100 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 100 | 13.4 | 86.6 | 100 | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100 |
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## State estimates

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-V in Meghalaya in 2018, $36.4 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-V, $37.7 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $46.7 \%$ of boys in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools and $35.1 \%$ of girls in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools.

| Std | Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 36.4 | 63.6 | 100 | 37.7 | 62.3 | 100 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 100 | 35.1 | 64.9 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 34.5 | 65.5 | 100 | 32.9 | 67.1 | 100 | 43.9 | 56.1 | 100 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 100 |
| All | 35.7 | 64.3 | 100 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 100 | 45.6 | 54.4 | 100 | 40.6 | 59.4 | 100 |


| Nagaland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 49.9 | 50.1 | 100 | 50.2 | 49.9 | 100 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 100 | 33.2 | 66.9 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 41.6 | 58.4 | 100 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 100 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 100 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 100 |
| All | 46.7 | 53.3 | 100 | 49.3 | 50.7 | 100 | 32.6 | 67.5 | 100 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 100 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 75.9 | 24.1 | 100 | 80.8 | 19.2 | 100 | 69.9 | 30.2 | 100 | 73.9 | 26.1 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 84.5 | 15.5 | 100 | 88.8 | 11.2 | 100 | 75.8 | 24.2 | 100 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 90.5 | 9.6 | 100 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 100 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 100 | 92.1 | 8.0 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 92.9 | 7.1 | 100 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 100 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 100 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 100 |
| All | 86.2 | 13.8 | 100 | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100 | 80.7 | 19.3 | 100 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 100 |

[^13]
## State estimates

facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in Punjab in 2018, 33.6\% were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, $35.9 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In $2020,35.5 \%$ of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and $40.7 \%$ of girls in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools.

| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 33.6 | 66.4 | 100 | 35.9 | 64.1 | 100 | 35.5 | 64.5 | 100 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 40.9 | 59.1 | 100 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 100 | 39.4 | 60.6 | 100 | 46.6 | 53.4 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 49.4 | 50.6 | 100 | 58.4 | 41.6 | 100 | 48.4 | 51.6 | 100 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 57.4 | 42.6 | 100 | 59.1 | 40.9 | 100 | 61.8 | 38.2 | 100 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 100 |
| All | 45.7 | 54.4 | 100 | 51.1 | 48.9 | 100 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 100 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 100 |


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 52.8 | 47.2 | 100 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 100 | 50.7 | 49.3 | 100 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 55.4 | 44.6 | 100 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 100 | 55.3 | 44.7 | 100 | 64.5 | 35.5 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 59.1 | 40.9 | 100 | 70.7 | 29.3 | 100 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 100 | 70.7 | 29.3 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 60.9 | 39.1 | 100 | 72.4 | 27.7 | 100 | 63.6 | 36.5 | 100 | 76.9 | 23.1 | 100 |
| All | 56.9 | 43.1 | 100 | 68.2 | 31.8 | 100 | 57.4 | 42.6 | 100 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 100 |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 53.2 | 46.8 | 100 | 59.5 | 40.6 | 100 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 100 | 52.1 | 47.9 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 61.1 | 38.9 | 100 | 68.3 | 31.7 | 100 | 68.0 | 32.1 | 100 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 69.3 | 30.7 | 100 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 100 | 68.6 | 31.4 | 100 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 72.7 | 27.3 | 100 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 100 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 100 | 79.6 | 20.4 | 100 |
| All | 64.6 | 35.5 | 100 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 100 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 | 74.6 | 25.4 | 100 |
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## State estimates

## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-V in Telangana in 2018, $44.1 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-V, $51.9 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $42.6 \%$ of boys in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools and $53.3 \%$ of girls in Std I-V are enrolled in government schools.

| Std | Telangana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 44.1 | 55.9 | 100 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 100 | 42.6 | 57.4 | 100 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 67.6 | 32.4 | 100 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 100 | 69.3 | 30.7 | 100 | 73.4 | 26.6 | 100 |
| All | 55.3 | 44.7 | 100 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 100 | 55.8 | 44.3 | 100 | 63.4 | 36.6 | 100 |


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 44.2 | 55.8 | 100 | 50.9 | 49.1 | 100 | 53.5 | 46.5 | 100 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 43.5 | 56.5 | 100 | 53.9 | 46.1 | 100 | 57.1 | 43.0 | 100 | 63.9 | 36.1 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 43.5 | 56.5 | 100 | 52.0 | 48.1 | 100 | 51.3 | 48.7 | 100 | 63.9 | 36.2 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 31.1 | 69.0 | 100 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 100 | 42.8 | 57.2 | 100 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 100 |
| All | 41.8 | 58.2 | 100 | 48.4 | 51.6 | 100 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 100 | 57.2 | 42.8 | 100 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-V | 45.8 | 54.2 | 100 | 50.5 | 49.5 | 100 | 42.8 | 57.2 | 100 | 49.1 | 50.9 | 100 |
| Std VI-XII | 63.3 | 36.7 | 100 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 100 | 50.5 | 49.5 | 100 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 100 |
| All | 54.6 | 45.4 | 100 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 100 | 47.2 | 52.8 | 100 | 62.8 | 37.3 | 100 |
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## SE2: \% Children enrolled in school. By grade, sex and school type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the proportion of children enrolled in school by grade, sex, and school type for 2018 and 2020. For example, of all boys enrolled in Std I-II in West Bengal in 2018, 83.9\% were enrolled in government schools. In comparison, of all girls enrolled in Std I-II, $83.4 \%$ were enrolled in government schools. In 2020, $72.9 \%$ of boys in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools and $78.5 \%$ of girls in Std I-II are enrolled in government schools.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total | Govt | Pvt | Total |
| Std I-II | 83.9 | 16.1 | 100 | 83.4 | 16.6 | 100 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 100 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 100 |
| Std III-V | 89.2 | 10.8 | 100 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 100 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 100 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIII | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Std IX \& above | 97.5 | 2.6 | 100 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 100 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100 |
| All | 91.4 | 8.6 | 100 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 100 | 89.6 | 10.5 | 100 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 100 |

[^16]SE3: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table presents the education level of parents of children enrolled in government and private schools, separately for mothers and fathers. For example, in Andhra Pradesh, of all children in government schools, $31.6 \%$ had mothers with no schooling; 22\% had mothers with 1-5 years of schooling; 18\% had mothers with 6-8 years of schooling; $18.6 \%$ had mothers with $9-10$ years of schooling; and $9.7 \%$ had mothers with 11 or more years of schooling.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 31.6 | 16.9 | 27.5 | 26.0 | 7.1 | 20.8 |
| Std I-V | 22.0 | 9.7 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 18.0 | 14.4 | 17.0 | 19.3 | 15.0 | 18.1 |
| Std IX-X | 18.6 | 36.4 | 23.6 | 19.2 | 28.6 | 21.8 |
| Std XI \& above | 9.7 | 22.7 | 13.3 | 16.0 | 32.0 | 20.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 37.9 | 21.3 | 30.0 | 24.8 | 20.9 | 22.9 |
| Std I-V | 8.5 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 7.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 18.0 | 23.5 | 20.6 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 12.9 |
| Std IX-X | 26.3 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 22.6 | 21.9 | 22.3 |
| Std XI \& above | 9.3 | 16.5 | 12.7 | 29.8 | 38.8 | 34.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 21.7 | 9.5 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 7.9 | 15.1 |
| Std I-V | 19.0 | 10.8 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 7.2 | 13.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 21.6 | 15.0 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 17.0 | 19.7 |
| Std IX-X | 31.7 | 41.2 | 34.7 | 31.9 | 33.1 | 32.3 |
| Std XI \& above | 6.0 | 23.6 | 11.6 | 12.7 | 34.8 | 19.8 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 47.2 | 29.1 | 44.1 | 23.3 | 10.6 | 21.1 |
| Std I-V | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 10.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 16.0 | 12.9 | 15.5 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 16.1 |
| Std IX-X | 15.3 | 22.2 | 16.5 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 29.3 |
| Std XI \& above | 8.0 | 22.3 | 10.4 | 19.4 | 40.8 | 23.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 34.5 | 8.0 | 26.5 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 10.7 |
| Std I-V | 23.3 | 7.8 | 18.6 | 23.2 | 4.9 | 17.7 |
| Std VI-VIII | 24.3 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 14.2 | 22.9 |
| Std IX-X | 10.9 | 24.3 | 14.9 | 20.2 | 22.9 | 21.0 |
| Std XI \& above | 7.1 | 36.5 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 55.4 | 27.6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 20.2 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 6.0 |
| Std I-V | 23.6 | 12.7 | 21.9 | 15.5 | 5.6 | 13.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 22.0 | 18.2 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 19.9 |
| Std IX-X | 21.2 | 27.7 | 22.2 | 29.0 | 31.6 | 29.4 |
| Std XI \& above | 13.0 | 27.7 | 15.3 | 28.5 | 43.2 | 30.8 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE3: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table presents the education level of parents of children enrolled in government and private schools, separately for mothers and fathers. For example, in Haryana, of all children in government schools, 34.2 \% had mothers with no schooling; 21.9\% had mothers with $1-5$ years of schooling; $22.2 \%$ had mothers with $6-8$ years of schooling; $12.4 \%$ had mothers with $9-10$ years of schooling; and $9.3 \%$ had mothers with 11 or more years of schooling.

| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' <br> education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 34.2 | 12.5 | 23.4 | 15.7 | 6.1 | 11.0 |
| Std I-V | 21.9 | 7.9 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 3.1 | 9.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 22.2 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 24.4 | 11.0 | 17.8 |
| Std IX-X | 12.4 | 24.8 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 26.7 | 22.8 |
| Std XI \& above | 9.3 | 34.2 | 21.7 | 25.2 | 53.1 | 38.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 8.4 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 2.7 |
| Std I-V | 12.9 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 1.3 | 6.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 23.0 | 4.3 | 15.0 | 19.2 | 5.9 | 13.5 |
| Std IX-X | 28.3 | 25.5 | 27.1 | 32.4 | 28.1 | 30.6 |
| Std XI \& above | 27.4 | 66.2 | 43.9 | 33.2 | 64.2 | 46.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 62.0 | 40.1 | 52.7 | 27.9 | 10.6 | 20.5 |
| Std I-V | 6.4 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 14.5 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 18.8 | 15.4 | 17.3 |
| Std IX-X | 11.2 | 19.3 | 14.6 | 28.6 | 34.2 | 31.0 |
| Std XI \& above | 6.0 | 22.9 | 13.2 | 17.6 | 36.9 | 25.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 31.2 | 13.9 | 26.5 | 23.4 | 13.6 | 20.8 |
| Std I-V | 15.7 | 7.3 | 13.4 | 15.9 | 6.4 | 13.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 19.9 | 16.1 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 19.4 |
| Std IX-X | 23.0 | 37.0 | 26.8 | 26.9 | 31.6 | 28.2 |
| Std XI \& above | 10.2 | 25.6 | 14.4 | 13.3 | 31.7 | 18.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 46.0 | 30.2 | 42.1 | 24.6 | 11.4 | 21.3 |
| Std I-V | 18.3 | 8.7 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 12.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 14.6 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 21.8 | 18.6 |
| Std IX-X | 14.3 | 29.8 | 18.1 | 29.3 | 34.0 | 30.5 |
| Std XI \& above | 6.9 | 17.7 | 9.5 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 17.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Std I-V | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 3.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 7.7 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 13.0 |
| Std IX-X | 39.7 | 22.5 | 33.7 | 53.8 | 40.8 | 49.2 |
| Std XI \& above | 49.3 | 73.5 | 57.7 | 27.9 | 43.6 | 33.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE3: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table presents the education level of parents of children enrolled in government and private schools, separately for mothers and fathers. For example, in Madhya Pradesh, of all children in government schools, $40.4 \%$ had mothers with no schooling; $26.6 \%$ had mothers with 1-5 years of schooling; $18.7 \%$ had mothers with 6-8 years of schooling; $10.4 \%$ had mothers with $9-10$ years of schooling; and $3.9 \%$ had mothers with 11 or more years of schooling.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 40.4 | 25.5 | 35.9 | 17.9 | 8.4 | 15.0 |
| Std I-V | 26.6 | 15.5 | 23.3 | 18.2 | 10.1 | 15.7 |
| Std VI-VIII | 18.7 | 27.1 | 21.2 | 28.5 | 21.4 | 26.3 |
| Std IX-X | 10.4 | 18.5 | 12.9 | 20.5 | 28.7 | 23.0 |
| Std XI \& above | 3.9 | 13.4 | 6.7 | 14.9 | 31.5 | 20.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 11.3 | 8.3 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 |
| Std I-V | 12.8 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 9.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 21.0 | 21.3 | 21.1 | 17.2 | 12.7 | 15.6 |
| Std IX-X | 36.0 | 35.8 | 35.9 | 34.1 | 33.7 | 34.0 |
| Std XI \& above | 18.9 | 24.3 | 20.8 | 32.6 | 42.0 | 35.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 14.3 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 10.1 |
| Std I-V | 17.4 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 10.2 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 11.8 |
| Std IX-X | 36.1 | 34.6 | 34.8 | 30.7 | 32.8 | 32.5 |
| Std XI \& above | 22.0 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 42.2 | 41.3 | 41.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 34.4 | 21.8 | 27.0 | 45.1 | 31.5 | 37.5 |
| Std I-V | 37.8 | 24.9 | 30.3 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 19.6 |
| Std VI-VIII | 13.7 | 25.0 | 20.3 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 10.3 |
| Std IX-X | 8.0 | 17.9 | 13.8 | 16.6 | 23.2 | 20.3 |
| Std XI \& above | 6.1 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 14.2 | 12.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Nagaland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 30.1 | 15.9 | 20.7 | 29.0 | 11.0 | 17.1 |
| Std I-V | 15.8 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 24.8 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 21.3 |
| Std IX-X | 21.2 | 26.0 | 24.4 | 29.2 | 38.2 | 35.1 |
| Std XI \& above | 8.1 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 19.0 | 15.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 17.5 | 2.5 | 15.1 | 10.6 | 3.0 | 9.3 |
| Std I-V | 20.5 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 19.9 | 3.8 | 17.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 21.6 | 13.5 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 11.8 | 16.7 |
| Std IX-X | 31.7 | 51.9 | 34.9 | 34.1 | 44.9 | 35.9 |
| Std XI \& above | 8.8 | 25.1 | 11.4 | 17.8 | 36.6 | 20.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## SE3: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table presents the education level of parents of children enrolled in government and private schools, separately for mothers and fathers. For example, in Punjab, of all children in government schools, $31.1 \%$ had mothers with no schooling; $19.3 \%$ had mothers with 1-5 years of schooling; $23.9 \%$ had mothers with $6-8$ years of schooling; $16.8 \%$ had mothers with $9-10$ years of schooling; and $8.9 \%$ had mothers with 11 or more years of schooling.

| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 31.1 | 8.8 | 20.0 | 26.1 | 7.7 | 16.9 |
| Std I-V | 19.3 | 7.7 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 9.7 |
| Std VI-VIII | 23.9 | 19.3 | 21.6 | 20.2 | 11.6 | 15.9 |
| Std IX-X | 16.8 | 25.9 | 21.3 | 26.0 | 33.1 | 29.5 |
| Std XI \& above | 8.9 | 38.4 | 23.6 | 14.5 | 41.4 | 27.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 64.9 | 44.1 | 57.1 | 24.3 | 11.7 | 19.6 |
| Std I-V | 16.1 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 10.3 | 15.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 11.7 | 17.0 | 13.7 | 24.9 | 22.8 | 24.1 |
| Std IX-X | 4.7 | 9.6 | 6.5 | 18.9 | 25.4 | 21.3 |
| Std XI \& above | 2.6 | 11.0 | 5.8 | 13.3 | 29.8 | 19.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 14.3 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 15.4 | 7.1 | 12.9 |
| Std I-V | 17.1 | 5.8 | 13.8 | 18.4 | 5.3 | 14.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 25.2 | 18.9 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 12.6 | 18.8 |
| Std IX-X | 29.6 | 25.0 | 28.2 | 31.7 | 29.8 | 31.2 |
| Std XI \& above | 13.9 | 48.6 | 24.2 | 13.0 | 45.2 | 22.7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 53.2 | 35.0 | 44.9 | 24.9 | 13.0 | 19.5 |
| Std I-V | 15.2 | 11.8 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 7.0 | 10.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 15.8 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 22.4 | 14.1 | 18.6 |
| Std IX-X | 9.0 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 20.8 | 28.1 | 24.1 |
| Std XI \& above | 6.9 | 23.0 | 14.2 | 18.0 | 37.9 | 27.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 26.4 | 20.0 | 23.5 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.5 |
| Std I-V | 20.0 | 13.8 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 25.2 | 14.3 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 11.4 | 16.8 |
| Std IX-X | 14.0 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 35.9 | 25.5 | 31.1 |
| Std XI \& above | 14.5 | 35.3 | 24.0 | 25.4 | 45.5 | 34.7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## SE3: Distribution of enrolled children. By school type, mother's and father's education level. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table presents the education level of parents of children enrolled in government and private schools, separately for mothers and fathers. For example, in West Bengal, of all children in government schools, $15.1 \%$ had mothers with no schooling; $22.5 \%$ had mothers with 1-5 years of schooling; $28.8 \%$ had mothers with $6-8$ years of schooling; $23.6 \%$ had mothers with $9-10$ years of schooling; and $10 \%$ had mothers with 11 or more years of schooling.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education level | Mother |  |  | Father |  |  |
|  | \% Children in |  |  | \% Children in |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| No schooling | 15.1 | 8.2 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 14.8 |
| Std I-V | 22.5 | 8.0 | 21.1 | 24.2 | 3.8 | 22.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 28.8 | 22.3 | 28.2 | 26.1 | 25.3 | 26.0 |
| Std IX-X | 23.6 | 40.5 | 25.2 | 20.3 | 28.9 | 21.1 |
| Std XI \& above | 10.0 | 21.0 | 11.1 | 14.4 | 30.1 | 15.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

SE4: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std V or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the distribution of children by parents' education and, for each level of parents' education, the proportion of children living in households with smartphones and the proportion of children enrolled in government schools. For example, in Andhra Pradesh, $26.8 \%$ of children had parents in the 'low' education category. Of these children, $42.6 \%$ lived in households with smartphones and $90.9 \%$ were enrolled in government schools.

|  | Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' |  |  |  |  |
| education |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ \% Children \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Of these children, \begin{array}{c}\% Whose <br>

households <br>
have\end{array}\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { \% Enrolled in } \\
\text { Govt school } \\
\text { smartphones }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

|  | Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |
| smartphones |  |  |  |  |$]$


|  | Assam |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\text { smartphones }\end{array}\right]$


|  | Bihar |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |
| smartphones |  |  |  |$]$


|  | Chhattisgarh |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$]$


|  | Gujarat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$]$

## SE4: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std $V$ or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the distribution of children by parents' education and, for each level of parents' education, the proportion of children living in households with smartphones and the proportion of children enrolled in government schools. For example, in Haryana, $15.1 \%$ of children had parents in the 'low' education category. Of these children, $62.6 \%$ lived in households with smartphones and $82.9 \%$ were enrolled in government schools.

| Haryana |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 15.1 | 62.6 | 82.9 |
| Medium | 49.4 | 82.1 | 59.9 |
| High | 35.6 | 91.9 | 23.9 |
| All | 100 | 82.7 | 50.6 |


|  | Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\hline \text { Lmartphones }\end{array}\right]$


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 23.5 | 57.5 | 79.4 |
| Medium | 52.8 | 80.5 | 58.9 |
| High | 23.8 | 90.1 | 32.5 |
| All | 100 | 77.4 | 57.4 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

|  | Karnataka |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$]$


|  | Kerala |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' <br> education | \% Children | Of these children, <br> \% Whose <br> households <br> have | \% Enrolled in <br> Govt school |  |
| Low |  |  |  |  |
| Medium | 20.2 | 90.9 | 68.9 |  |
| High | 78.9 | 96.4 | 64.5 |  |
| All | 100 | 94.5 | 65.7 |  |

## State estimates

SE4: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std $V$ or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the distribution of children by parents' education and, for each level of parents' education, the proportion of children living in households with smartphones and the proportion of children enrolled in government schools. For example, in Madhya Pradesh, $25.9 \%$ of children had parents in the 'low' education category. Of these children, $51 \%$ lived in households with smartphones and $83.3 \%$ were enrolled in government schools.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\text { smartphones }\end{array}\right]$


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 6.9 | 56.4 | 74.8 |
| Medium | 46.4 | 72.0 | 66.2 |
| High | 46.7 | 83.5 | 60.6 |
| All | 100 | 76.3 | 64.2 |


|  | Manipur |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 40.6 | 61.9 | 55.2 |
| Medium | 47.4 | 70.1 | 39.0 |
| High | 12.0 | 100.0 | 22.5 |
| All | 100 | 70.4 | 43.6 |


| Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$]$


| Odisha |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$]$

SE4: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std $V$ or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the distribution of children by parents' education and, for each level of parents' education, the proportion of children living in households with smartphones and the proportion of children enrolled in government schools. For example, in Punjab, $18.1 \%$ of children had parents in the 'low' education category. Of these children, $75.8 \%$ lived in households with smartphones and $79.5 \%$ were enrolled in government schools.

|  | Punjab |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { Wouseholds } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 32.3 | 48.7 | 77.8 |
| Medium | 57.5 | 67.6 | 58.2 |
| High | 10.2 | 85.2 | 36.2 |
| All | 100 | 63.3 | 62.3 |


|  | Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |  |
| Govt school |  |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\text { smartphones }\end{array}\right]$


|  | Telangana |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\text { Lmartphones }\end{array}\right]$


|  | Uttar Pradesh |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Parents' } \\ \text { education }\end{array}$ | \% Children | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Of these children, } \\ \text { \% Whose } \\ \text { households } \\ \text { have }\end{array}$ | \% Enrolled in |
| Govt school |  |  |  |$\left.] \begin{array}{l|c|c}\text { Lmartphones }\end{array}\right]$


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' <br> education | \% Children | Of these children, <br> \% Whose <br> households <br> have | \% Enrolled in <br> Govt school |
| Low | 15.1 | 57.4 | 53.8 |
| Medium | 48.9 | 70.7 | 64.8 |
| High | 36.0 | 89.7 | 39.4 |
| All | 100 | 75.5 | 54.0 |

## SE4: Distribution of enrolled children. By parents' education and household resources.

 2020Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std V or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the distribution of children by parents' education and, for each level of parents' education, the proportion of children living in households with smartphones and the proportion of children enrolled in government schools. For example, in West Bengal, $23.6 \%$ of children had parents in the 'low' education category. Of these children, $29.7 \%$ lived in households with smartphones and $96.3 \%$ were enrolled in government schools.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents' education | \% Children | Of these children, |  |
|  |  | \% Whose households have smartphones | \% Enrolled in Govt school |
| Low | 23.6 | 29.7 | 96.3 |
| Medium | 51.4 | 45.4 | 92.3 |
| High | 25.0 | 68.3 | 81.9 |
| All | 100 | 47.4 | 90.6 |

SE5: \% Enrolled children who have textbooks for their current grade. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 32.5 | 23.2 | 29.2 |
| Std VI-XII | 42.5 | 26.6 | 39.0 |
| All | 38.5 | 24.7 | 34.6 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 66.2 | 73.1 | 69.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 83.1 |  | 85.7 |
| All | 75.1 | 80.3 | 77.6 |


| Assam |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 97.1 | 100.0 | 98.2 |
| Std III-V | 97.7 | 99.4 | 98.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 98.5 | 97.4 | 98.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 99.5 | 98.4 | 99.2 |
| All | 98.2 | 98.9 | 98.4 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 66.0 | 79.2 | 69.0 |
| Std III-V | 73.4 | 82.0 | 75.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 74.6 | 89.6 | 76.7 |
| Std IX \& above | 81.1 | 88.2 | 81.9 |
| All | 74.2 | 83.8 | 75.8 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 89.9 | 62.9 | 79.2 |
| Std VI-XII | 85.9 | 67.9 | 82.0 |
| All | 87.4 | 64.9 | 80.7 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 93.8 | 93.7 | 93.8 |
| Std VI-XII | 97.1 | 94.2 | 96.6 |
| All | 95.2 | 94.0 | 95.0 |


| Haryana |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 77.8 | 82.9 | 81.0 |
| Std III-V | 88.9 | 89.2 | 89.0 |
| Std VI-VIII | 89.9 | 92.2 | 91.0 |
| Std IX \& above | 82.3 | 93.9 | 86.9 |
| All | 85.9 | 89.6 | 87.7 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 91.9 | 96.8 | 95.1 |
| Std III-V | 99.6 | 97.3 | 98.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 95.7 | 96.6 | 96.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 95.8 | 93.1 | 95.1 |
| All | 96.4 | 96.2 | 96.3 |

## State estimates

SE5: \% Enrolled children who have textbooks for their current grade. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 98.6 | 98.7 | 98.7 |
| Std III-V | 91.5 | 95.9 | 93.7 |
| Std VI-VIII | 97.8 | 98.7 | 98.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 96.1 | 95.5 | 96.0 |
| All | 95.7 | 97.3 | 96.4 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 89.8 | 68.1 | 84.1 |
| Std III-V | 96.5 | 81.8 | 92.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 96.9 | 76.4 | 91.7 |
| Std IX \& above | 88.9 | 72.8 | 84.7 |
| All | 93.9 | 76.0 | 89.1 |


|  | Madhya Pradesh |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 84.6 | 53.6 | 72.6 |
| Std III-V | 89.2 | 52.0 | 75.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 93.2 | 60.8 | 84.0 |
| Std IX \& above | 87.9 | 66.5 | 83.6 |
| All | 89.3 | 57.2 | 79.6 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II |  | 96.7 | 96.8 |
| Std III-V |  | 98.3 | 98.5 |
| Std VI-VIII |  | 96.2 | 96.7 |
| Std IX \& above |  | 97.7 | 98.1 |
| All | 99.6 | 97.2 | 97.5 |

## State estimates

SE5: \% Enrolled children who have textbooks for their current grade. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 99.2 | 100.0 | 99.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 97.0 | 99.6 | 98.7 |
| All | 98.0 | 99.8 | 99.2 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 84.7 | 90.4 | 86.3 |
| Std III-V | 92.8 | 88.0 | 91.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 91.3 |  | 90.9 |
| Std IX \& above | 83.3 |  | 83.2 |
| All | 88.7 | 88.0 | 88.6 |


|  | Punjab |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 92.8 | 95.9 | 94.7 |
| Std III-V | 96.0 | 97.0 | 96.6 |
| Std VI-VIII | 98.1 | 96.1 | 97.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 95.7 | 93.9 | 95.0 |
| All | 96.1 | 95.9 | 96.0 |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 87.5 | 58.6 | 73.1 |
| Std III-V | 95.3 | 60.8 | 85.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 94.9 | 75.6 | 90.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 92.9 | 77.3 | 89.3 |
| All | 93.7 | 68.1 | 86.4 |


|  | Uttar Pradesh |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 85.8 | 75.0 | 80.9 |
| Std III-V | 84.8 | 74.9 | 80.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 84.0 | 72.0 | 78.9 |
| Std IX \& above | 76.3 | 77.7 | 77.1 |
| All | 83.5 | 74.9 | 79.6 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 71.7 | 82.5 | 77.6 |
| Std VI-XII | 77.8 | 89.4 | 82.3 |
| All | 75.6 | 85.9 | 80.3 |

## State estimates

SE5: \% Enrolled children who have textbooks for their current grade. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 99.3 |  | 99.5 |
| Std III-V | 99.8 |  | 99.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 99.8 |  | 99.8 |
| Std IX \& above | 99.4 |  | 99.4 |
| All | 99.6 | 100.0 | 99.7 |

## State estimates

SE6: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the availability of selected household assets for children by the type of school they were enrolled in, for 2018 and 2020. For example, in Andhra Pradesh in 2018, $35.2 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $53.7 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone. Similarly, in 2020, $57 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $72.9 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household resource | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Smartphone | 35.2 | 53.7 | 42.1 | 57.0 | 72.9 | 61.5 |
| TV | 89.4 | 95.9 | 91.8 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 |
| Motorized vehicle | 45.7 | 70.0 | 54.7 | 49.1 | 69.6 | 54.9 |


|  | Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 51.1 | 67.1 | 57.3 | 75.2 | 87.8 | 81.1 |  |
| TV | 69.4 | 83.6 | 74.8 | 62.9 | 67.3 | 65.0 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 39.5 | 61.8 | 48.1 | 43.8 | 53.6 | 48.4 |  |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household resource | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Smartphone | 29.8 | 51.4 | 36.1 | 52.4 | 78.3 | 60.7 |
| TV | 37.6 | 61.9 | 44.6 | 41.8 | 55.6 | 46.2 |
| Motorized vehicle | 20.6 | 47.5 | 28.3 | 26.7 | 50.3 | 34.2 |


|  | Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 69.6 | 83.9 | 72.7 | 70.0 | 89.1 | 75.7 |
| TV | 69.9 | 86.6 | 73.5 | 72.5 | 83.1 | 75.8 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 45.1 | 73.6 | 51.2 | 64.0 | 85.8 | 70.7 |

## Gujarat

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 40.6 | 68.1 | 44.7 | 82.9 | 89.3 | 84.0 |
| TV | 78.4 | 90.9 | 80.3 | 82.6 | 84.7 | 82.9 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 55.5 | 78.1 | 58.8 | 63.8 | 73.6 | 65.3 |
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## State estimates

SE6: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the availability of selected household assets for children by the type of school they were enrolled in, for 2018 and 2020. For example, in Haryana in 2018, $39.7 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $71 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone. Similarly, in 2020, $77.2 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $87.4 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone.

|  | Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 39.7 | 71.0 | 57.3 | 77.2 | 87.4 | 82.3 |  |
| TV | 75.3 | 91.7 | 84.5 | 69.7 | 85.6 | 77.5 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 50.2 | 80.2 | 67.0 | 54.4 | 75.0 | 64.6 |  |


|  | Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 47.4 | 75.1 | 58.0 | 86.6 | 94.8 | 90.0 |  |
| TV | 89.5 | 97.7 | 92.6 | 81.0 | 92.8 | 86.0 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 26.0 | 60.7 | 39.2 | 30.2 | 64.5 | 44.7 |  |


|  | Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 41.1 | 65.9 | 50.9 | 69.7 | 86.9 | 77.1 |
| TV | 43.0 | 68.1 | 52.8 | 42.1 | 56.9 | 48.4 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 14.1 | 34.2 | 22.0 | 17.9 | 36.9 | 26.0 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household resource | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Smartphone | 36.7 | 58.3 | 43.1 | 63.5 | 82.6 | 68.6 |
| TV | 83.5 | 92.4 | 86.1 | 80.6 | 89.0 | 82.8 |
| Motorized vehicle | 55.3 | 73.4 | 60.7 | 62.9 | 76.3 | 66.5 |

## Jharkhand

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 16.5 | 35.0 | 20.6 | 47.9 | 57.1 | 50.2 |
| TV | 29.4 | 48.8 | 33.6 | 25.7 | 49.8 | 31.6 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 26.2 | 50.8 | 31.6 | 32.8 | 52.9 | 37.7 |


|  | Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 76.4 | 86.0 | 80.9 | 93.3 | 96.1 | 94.3 |  |
| TV | 86.2 | 92.7 | 89.3 | 84.5 | 90.4 | 86.6 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 61.7 | 75.1 | 68.0 | 63.4 | 82.6 | 70.3 |  |
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## State estimates

## SE6: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the availability of selected household assets for children by the type of school they were enrolled in, for 2018 and 2020. For example, in Madhya Pradesh in 2018, 17.1\% children enrolled in government schools and $38.3 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone. Similarly, in 2020, $58.2 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $73.2 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household resource | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Smartphone | 17.1 | 38.3 | 23.3 | 58.2 | 73.2 | 62.7 |
| TV | 50.2 | 73.6 | 57.0 | 57.6 | 74.8 | 62.7 |
| Motorized vehicle | 38.3 | 65.9 | 46.3 | 45.1 | 61.9 | 50.1 |


|  | Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 36.7 | 49.3 | 42.3 | 74.9 | 78.8 | 76.3 |  |
| TV | 78.8 | 85.6 | 81.8 | 76.3 | 81.4 | 78.1 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 50.2 | 60.8 | 54.9 | 55.9 | 63.5 | 58.6 |  |


|  | Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 40.1 | 58.5 | 53.4 | 80.3 | 84.9 | 84.3 |  |
| TV | 51.2 | 76.6 | 69.5 | 52.2 | 62.8 | 61.4 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 19.4 | 40.5 | 34.6 | 35.3 | 46.7 | 45.3 |  |

## Nagaland

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 36.3 | 62.7 | 50.0 | 70.1 | 87.8 | 81.8 |
| TV | 49.5 | 75.8 | 63.1 | 45.4 | 67.5 | 60.1 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 22.7 | 41.6 | 32.6 | 12.8 | 31.6 | 25.2 |

## Meghalaya

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 34.7 | 45.0 | 41.3 | 74.5 | 70.2 | 72.0 |
| TV | 52.6 | 62.7 | 59.1 | 43.9 | 55.2 | 50.4 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 25.8 | 29.1 | 27.9 | 12.9 | 23.2 | 18.8 |


|  | Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> PVt |
| Smartphone | 23.9 | 41.8 | 26.1 | 44.2 | 75.1 | 49.3 |
| TV | 59.1 | 83.2 | 62.0 | 65.4 | 79.4 | 67.7 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 38.5 | 70.5 | 42.4 | 42.5 | 71.5 | 47.3 |
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## State estimates

SE6: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the availability of selected household assets for children by the type of school they were enrolled in, for 2018 and 2020. For example, in Punjab in 2018, $47.3 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $79.8 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone. Similarly, in 2020, $83.3 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $93.7 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone.

|  | Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |  |  |  |
| Smartphone | 47.3 | 79.8 | 64.3 | 83.3 | 93.7 | 88.5 |  |  |  |  |
| TV | 92.7 | 98.4 | 95.7 | 84.6 | 93.5 | 89.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 64.9 | 89.8 | 77.9 | 68.7 | 86.7 | 77.6 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |
| Smartphone | 31.2 | 53.7 | 39.7 | 55.4 | 75.5 | 62.9 |  |
| TV | 45.0 | 69.7 | 54.3 | 47.2 | 66.9 | 54.5 |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 48.9 | 68.9 | 56.4 | 51.9 | 72.8 | 59.6 |  |


|  | Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |  |  |  |  |
| Smartphone | 33.9 | 53.5 | 40.2 | 56.9 | 81.1 | 64.1 |  |  |  |  |
| TV | 94.4 | 97.3 | 95.3 | 92.4 | 93.1 | 92.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 67.5 | 81.9 | 72.1 | 69.1 | 81.2 | 72.5 |  |  |  |  |

## Uttar Pradesh

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 19.8 | 38.9 | 30.4 | 44.9 | 64.2 | 53.7 |
| TV | 33.8 | 54.5 | 45.2 | 39.3 | 59.6 | 48.5 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 30.5 | 53.9 | 43.4 | 41.6 | 59.7 | 49.8 |

## Telangana

\% Children

| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 37.6 | 57.2 | 45.8 | 68.1 | 82.5 | 74.0 |
| TV | 87.1 | 94.8 | 90.3 | 88.0 | 94.0 | 90.5 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 48.4 | 71.5 | 58.0 | 57.2 | 75.3 | 64.6 |


|  | Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household <br> resource | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt | Govt | Pvt |  <br> Pvt |
| Smartphone | 36.7 | 63.4 | 47.9 | 65.4 | 85.6 | 74.7 |
| TV | 73.5 | 89.7 | 80.3 | 77.3 | 86.0 | 81.3 |
| Motorized <br> vehicle | 21.4 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 25.8 | 56.6 | 39.9 |

[^20]
## SE6: \% Enrolled children with selected assets available at home. By school type and asset type. 2018 and 2020*

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the availability of selected household assets for children by the type of school they were enrolled in, for 2018 and 2020. For example, in West Bengal in 2018, $25.4 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $43.2 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone. Similarly, in 2020, $44.7 \%$ children enrolled in government schools and $72.3 \%$ children enrolled in private schools had access to a smartphone.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household resource | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ASER 2018 |  |  | ASER 2020 |  |  |
|  | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Smartphone | 25.4 | 43.2 | 26.8 | 44.7 | 72.3 | 47.4 |
| TV | 55.9 | 74.0 | 57.3 | 48.9 | 65.7 | 50.5 |
| Motorized vehicle | 37.9 | 60.3 | 39.7 | 26.5 | 50.2 | 28.8 |

[^21]
## State estimates

SE7: \% Enrolled children who receive help from family members while studying at home. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 63.6 | 84.3 | 70.8 |
| Std VI-XII | 57.8 | 59.8 | 58.2 |
| All | 60.2 | 73.6 | 63.9 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 62.6 | 84.3 | 73.6 |
| Std VI-XII | 71.6 |  | 74.2 |
| All | 67.4 | 81.4 | 73.9 |


| Assam |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 81.1 | 95.4 | 86.6 |
| Std III-V | 76.8 | 90.8 | 81.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 76.8 | 85.9 | 79.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 67.2 | 75.5 | 69.5 |
| All | 75.8 | 88.3 | 79.8 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 78.3 | 87.4 | 80.4 |
| Std III-V | 74.5 | 83.0 | 76.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 73.1 | 83.9 | 74.6 |
| Std IX \& above | 68.6 | 85.3 | 70.5 |
| All | 73.4 | 84.8 | 75.3 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 86.1 | 93.1 | 88.9 |
| Std VI-XII | 80.2 | 95.6 | 83.6 |
| All | 82.5 | 94.1 | 86.0 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 87.4 | 85.3 | 87.1 |
| Std VI-XII | 81.6 | 77.2 | 80.8 |
| All | 84.9 | 81.0 | 84.3 |


| Haryana |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 83.0 | 85.0 | 84.2 |
| Std III-V | 77.1 | 79.3 | 78.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 70.3 | 82.5 | 76.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 64.7 | 69.0 | 66.4 |
| All | 72.2 | 79.6 | 75.8 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 96.7 | 93.0 | 94.3 |
| Std III-V | 91.8 | 92.0 | 91.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 78.4 | 93.0 | 84.3 |
| Std IX \& above | 65.0 | 88.2 | 71.5 |
| All | 78.4 | 91.8 | 84.1 |

## State estimates

SE7: \% Enrolled children who receive help from family members while studying at home. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 59.0 | 74.5 | 68.3 |
| Std III-V | 61.5 | 65.8 | 63.6 |
| Std VI-VIII | 62.1 | 59.8 | 61.3 |
| Std IX \& above | 55.8 | 68.1 | 59.2 |
| All | 59.9 | 67.0 | 63.0 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 76.8 | 86.7 | 79.4 |
| Std III-V | 71.3 | 83.9 | 75.0 |
| Std VI-VIII | 71.8 | 78.8 | 73.6 |
| Std IX \& above | 65.5 | 72.5 | 67.4 |
| All | 71.0 | 80.2 | 73.5 |


| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 84.3 | 91.6 | 87.1 |
| Std III-V | 82.0 | 85.1 | 83.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 80.4 | 81.0 | 80.6 |
| Std IX \& above | 75.3 | 72.9 | 74.8 |
| All | 79.9 | 83.4 | 81.0 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II |  | 87.4 | 87.9 |
| Std III-V |  | 90.5 | 89.4 |
| Std VI-VIII |  | 79.5 | 80.0 |
| Std IX \& above |  | 83.2 | 83.3 |
| All | 84.3 | 85.2 | 85.0 |

## State estimates

SE7: \% Enrolled children who receive help from family members while studying at home. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Nagaland |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 70.6 | 81.9 | 78.4 |
| Std VI-XII | 68.1 | 77.5 | 74.1 |
| All | 69.3 | 79.8 | 76.3 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 77.5 | 90.7 | 81.2 |
| Std III-V | 71.5 | 86.6 | 74.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 66.4 |  | 68.4 |
| Std IX \& above | 67.2 |  | 67.7 |
| All | 69.7 | 85.3 | 72.3 |


|  | Punjab |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 70.8 | 88.8 | 82.0 |
| Std III-V | 76.8 | 80.7 | 79.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 67.9 | 80.9 | 74.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 61.0 | 67.4 | 63.3 |
| All | 67.8 | 79.9 | 73.8 |


|  | Rajasthan |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 59.2 | 74.8 | 66.0 |
| Std III-V | 62.7 | 68.0 | 64.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 57.1 | 67.3 | 60.7 |
| Std IX \& above | 57.4 | 62.6 | 59.0 |
| All | 59.0 | 68.1 | 62.4 |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 81.8 | 84.0 | 82.9 |
| Std III-V | 69.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 |
| Std VI-VIII | 56.6 | 74.0 | 61.0 |
| Std IX \& above | 55.9 | 60.1 | 56.9 |
| All | 62.2 | 76.9 | 66.4 |


|  | Uttar Pradesh |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 75.3 | 84.6 | 79.5 |
| Std III-V | 73.5 | 81.0 | 76.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 68.4 | 78.3 | 72.7 |
| Std IX \& above | 69.3 | 75.3 | 72.8 |
| All | 71.8 | 79.6 | 75.3 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-V | 78.6 | 80.6 | 79.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 61.7 | 78.4 | 68.3 |
| All | 67.7 | 79.5 | 73.1 |

## State estimates

SE7: \% Enrolled children who receive help from family members while studying at home. By grade and school type. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |
| Std I-II | 76.6 |  | 81.0 |
| Std III-V | 78.1 |  | 77.2 |
| Std VI-VIII | 66.7 |  | 67.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 60.4 |  | 59.9 |
| All | 70.4 | 81.6 | 71.5 |

SE8: \% Enrolled children who receive family support for learning. By state and parents' education. 2020.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Parents' education has been categorised as follows: 'low' parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std V or less (including no schooling); 'high' parental education includes families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

This table shows the proportion of children who receive help at home for learning activities, by state and parents' education.
For example, In Andhra Pradesh, $44.3 \%$ children with 'low' parental education received help at home in studying.

| State | Parents' education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Medium | High | All |
| Andhra Pradesh | 44.3 | 67.0 | 83.2 | 64.7 |
| Arunachal Pradesh |  | 76.6 | 94.7 | 74.1 |
| Assam | 55.6 | 80.8 | 91.5 | 79.7 |
| Bihar | 58.7 | 78.9 | 89.0 | 75.6 |
| Chhattisgarh | 69.0 | 86.2 | 98.2 | 85.7 |
| Gujarat | 72.7 | 86.1 | 86.9 | 84.2 |
| Haryana | 58.4 | 74.9 | 85.4 | 76.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh |  | 75.1 | 91.1 | 84.5 |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 40.7 | 65.8 | 79.5 | 63.2 |
| Jharkhand | 48.9 | 75.0 | 91.1 | 71.0 |
| Karnataka | 48.6 | 74.5 | 90.7 | 73.4 |
| Kerala |  | 83.3 | 88.0 | 86.4 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 65.6 | 84.9 | 93.7 | 81.3 |
| Maharashtra | 59.7 | 82.7 | 90.8 | 84.9 |
| Manipur | 67.4 | 82.0 | 93.2 | 86.5 |
| Meghalaya | 35.7 | 73.8 | 86.4 | 59.8 |
| Nagaland | 62.4 | 78.8 | 86.1 | 77.4 |
| Odisha | 56.7 | 65.8 | 87.4 | 72.5 |
| Punjab | 51.6 | 69.2 | 91.0 | 73.9 |
| Rajasthan | 45.0 | 67.9 | 87.2 | 62.4 |
| Tamil Nadu | 37.3 | 65.3 | 83.5 | 67.9 |
| Telangana | 40.3 | 74.4 | 93.8 | 71.7 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 58.6 | 78.4 | 88.6 | 75.4 |
| Uttarakhand | 73.3 | 63.2 | 87.5 | 73.5 |
| West Bengal | 49.1 | 73.9 | 91.6 | 72.4 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

|  | Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |  | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal <br> visit | Other |  |
| Std I-V | 19.0 | 25.2 | 21.2 |  | Govt | 55.3 | 45.5 | 30.2 | 1.3 |  |
| Std VI-XII | 27.2 | 30.1 | 27.9 |  | Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 23.9 | 27.4 | 24.9 |  | Govt \& Pvt | 63.3 | 36.1 | 23.9 | 2.9 |  |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 19.3 | 57.6 | 38.6 | Govt |  |  |  |  |
| Std VI-XII | 57.6 |  | 62.1 | Pvt | 96.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 |
| All | 39.4 | 62.4 | 50.1 | Govt \& Pvt | 93.7 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 2.6 |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 8.6 | 41.5 | 21.3 | Govt | 74.6 | 22.7 | 14.7 | 7.3 |
| Std III-V | 11.5 | 47.4 | 24.4 | Pvt | 86.4 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 12.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 17.5 | 41.2 | 23.8 | Govt \& Pvt | 81.4 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 10.1 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 4.1 | 18.8 | 7.5 | Govt | 57.3 | 17.7 | 33.5 | 7.6 |
| Std III-V | 4.5 | 22.9 | 8.1 | Pvt | 88.9 | 16.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 4.3 | 23.7 | 7.0 | Govt \& Pvt | 73.3 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 5.2 |
| Std IX \& above | 5.4 | 27.9 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 4.6 | 22.7 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 37.2 | 36.0 | 36.7 | Govt | 69.3 | 12.2 | 26.3 | 7.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 39.1 | 45.7 | 40.6 | Pvt | 82.4 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 1.4 |
| All | 38.4 | 39.9 | 38.8 | Govt \& Pvt | 73.4 | 12.6 | 23.2 | 5.7 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 79.7 | 84.0 | 80.3 | Govt | 61.6 | 14.4 | 50.0 | 2.5 |
| Std VI-XII | 84.7 | 81.5 | 84.1 | Pvt | 86.2 | 23.7 | 29.4 | 4.6 |
| All | 81.9 | 82.6 | 82.0 | Govt \& Pvt | 65.4 | 15.9 | 46.8 | 2.8 |


| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 57.8 | 67.5 | 63.9 | Govt | 92.2 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| Std III-V | 67.7 | 74.1 | 70.9 | Pvt | 96.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| Std VI-VIII | 68.9 | 71.9 | 70.3 | Govt \& Pvt | 94.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 |


|  | Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |  | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal <br> visit | Other |  |
| Std I-II | 91.9 | 91.1 | 91.4 |  | Govt | 93.2 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 5.8 |  |
| Std III-V | 91.8 | 92.4 | 92.1 |  | Pvt | 98.9 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 3.0 |  |
| Std VI-VIII | 90.0 | 86.8 | 88.7 |  | Govt \& Pvt | 95.7 | 8.5 | 1.9 | 4.6 |  |

## SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 33.3 | 35.7 | 34.7 | Govt | 51.6 | 9.1 | 51.0 | 7.5 |
| Std III-V | 33.3 | 43.3 | 38.1 | Pvt | 64.5 | 6.7 | 36.5 | 4.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 38.5 | 48.9 | 42.2 | Govt \& Pvt | 57.7 | 8.0 | 44.2 | 6.0 |


| Std IX \& above | 36.7 | 50.3 | 40.4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 35.9 | 43.5 | 39.1 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 25.2 | 20.2 | 23.6 | Govt | 78.4 | 4.0 | 17.6 | 4.1 |
| Std III-V | 29.2 | 21.3 | 27.1 | Pvt | 93.6 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.9 |
| Std VI-VIII | 31.5 | 37.7 | 32.6 | Govt \& Pvt | 81.7 | 3.8 | 14.6 | 3.4 |
| Std IX \& above | 26.5 | 21.3 | 25.3 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt |  | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal <br> visit | Other |  |
| Std I-II | 61.2 | 58.6 | 60.5 |  | Govt | 40.3 | 12.9 | 70.0 | 9.1 |  |
| Std III-V | 76.8 | 70.5 | 75.0 |  | Pvt | 76.2 | 16.1 | 36.5 | 8.4 |  |
| Std VII-VIII | 77.2 | 66.5 | 74.5 |  | Govt \& Pvt | 49.3 | 13.7 | 61.6 | 8.9 |  |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 88.6 | 84.3 | 86.5 | Govt | 97.4 | 20.1 | 0.4 | 3.1 |
| Std VI-XII | 78.2 |  | 79.7 | Pvt | 93.7 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 |
| All | 82.1 | 84.4 | 82.9 | Govt \& Pvt | 96.1 | 18.2 | 0.3 | 5.7 |

For SE10, answer options were read out; respondents could select more than one option.

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 44.1 | 34.3 | 40.3 | Govt | 72.9 | 5.6 | 30.8 | 4.3 |
| Std III-V | 46.6 | 35.1 | 42.5 | Pvt | 88.0 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 3.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 55.3 | 39.1 | 50.8 | Govt \& Pvt | 76.7 | 5.1 | 26.5 | 4.0 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 60.9 | 67.3 | 62.4 | Govt | 89.1 | 10.5 | 14.0 | 6.6 |
| Std III-V | 65.6 | 67.4 | 66.1 | Pvt | 95.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 9.6 |
| Std VI-VIII | 63.3 | 65.1 | 64.0 | Govt \& Pvt | 91.4 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 7.7 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II |  | 27.8 | 26.7 | Govt | 56.3 | 32.8 | 3.2 | 22.3 |
| Std III-V |  | 28.2 | 25.2 | Pvt | 76.6 | 10.4 | 17.6 | 13.3 |
| Std VI-VIII |  | 36.8 | 34.1 | Govt \& Pvt | 75.3 | 11.9 | 16.6 | 13.9 |
| Std IX \& above |  | 36.3 | 34.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 15.1 | 32.3 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 22.0 | 29.7 | 26.6 | Govt | 61.0 | 19.2 | 26.2 | 0.0 |
| Std VI-XII |  | 35.8 | 30.8 | Pvt | 58.8 | 8.7 | 53.5 | 1.7 |
| All | 23.4 | 32.0 | 28.3 | Govt \& Pvt | 59.5 | 12.4 | 43.9 | 1.1 |

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Nagaland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 51.0 | 76.3 | 68.4 | Govt | 74.2 | 5.4 | 26.8 | 0.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 60.5 | 82.3 | 74.3 | Pvt | 88.8 | 7.3 | 16.4 | 2.0 |
| All | 56.0 | 79.1 | 71.3 | Govt \& Pvt | 84.9 | 6.8 | 19.2 | 1.6 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 10.3 | 52.0 | 22.1 | Govt | 69.7 | 8.8 | 22.6 | 2.3 |
| Std III-V | 18.8 | 53.2 | 25.7 | Pvt | 88.5 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 21.2 |  | 25.5 | Govt \& Pvt | 76.3 | 8.3 | 16.8 | 1.8 |
| Std IX \& above | 19.7 |  | 20.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 18.5 | 50.6 | 23.8 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 85.8 | 86.6 | 86.3 | Govt | 93.7 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 5.0 |
| Std III-V | 88.8 | 87.0 | 87.8 | Pvt | 95.1 | 10.1 | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| Std VI-VIII | 88.8 | 89.8 | 89.3 | Govt \& Pvt | 94.4 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 5.1 |
| Std IX \& above | 84.9 | 89.0 | 86.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 87.1 | 88.1 | 87.6 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 16.2 | 13.9 | 15.2 | Govt | 75.9 | 4.2 | 20.1 | 5.4 |
| Std III-V | 20.4 | 19.0 | 19.8 | Pvt | 87.4 | 2.1 | 10.8 | 6.5 |
| Std VI-VIII | 23.2 | 19.7 | 22.0 | Govt \& Pvt | 79.8 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 5.8 |

## State estimates

Facilitated by PRATHAM

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 35.8 | 55.2 | 45.7 | Govt | 73.2 | 13.0 | 14.1 | 3.6 |
| Std III-V | 35.0 | 49.3 | 39.1 | Pvt | 93.9 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Std VI-VIII | 34.0 | 45.2 | 36.8 | Govt \& Pvt | 80.3 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 3.0 |


| Telangana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 63.2 | 31.8 | 46.7 | Govt | 42.7 | 32.7 | 38.7 | 5.7 |
| Std VI-XII | 70.2 | 46.8 | 63.5 | Pvt | 59.4 | 33.6 | 17.9 | 5.9 |
| All | 67.4 | 37.0 | 55.0 | Govt \& Pvt | 47.3 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 5.8 |


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 18.6 | 23.3 | 20.7 | Govt | 61.0 | 14.0 | 28.4 | 10.3 |
| Std III-V | 19.6 | 21.9 | 20.6 | Pvt | 83.6 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 4.8 |
| Std VI-VIII | 20.4 | 24.3 | 22.1 | Govt \& Pvt | 72.3 | 10.2 | 19.6 | 7.6 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-V | 74.8 | 75.5 | 75.1 | Govt | 88.3 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 3.5 |
| Std VI-XII | 78.3 | 70.3 | 75.1 | Pvt | 97.9 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 1.8 |
| All | 77.0 | 72.9 | 75.1 | Govt \& Pvt | 92.6 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 2.8 |

## State estimates

SE9: \% Enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week. By grade and school type. 2020

SE10: Of enrolled children who received learning materials/activities in the reference week, \% children who received these through different mediums. By school type and medium. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Govt | Pvt | Govt \& Pvt | School type | WhatsApp | Phone call | Personal visit | Other |
| Std I-II | 13.8 |  | 17.8 | Govt | 24.1 | 4.6 | 68.6 | 3.8 |
| Std III-V | 19.9 |  | 22.2 | Pvt |  |  |  |  |
| Std VI-VIII | 18.6 |  | 20.7 | Govt \& Pvt | 30.8 | 7.6 | 59.0 | 5.1 |

## State estimates

## SE11: Of enrolled children who did not receive learning materials/activities during the reference week reasons given by parents. By school type and reason. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 85.4 | 10.5 | 14.2 | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| Pvt | 73.9 | 15.9 | 21.0 | 10.1 | 2.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 82.3 | 11.9 | 16.0 | 5.4 | 2.6 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | School not sending | No internet | No smartphone | Connectivity issues | Other |
| Govt | 35.3 | 12.1 | 13.7 | 17.5 | 31.1 |
| Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 36.1 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 29.6 |
| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |
| School type | School not sending | No internet | No smartphone | Connectivity issues | Other |
| Govt | 82.1 | 11.5 | 19.9 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| Pvt | 75.7 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 81.1 | 11.8 | 19.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 46.3 | 9.8 | 22.3 | 4.9 | 22.1 |
| Pvt | 53.2 | 6.8 | 17.0 | 6.1 | 21.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 48.5 | 8.9 | 20.6 | 5.3 | 21.9 |


| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 14.2 | 2.1 | 36.9 | 0.6 | 51.2 |
| Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 15.3 | 4.2 | 26.1 | 2.2 | 55.7 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 62.7 | 9.9 | 31.6 | 15.9 | 1.0 |
| Pvt | 74.6 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 17.4 | 0.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 67.3 | 10.5 | 23.4 | 16.5 | 0.9 |

[^22]
## State estimates

## SE11: Of enrolled children who did not receive learning materials/activities during the reference week reasons given by parents. By school type and reason. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { sending } \end{aligned}$ | No internet | No smartphone | Connectivity issues | Other |
| Govt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 37.6 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 1.3 | 49.4 |
| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |
| School type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { sending } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { internet } \end{gathered}$ | No smartphone | Connectivity issues | Other |
| Govt | 56.9 | 8.3 | 33.8 | 4.1 | 6.9 |
| Pvt | 60.2 | 9.7 | 30.7 | 2.7 | 6.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 58.0 | 8.8 | 32.7 | 3.6 | 6.6 |


|  | Manipur |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pvt | 84.1 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 3.5 | 7.8 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 84.8 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 7.6 |


|  | Nagaland |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other


|  | Odisha |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other


| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 32.9 | 7.6 | 43.8 | 2.7 | 12.9 |
| Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 45.2 | 5.4 | 31.7 | 3.9 | 17.9 |


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 64.6 | 9.7 | 26.6 | 5.7 | 6.4 |
| Pvt | 73.5 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 68.0 | 9.9 | 20.8 | 5.7 | 6.4 |

## State estimates

SE11: Of enrolled children who did not receive learning materials/activities during the reference week reasons given by parents. By school type and reason. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

|  | Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues |  |  |  | Other


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 57.3 | 14.8 | 32.1 | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| Pvt | 60.7 | 13.8 | 23.8 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 58.8 | 14.4 | 28.4 | 3.9 | 4.5 |


|  | West Bengal |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |
| Govt | 83.4 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
| Pvt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 83.5 | 9.9 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 2.8 |


|  | Telangana |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues | Other |  |
| Govt | 58.0 | 7.8 | 29.9 | 1.3 | 13.3 |  |
| Pvt | 67.7 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 19.4 |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 63.9 | 6.8 | 18.2 | 3.6 | 17.0 |  |


|  | Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | School <br> not <br> sending | No <br> internet |  | No <br> smartphone | Connec- <br> tivity <br> issues |  | Other

## State estimates

## SE12: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school type and type of material. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

|  | Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 24.4 | 19.7 | 33.2 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 3.2 |
| Pvt | 26.1 | 20.4 | 24.1 | 0.7 | 23.3 | 13.8 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 24.9 | 19.9 | 30.7 | 0.9 | 14.8 | 6.2 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 50.0 | 19.8 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 15.5 |
| Pvt | 66.4 | 46.8 | 9.4 | 2.9 | 39.0 | 22.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 57.7 | 32.4 | 12.6 | 1.4 | 32.1 | 18.6 |


|  | Assam |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 48.3 | 15.7 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 9.5 | 3.5 |
| Pvt | 62.7 | 27.5 | 7.8 | 2.5 | 20.4 | 12.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 52.9 | 19.5 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 13.0 | 6.2 |


|  | Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 57.1 | 35.2 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 2.9 |
| Pvt | 71.9 | 54.1 | 13.2 | 0.6 | 24.2 | 13.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 59.6 | 38.4 | 9.6 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 4.7 |


|  | Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 73.9 | 28.9 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 23.8 | 24.8 |
| Pvt | 58.6 | 35.8 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 29.7 | 28.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 69.3 | 31.0 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 25.6 | 25.9 |


|  | Gujarat |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 81.4 | 36.2 | 59.6 | 3.0 | 50.6 | 28.5 |
| Pvt | 82.6 | 39.7 | 47.2 | 2.2 | 67.4 | 41.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 81.6 | 36.8 | 57.7 | 2.9 | 53.2 | 30.5 |

## SE12: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school

 type and type of material. 2020Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
| School type | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 60.4 | 38.5 | 17.6 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 17.0 |
| Pvt | 67.3 | 47.7 | 15.0 | 1.1 | 34.8 | 28.6 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 63.8 | 43.0 | 16.3 | 1.8 | 33.7 | 22.7 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 77.3 | 48.9 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 62.5 | 13.6 |
| Pvt | 80.6 | 56.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 71.4 | 39.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 78.7 | 52.1 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 66.3 | 24.5 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> Thaditional | Broadcast |  | Online |  |  |
| book- | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |  |
| Govt | 47.1 | 23.5 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 16.6 | 14.7 |
| Pvt | 55.2 | 23.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 18.8 | 17.1 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 50.6 | 23.5 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 17.6 | 15.7 |

*Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 70.7 | 50.0 | 28.1 | 2.7 | 25.5 | 10.6 |
| Pvt | 64.8 | 45.2 | 23.1 | 3.0 | 39.7 | 24.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 69.2 | 48.7 | 26.7 | 2.8 | 29.3 | 14.3 |


|  | Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 53.3 | 23.1 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 18.0 | 4.4 |
| Pvt | 56.5 | 29.9 | 13.8 | 0.6 | 27.6 | 19.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 54.1 | 24.7 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 8.0 |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 84.8 | 70.5 | 71.5 | 1.6 | 41.6 | 30.3 |
| Pvt | 89.5 | 76.3 | 29.5 | 1.9 | 44.5 | 53.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 86.5 | 72.6 | 56.5 | 1.7 | 42.7 | 38.5 |

## State estimates

## SE12: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school type and type of material. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional <br> Text- <br> book | Wroadcast <br> Sheet | TV | RadioOnline <br> Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes |  | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 70.6 | 50.3 | 25.0 | 12.4 | 30.9 | 6.8 |
| Pvt | 57.0 | 34.7 | 21.7 | 4.0 | 27.4 | 8.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 66.5 | 45.6 | 24.0 | 9.9 | 29.8 | 7.3 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 71.9 | 33.4 | 38.6 | 3.6 | 34.0 | 16.6 |
| Pvt | 66.4 | 30.2 | 31.5 | 3.2 | 40.8 | 23.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 69.9 | 32.3 | 36.1 | 3.5 | 36.4 | 19.0 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
| Text- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radiores/ <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |  |
| Govt | 70.4 | 50.4 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 6.5 |
| Pvt | 71.5 | 48.9 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 14.4 | 5.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 71.3 | 49.1 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 13.8 | 5.2 |


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
| School type | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 38.7 | 22.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| Pvt | 34.2 | 25.6 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 36.1 | 24.3 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 8.3 | 6.0 |


|  | Nagaland |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 52.6 | 36.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 16.9 | 9.4 |
| Pvt | 80.1 | 45.1 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 21.9 | 21.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 70.8 | 42.3 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 20.2 | 17.4 |


|  | Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 59.2 | 32.2 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 5.2 |
| Pvt | 76.9 | 47.2 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 30.3 | 15.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 62.1 | 34.7 | 10.6 | 1.2 | 13.9 | 7.0 |

## State estimates

SE12: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school type and type of material. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 86.3 | 63.2 | 32.6 | 2.1 | 48.2 | 24.1 |
| Pvt | 88.7 | 67.9 | 10.5 | 1.5 | 60.7 | 52.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 87.5 | 65.6 | 21.6 | 1.8 | 54.4 | 38.4 |


|  | Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional <br> Text- <br> book |  | Broadcast <br> sheet |  | TV | Online |  |
| Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govt | 45.9 | 22.1 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 11.7 | 4.2 |  |
| Pvt | 43.8 | 26.4 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 7.0 |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 45.1 | 23.7 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 12.9 | 5.2 |  |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional |  | Broadcast |  | Online |  |
|  | Textbook | Worksheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ recorded classes | Live online classes |
| Govt | 55.8 | 24.1 | 47.3 | 2.8 | 14.6 | 5.3 |
| Pvt | 54.5 | 22.7 | 29.8 | 2.1 | 29.0 | 21.1 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 55.4 | 23.7 | 42.3 | 2.6 | 18.7 | 9.8 |


| Telangana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 66.7 | 52.1 | 75.1 | 0.7 | 32.0 | 16.9 |
| Pvt | 41.3 | 35.3 | 47.7 | 0.2 | 32.7 | 28.6 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 56.4 | 45.3 | 64.1 | 0.5 | 32.3 | 21.6 |


|  | Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |
| Govt | 49.3 | 28.9 | 10.8 | 4.1 | 12.2 | 4.7 |
| Pvt | 55.8 | 38.0 | 16.4 | 3.6 | 21.6 | 11.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 52.3 | 33.1 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 16.5 | 7.8 |


|  | Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Text- <br> book | Work- <br> sheet | TV | RadioVideos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |
| Govt | 58.9 | 35.9 | 21.1 | 0.4 | 24.8 | 10.9 |
| Pvt | 70.5 | 45.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 38.0 | 20.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 64.2 | 40.2 | 15.8 | 0.5 | 30.9 | 15.3 |

## State estimates

## SE12: \% Enrolled children who did learning activities during the reference week. By school type and type of material. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

|  | West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Traditional <br> Text- <br> book |  | Wroadcast <br> sheet | TV | Online |  |
| Radio | Videos/ <br> re- <br> corded <br> classes | Live <br> online <br> classes |  |  |  |  |
| Govt | 63.1 | 34.8 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 3.9 |
| Pvt | 76.4 | 40.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 11.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 64.4 | 35.4 | 10.0 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 4.6 |

## State estimates

## SE13: \% Enrolled children by the number of learning activities done during the reference week. By school type and number of activities. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the engagement of children enrolled in schools with learning activities in the reference week by school type. For example, in Andhra Pradesh, for children enrolled in government schools, $50.9 \%$ children did not do any learning activity in the reference week, $23.1 \%$ children did one learning activity, $13.7 \%$ children did two learning activities, and so on.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 50.9 | 23.1 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 100 |
| Pvt | 49.0 | 21.1 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 50.4 | 22.5 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 100 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 39.6 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 100 |
| Pvt | 20.4 | 20.2 | 26.9 | 32.6 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 30.6 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 25.7 | 100 |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 43.9 | 35.2 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Pvt | 29.1 | 33.1 | 19.9 | 18.0 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 39.2 | 34.5 | 16.7 | 9.7 | 100 |


| Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 33.5 | 31.4 | 27.5 | 7.6 | 100 |
| Pvt | 18.1 | 24.2 | 34.0 | 23.7 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 30.9 | 30.2 | 28.6 | 10.3 | 100 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 18.9 | 35.8 | 22.5 | 22.8 | 100 |
| Pvt | 25.3 | 25.9 | 21.3 | 27.4 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 20.8 | 32.8 | 22.2 | 24.2 | 100 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 8.1 | 14.8 | 24.4 | 52.7 | 100 |
| Pvt | 6.5 | 8.9 | 24.8 | 59.8 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 7.8 | 13.9 | 24.4 | 53.8 | 100 |


| Haryana |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 29.8 | 18.8 | 19.7 | 31.7 | 100 |
| Pvt | 19.7 | 19.1 | 26.1 | 35.1 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 24.8 | 19.0 | 22.8 | 33.4 | 100 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 12.3 | 17.3 | 28.3 | 42.2 | 100 |
| Pvt | 10.7 | 12.2 | 19.4 | 57.7 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 11.6 | 15.1 | 24.5 | 48.8 | 100 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 45.9 | 23.0 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 100 |
| Pvt | 33.9 | 32.7 | 16.0 | 17.4 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 40.8 | 27.1 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 100 |

[^23]
## State estimates

## SE13: \% Enrolled children by the number of learning activities done during the reference week. By school type and number of activities. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the engagement of children enrolled in schools with learning activities in the reference week by school type. For example, in Karnataka, for children enrolled in government schools, $18.8 \%$ children did not do any learning activity in the reference week, $20.1 \%$ children did one learning activity, $34.5 \%$ children did two learning activities, and so on.

| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 18.8 | 20.1 | 34.5 | 26.7 | 100 |
| Pvt | 18.4 | 19.5 | 30.3 | 31.9 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 18.6 | 20.0 | 33.4 | 28.1 | 100 |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 6.5 | 6.6 | 15.1 | 71.9 | 100 |
| Pvt | 2.8 | 10.1 | 18.4 | 68.7 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 5.2 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 70.8 | 100 |


| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 18.7 | 20.2 | 26.8 | 34.4 | 100 |
| Pvt | 30.1 | 22.1 | 24.9 | 22.9 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 22.1 | 20.8 | 26.2 | 30.9 | 100 |


|  | Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |  |
| Govt | 16.8 | 24.5 | 24.2 | 34.5 | 100 |  |
| Pvt | 18.9 | 23.5 | 21.9 | 35.7 | 100 |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 17.5 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 34.9 | 100 |  |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 23.5 | 23.7 | 31.8 | 21.0 | 100 |
| Pvt | 24.3 | 20.1 | 33.5 | 22.0 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 24.2 | 20.6 | 33.3 | 21.9 | 100 |


| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 59.3 | 17.0 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 100 |
| Pvt | 60.2 | 17.4 | 15.6 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 59.8 | 17.2 | 14.4 | 8.6 | 100 |


| Nagaland |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 40.1 | 19.7 | 18.9 | 21.3 | 100 |
| Pvt | 13.8 | 32.0 | 27.6 | 26.7 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 22.7 | 27.8 | 24.6 | 24.9 | 100 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 32.1 | 33.1 | 25.4 | 9.4 | 100 |
| Pvt | 17.6 | 19.2 | 35.7 | 27.5 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 29.7 | 30.8 | 27.1 | 12.4 | 100 |


| Punjab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 5.4 | 16.3 | 27.7 | 50.6 | 100 |
| Pvt | 5.1 | 10.2 | 19.9 | 64.9 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 5.3 | 13.2 | 23.8 | 57.7 | 100 |


|  | Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |  |
| Govt | 49.6 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 9.1 | 100 |  |
| Pvt | 48.7 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 11.7 | 100 |  |
| Govt \& Pvt | 49.2 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 10.1 | 100 |  |

## State estimates

## SE13: \% Enrolled children by the number of learning activities done during the reference week. By school type and number of activities. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
This table shows the engagement of children enrolled in schools with learning activities in the reference week by school type. For example, in Tamil Nadu, for children enrolled in government schools, $26.5 \%$ children did not do any learning activity in the reference week, $29.5 \%$ children did one learning activity, $23.1 \%$ children did two learning activities, and so on.

| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 26.5 | 29.5 | 23.1 | 20.9 | 100 |
| Pvt | 30.0 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 24.8 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 27.5 | 27.4 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 100 |


| Telangana |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 7.0 | 18.0 | 25.7 | 49.3 | 100 |
| Pvt | 19.8 | 26.9 | 25.4 | 27.9 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 12.2 | 21.6 | 25.6 | 40.7 | 100 |


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 43.4 | 23.8 | 20.5 | 12.3 | 100 |
| Pvt | 35.2 | 17.9 | 24.4 | 22.5 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 39.7 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 16.9 | 100 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 29.1 | 25.9 | 20.6 | 24.4 | 100 |
| Pvt | 24.0 | 19.8 | 21.0 | 35.2 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 26.8 | 23.1 | 20.8 | 29.4 | 100 |


| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> type | No <br> activity | 1 <br> activity | 2 | 3 or <br> more | Total |
| Govt | 29.0 | 33.7 | 28.1 | 9.2 | 100 |
| Pvt | 21.9 | 31.4 | 27.8 | 18.9 | 100 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 28.3 | 33.5 | 28.1 | 10.1 | 100 |

## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Andhra Pradesh, of children enrolled in government school, $26.7 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $25.6 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $8.9 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $36.2 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Andhra Pradesh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 26.7 | 25.6 | 8.9 | 36.2 |
| Pvt | 28.3 | 24.7 | 10.6 | 28.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 27.2 | 25.3 | 9.4 | 34.2 |


| Arunachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 27.7 | 24.8 | 26.4 | 44.4 |
| Pvt | 35.1 | 26.7 | 31.0 | 23.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 31.2 | 25.7 | 28.4 | 34.6 |


| Assam |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 15.1 | 11.9 | 22.7 | 40.3 |
| Pvt | 35.1 | 28.6 | 29.7 | 31.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 21.5 | 17.3 | 24.5 | 37.4 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Bihar, of children enrolled in government school, $8.7 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $13.4 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $6.9 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $18 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Bihar |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 8.7 | 13.4 | 6.9 | 18.0 |
| Pvt | 26.1 | 31.0 | 9.6 | 14.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 11.7 | 16.4 | 7.2 | 17.4 |


| Chhattisgarh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 37.1 | 30.8 | 37.0 | 55.0 |
| Pvt | 38.8 | 44.8 | 30.6 | 36.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 37.6 | 35.0 | 35.2 | 49.7 |


| Gujarat |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 74.3 | 53.4 | 25.7 | 49.9 |
| Pvt | 68.2 | 63.9 | 39.6 | 30.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 73.4 | 55.0 | 28.0 | 47.1 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Haryana, of children enrolled in government school, $54.9 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $45.5 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $31.9 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $41 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Haryana |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 54.9 | 45.5 | 31.9 | 41.0 |
| Pvt | 54.8 | 50.0 | 31.4 | 35.1 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 54.9 | 47.7 | 31.6 | 38.1 |


| Himachal Pradesh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 70.2 | 62.8 | 64.0 | 79.2 |
| Pvt | 73.0 | 70.0 | 72.8 | 65.7 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 71.4 | 65.9 | 67.2 | 74.1 |


| Jammu and Kashmir |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 30.4 | 31.6 | 11.7 | 35.5 |
| Pvt | 30.6 | 36.5 | 15.3 | 31.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 30.5 | 33.7 | 13.1 | 33.7 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Jharkhand, of children enrolled in government school, $28.6 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $22.9 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $10.4 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $35.4 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 28.6 | 22.9 | 10.4 | 35.4 |
| Pvt | 25.3 | 27.8 | 12.0 | 18.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 27.8 | 24.1 | 10.8 | 31.5 |


| Karnataka |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 61.0 | 55.6 | 23.8 | 56.6 |
| Pvt | 55.6 | 54.3 | 25.1 | 45.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 59.6 | 55.2 | 24.1 | 53.6 |


| Kerala |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 68.8 | 51.8 | 76.7 | 61.0 |
| Pvt | 71.0 | 61.6 | 76.0 | 56.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 69.6 | 55.3 | 76.4 | 59.2 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Madhya Pradesh, of children enrolled in government school, $50.8 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/ child in the reference week, and $44.2 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $32.3 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $50.9 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Madhya Pradesh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 50.8 | 44.2 | 32.3 | 50.9 |
| Pvt | 37.5 | 32.3 | 33.6 | 36.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 46.8 | 40.6 | 32.8 | 46.6 |


| Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 57.0 | 50.5 | 38.1 | 65.9 |
| Pvt | 49.6 | 47.9 | 37.2 | 56.9 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 54.4 | 49.6 | 37.7 | 62.7 |


| Manipur |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 13.2 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 24.5 |
| Pvt | 14.2 | 15.0 | 32.4 | 33.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 14.1 | 15.7 | 30.4 | 31.9 |

[^28]
## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Meghalaya, of children enrolled in government school, $24.9 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $12.1 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $21.9 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $24.3 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Meghalaya |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 24.9 | 12.1 | 21.9 | 24.3 |
| Pvt | 23.1 | 26.3 | 19.5 | 26.4 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 23.9 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 25.5 |


| Nagaland |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 33.0 | 38.3 | 20.7 | 45.6 |
| Pvt | 65.4 | 67.0 | 37.1 | 72.5 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 54.4 | 57.3 | 28.8 | 63.4 |


| Odisha |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 17.4 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 35.9 |
| Pvt | 31.8 | 31.9 | 27.8 | 40.1 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 19.8 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 36.6 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

## Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Punjab, of children enrolled in government school, $74.9 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $60 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $61.9 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $74.7 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Punjab |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 74.9 | 60.0 | 61.9 | 74.7 |
| Pvt | 67.1 | 60.0 | 61.4 | 65.6 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 71.0 | 60.0 | 61.6 | 70.2 |


| Rajasthan |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 30.0 | 26.3 | 23.4 | 38.5 |
| Pvt | 22.5 | 26.6 | 25.8 | 25.7 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 27.2 | 26.4 | 24.3 | 33.9 |


| Tamil Nadu |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 39.2 | 28.7 | 16.2 | 45.5 |
| Pvt | 45.6 | 36.6 | 12.3 | 16.8 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 41.0 | 31.0 | 15.2 | 37.3 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in Telangana, of children enrolled in government school, $67.5 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $52.4 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $13.8 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $45.2 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| Telangana |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 67.5 | 52.4 | 13.8 | 45.2 |
| Pvt | 43.1 | 33.0 | 3.1 | 28.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 57.6 | 44.6 | 7.8 | 38.3 |


| Uttar Pradesh |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 24.1 | 23.6 | 11.0 | 30.0 |
| Pvt | 28.8 | 28.2 | 13.1 | 18.0 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 26.3 | 25.7 | 11.9 | 24.6 |


| Uttarakhand |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 55.4 | 48.9 | 46.9 | 65.0 |
| Pvt | 60.6 | 50.4 | 39.5 | 49.3 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 57.8 | 49.6 | 43.7 | 59.2 |
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## SE14: \% Enrolled children in contact with schools. By school type and type of contact. 2020

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.
Households were asked about contact with teachers during the reference week. If there had been no contact, they were asked if there had been any contact since the lockdown began. This table summarizes what households reported, by school type and nature of contact. For example, in West Bengal, of children enrolled in government school, $10.3 \%$ children's teachers had visited or called the parent/child in the reference week, and $10.6 \%$ children or their parents had visited or called the teacher in the reference week. Of those who had no contact during the reference week, $32.5 \%$ children/parents and teachers had called or visited each other at least once since the lockdown began to discuss learning materials/activities or child's progress/wellbeing. Similarly, $43.3 \%$ children's teachers and parents had contacted each other at least once since the lockdown began for administrative purposes.

| West Bengal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contact to discuss learning materials/activities and child's progress/wellbeing |  |  | Contact for administrative purposes |
| School type | Teacher visited or called parent/child in the reference week | Parent/child visited or called teacher in the reference week | Of those who had no contact in the reference week, teacher or parent/ child called or visited each otherat least once since the lockdown | Teacher or parent/child contacted each other at least once since the lockdown |
| Govt | 10.3 | 10.6 | 32.5 | 43.3 |
| Pvt | 30.8 | 30.6 | 38.8 | 44.2 |
| Govt \& Pvt | 12.3 | 12.6 | 33.0 | 43.4 |
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## ASER 2020 Wave 1 process documents



## Training

The ASER survey is conducted in almost every rural district in India, usually with the help of local organizations and institutions like universities, colleges, and non-governmental organizations. However, this year was different. The survey was conducted in most states by Pratham and ASER teams themselves. In the few states, where there is no Pratham presence, it was conducted with the help of local partner organizations or independent local volunteers.

In all, 1,382 internal staff and 132 external volunteers conducted the ASER 2020 Wave 1 phone survey, reaching 584 districts in 26 states and 4 union territories, 52,227 households and 8,963 schools in more than 16,974 villages across India. As in every ASER, for the surveyors to be able to conduct the survey properly, they needed to be trained rigorously.

In the light of COVID-19, ASER 2020 survey training was conducted virtually for the first time, with surveyors participating in training from their homes in different locations across the country. Various new methods were employed to make the training as comprehensive and effective as a regular in-person training. The ASER training process was designed to give surveyors the skills needed to conduct a phone survey including managing calling lists and tracking repeat attempts to phone numbers that did not connect in the first instance, introducing themselves and the survey to the respondent, explaining the objectives and importance of the data being collected in this survey, asking survey questions clearly and precisely, recording information over a phone call, and entering this information accurately in the survey application.

ASER survey trainings followed a two-tier model that consisted of:

## National training:

ASER central team trained all ASER state teams and selected Pratham team members who would conduct survey process trainings at the state level

## State level training:

Surveyors from Pratham teams and external partner organizations and local volunteers were trained state-wise

Standardization in training and survey is extremely important in order to ensure that the data collected is reliable and valid across districts and states. For this purpose, the guidelines and instructions for the training delivered were clear and consistent across tiers, so that each participant was able to conduct the survey accurately following the same protocols.

## Tier I: National training:

The ASER 2020 survey began with a 6-day national training from 2 to 7 September. Conducted over Zoom, an online meeting platform, the training comprised 140 participants drawn from the ASER central team, ASER state teams from across the country, selected Pratham team members, and external guests. The main objective was to thoroughly train state teams on all survey formats and processes, so that they could deliver the training at the state level. Participants attended 5 days of virtual classroom sessions (about 4 hours per day) and a half day was dedicated to making pilot phone calls. 1-2 days of mock training sessions were held additionally to prepare trainers in their delivery of content.

Key aspects of the national training included:

- Virtual classroom sessions: These were designed to provide a theoretical understanding of the survey process, quality control processes, sampling for the survey, etc. Presentations, role plays, and energizers were used to make the virtual classroom sessions effective and engaging. To ensure that there was a more participative learning environment, roleplay sessions were held in breakout rooms with 7-8 participants in each room so that every participant got a chance to practice the administration of the survey questionnaire.
- Pilot calls: Each participant was assigned a few household numbers to practice calling actual respondents. These pilot calls were extremely useful for the participants to get hands-on experience of doing the phone survey.
- Quiz: A quiz was administered in order to ensure that every participant understood the survey content and the quality control processes thoroughly. Additional sessions were organised to clarify doubts. The quiz was conducted in an online format, enabling prompt sharing of results and clarification of doubts.
- Mock training: Mock training sessions gauged participants' ability to train on the survey process and assisted them in improving the quality of training. Participants were allotted topics to train on and were assessed by experienced Pratham/ASER master trainers. Personalized feedback was given to each participant.
- State planning: Survey roll-out plans for each state were finalised, including the shortlisting of surveyors, district allocation to managers, plans for state level trainings, timelines for execution of the survey, and detailed budgeting, among others.


## Tier II: State level training:

State level trainings spanned 3-4 days. 128 Pratham/ASER members trained 1,386 surveyors on how to conduct the phone survey. Like national training, key elements of the state level trainings included virtual classroom sessions, pilot calls and a quiz. Surveyors who scored low on the quiz or did not show a good performance during the role play sessions were replaced, re-trained or provided additional support during the survey. It was mandatory for all participants to be present on all days of the training.

## Monitoring of trainings:

Specific steps were taken to ensure that the key aspects of training were implemented across all state level training sessions:

- State level training sessions were attended and monitored by the head of the Pratham programs in the state as well as members of the ASER central team.
- Records were maintained for each surveyor. These records contained attendance for each day of training, quiz marks, and role play performance. The data in this sheet was used to select surveyors for monitoring and recheck.



## Survey Process

## Getting ready for the survey

The surveyor should keep all essential items (phone, earphones, drinking water, formats, stationery, phone charger) ready before making the calls. She must practice and revise the introduction to be given to the respondent before making the calls. It is important that she check all numbers to be called for the day in the call log sheets, keep all survey formats ready, and as far as possible, sit in a quiet place with good network connectivity before starting calls.

## 1. Household survey

This section describes the household survey process.

## - What to do when calling a household

Purpose: Surveyor introduces herself to the respondent, explains the rationale behind the household survey and how the data will be used.

Introducing oneself on the call: Conducting a survey over the phone where neither party can see the other is difficult, and everyone is apprehensive of cold calls - the purpose behind them, how the surveyor got the number, what will be done with the personal information, why one should cooperate, etc. It is important to explain these things on each call in a standardized manner:

- Who the surveyor/organization is
- How we got the respondent's number
- Why we are calling
- How we will use the information collected
- How we will keep their identity confidential.

The answers to these questions form a part of the introduction script. Surveyor uses the introduction script to introduce herself, the organization and the survey; and to confirm that the correct person has been called by confirming the village, block and district where they live.

Confirming the location: The call log sheets list the sampled households with their village, block, and district locations, which were recorded during ASER 2018. The first step after the surveyor explains where she is calling from once the call connects is to confirm whether the recorded location is correct. For this, she asks the respondent: "Are you staying in $\qquad$ village of $\qquad$ block in $\qquad$ district?". If the respondent identifies the location as correct, then the conversation is continued.

Introduction script, rationale and usage: Once the location is confirmed as correct, the surveyor clarifies how she got the respondent's number referring to two other surveyors who must have visited the household two years ago to conduct the ASER 2018 survey. While explaining the rationale for calling/purpose of the survey, she emphasizes the following points:

- Children's learning has been affected since schools closed due to the pandemic
- It is important to find out how children are learning at home, what support they are receiving from schools/families, and what challenges they face
- The survey is being conducted in 1,00,000 households. The data will be collated and presented, and the respondent's and child's name will be kept confidential
- The data will be useful for various stakeholders trying to support children's learning during the pandemic.


## Introduction script

Script to introduce yourself during the household phone survey

Good morning/afternoon/evening! I am calling from an NGO called Pratham which works in children's education. Are you staying in $\qquad$ village of $\qquad$ block in $\qquad$ district?

## <lf Yes, then ask>

Some of my colleagues came to your house two years ago, in 2018, to conduct a survey on children's education (ASER survey). As you know, ever since the lockdown began in March this year and schools were shut, children's learning has been affected.

We are conducting this phone survey to understand how 5-16-year-old children are studying/learning at home during the lockdown, what support are they receiving from their schools/teachers/parents, what challenges are they facing, etc.

We are conducting this survey all over India in about 1 lac households. We will collate and present data in a report so that the findings can be discussed with all stakeholders and informed policy decisions can be taken.

Please be assured your name or your child's name(s) will not be published anywhere in the report. This information will be completely confidential. I can share the address and phone number of the office with you if you require any further clarifications.

This survey will take about 15-20 minutes. Can we talk now?
<If Yes, start the conversation and ask questions in the same order as listed in the 'Household Survey Sheet'.>
<lf No, ask for a new time to call the respondent back.>

## <lf No, then>

<Thank the respondent and end the call.>

## - How to fill the household call log sheet

Purpose: To note the call connection status and the survey completion status for each sampled household.
Household call log sheet: The household call log sheet contains the record of calls to be made to all the households assigned to a surveyor. The call log sheet gives the following information for each household: whether the call made was answered, the number of attempts made till the call was answered, and whether the survey was completed. Each row of the household call log sheet contains information for one household. The phone number provided for each household is used to contact the household for the survey. The general information section is pre-filled by the ASER state team and given to each surveyor before the start of the survey. The surveyor checks the household call log sheet at the start of each survey day to identify all the households to be called that day.

Attempts and time slots: The surveyor makes a maximum of three additional attempts to each number that does not connect in the first attempt. This is done to maximize reach in the survey. The attempts are spread across the day. Time slots can be before and after 1 pm . Each new attempt is made in a new time slot. For example, if the first attempt to a school is at 10 am and the call does not connect, the second attempt is made after 1 pm . This increases the chances of the call being answered. The date and time for each new attempt is recorded in the section 'call connection status'.

Call connection status: Call connection status gives information about whether the surveyor could reach a particular household and the reason if she could not. For every call attempt to a household, the call connection status is recorded as per the codes given for each possible situation, along with the date and time when the household was called.

| Call connection status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Possibility | Action to be taken |
| 1 | Call connected - someone answers the call | Surveyor continues with the survey |
| 2 | Invalid number - number does not exist/is temporarily out of order | Surveyor ends the survey. Does not |
| 3 | Incoming not allowed - incoming calls have been suspended on a number temporarily or permanently | make any more attempts at this number. |
| 4 | Number busy - includes call waiting | Surveyor makes another attempt in the next assigned time slot |
| 5 | Number not reachable - phone is out of network coverage area |  |
| 6 | Switched off |  |
| 7 | No response - phone ringing but not answered |  |

Survey completion status: Survey completion status gives information about whether the surveyor could complete the survey of a household after the call connected and the reasons if not. For every call answered, the survey completion status is recorded as per the codes given for each possible situation.

| Survey completion status |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Code | Possibility | Action to be taken |
| 1 | Survey completed - the whole questionnaire was administered and <br> answered by the respondent | Not applicable |
| 2 | Refused to participate - respondent does not want to be part of the survey |  |

- Case: Incorrect village/district: In case the respondent does not know this location and says she has never lived in such a place, then such a household is recorded as 'incorrect village/district' with code 3 in survey completion status in the household call log sheet. In such a scenario, the surveyor thanks the respondent for their time and ends the survey.
- Case: Refusal to participate: Even after explaining rationale and usage, some respondents may not want to participate in the survey. In this case the surveyor:
- Does not give up immediately
- Acknowledges participants' concerns and emphasizes complete confidentiality
- Reiterates the importance of this data in spreading awareness about the condition of children's learning in the pandemic.

If the respondent still does not want to participate, then the surveyor records such a household as 'refused to participate' with code 2 in survey completion status in the household call log sheet, thanks the respondent and ends the call. No further attempts to this number are made.

- Case: Rescheduling the call: In some cases, the respondent may be busy when called and may request a call back at some other time. In such situations, the surveyor explains that the survey will take only 10-15 minutes and requests them to spare the time if possible. If the respondent still asks to call some other time, then the surveyor makes a note of this in survey completion status and also records the next preferred date and time at which the respondent is to be called back under the next attempt in the call connection status of the household call log sheet.
- Other cases:
- No child age 5-16 years in the household: The surveyor asks and records only Q1 and Q2 from the household survey sheet (Section A), marks 'survey completed' in survey completion status in the household call log sheet and ends the call.
- A child answers the call: The surveyor asks the child to let her speak to an adult in the household. If an adult is not available, she asks the child for a time when they will be home. The surveyor records this situation as 'Asked to reschedule' under survey completion status and notes the time and date when the adult will be home for the next attempt in call connection status. The surveyor then calls back in the new time slot noted by her and attempts to do the survey with the adult for that household.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | £ \％นขнท |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | z ${ }^{\text {dawour }}$ | $\sim$ |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 ¢ ${ }^{\text {duepv }}$ |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loppoutoo ilied |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | （1） |  |  |  |  |  | Oib |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \frac{a}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 3 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | 年－9ea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $)^{8}$ avea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  | m | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 盛 | 膏 |  |  | 器 | 骨 | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \％өea | \％ |  |  | 을 | 咢 | 宫 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ＋ | － | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ） | － | 铬 | \％ |  | 劵 | $\stackrel{8}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 既 | 을 | \％ | 을 | \％ | 宮 | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | － |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 蕆 } \\ \text { 罗 } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 总 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 学 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 管 | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { \％}}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 毞 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 旁 |  |  | 言空 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 輓 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bo } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 爰 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 도출 |  | － | ～ | － | m + | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | － | － | $\infty 0$ | $\bigcirc$－ | ＝ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\pm$ | $\underline{\square}$ |

## - How to record information in the household survey sheet

Purpose: To collect information about children's access to and engagement with learning materials and activities from home; availability of infrastructure such as TV, radio, smartphones, mobile phones, etc. to facilitate this access; support from parents and/or teachers to facilitate learning; and challenges faced by parents/children in this process.

Surveyors keep the following in mind while conducting the survey:

- Read all questions as they are written in the household survey sheet
- Include only those children in the age group of 5-16 who eat from the same kitchen as the respondent
- If no adult is at home, a child aged 14 or above can be the respondent
- Ask the respondent whether the children being surveyed are nearby. If they are, ask to have the child sit with the respondent while they answer the questions. In case the respondent is unsure of any answer, they can quickly ask the child. This is only to make sure that the information provided is correct as far as possible
- Use the full phrase "since the lockdown began in March 2020" for each question where it is mentioned as such
- Note the time period carefully as "since the lockdown began" or "in the last week" while asking different questions
- For questions not applicable to a child, leave the answer option blank
- See the instructions to read out or not read out the answer options carefully in each question.

Sample information: In the first section in the household survey sheet, the surveyor enters the following sample details carefully from the household call log sheet: the state, district, block and village the household is in, contact information for the household, as well as the respondent's name.

Before starting the survey, the surveyor confirms that the respondent can provide information for children's learning; if not, she requests him/her to give the phone to someone who can.

Section A: Household information: This section captures general information about the sampled household with reference to the number of members in the household, number of children in the age group of 5-16 (if any), and whether any of those children migrated back to this sampled household because of the lockdown.

Section B: Child's information: This section contains name, age, sex, and enrollment for every child in the household who eats from the respondent's kitchen and is in the 5-16 age group.

Section C: Information for enrolled children: This section collects information about those children who are currently enrolled in an educational institution in more detail. It comprises questions on the child's current grade, type of school she is enrolled in, whether she was promoted in this year, and if the child has changed the type of institution she used to attend this year and the reason for the same.

Section D: Not enrolled children: This section collects information about those children who are currently not enrolled in any type of school as they either never enrolled or have dropped out.

Section E: Dropout children: This section collects information pertaining to those children who have dropped out of school in more detail. It comprises questions on the year the child dropped out, and if the child dropped out this year, then the reason for the same. Children awaiting admission to a new grade/school are counted as 'drop out' for this survey. The reason for dropping out in this case is recorded as 'awaiting admission'.

Section F: Tuition: This section collects information on paid academic tuition (no classes on dance, music, sports, etc.) being taken by children aged 5-16, regardless of their enrollment status. Tuition includes both online and in-person tuition. If a child has temporarily stopped going to tuition or has irregular attendance because of being in a containment zone, etc. but has paid the fees, then it is included as taking tuition. The section also captures changes in children's tuition since the lockdown began in March 2020.

Section G: Parents' information: This section records name, age and education level of the parent(s) living with the child.

- If one or both parents have died or do not live with the child regularly, or if the child lives at some relative's house/ boarding school away from parents, then parents' information is not recorded.
- If the child lives with their step-parents, their information is included in this section.
- Highest education level for a parent that is the grade/degree which they have successfully completed is recorded. For example, if a parent dropped out in the 2 nd year of their bachelor's degree, their highest education level is 1 st year of graduation.

Section H: Respondent's information: This section notes down the relationship between the respondent and the children in the household they are giving information for

Section I: Support at home: This section looks at whether children receive any support in learning from different members of the household and who helps most often.

Section J: Smartphone availability: Questions in this section explore the availability of a working smartphone in the household, and whether children in households that do not have a smartphone have access to one through any other means.

Section K: School textbooks: This section looks at whether the children have school textbooks of the grade they are currently enrolled in to study with at home.

Section L: Receipt of learning materials/activities from school: This section captures if the parent/child received any learning materials/activity for the child in the last week from the school teacher and the medium(s) through which the parent/child received it. If the parent/child has not received anything in the last week, then the reasons for the same are recorded.

Section M: Contact between HM/teacher and parents/children: This section captures contact between parent/child and school teacher in the last week to discuss learning materials/activities or the child's wellbeing. Separate questions check whether the initiative to call or visit was taken by the teacher, parent/child or both. If this contact did not happen in the last week, it explores if it happened at all since the lockdown started. This section also captures contact between parent/child and school teacher since the lockdown began to discuss administrative information such as mid-day meal, school reopening, etc.

Section N: Engagement with learning materials/activities: This section captures children's engagement in the last week. It explores whether children did any activity involving the use of school textbooks, worksheets, online learning applications/ websites, TV, radio, etc. These questions are asked for all children aged 5-16 in the household, regardless of their enrollment status. For every activity that the child did, information on who shared the activity with the child is included.

Section O: Challenges faced while studying at home: This section captures challenges being faced by parent/child while studying at home.

Section P: Mid-day meal - Distribution of ration/fund: This section captures if children enrolled in an Anganwadi or government pre-school, or in a government school (Std 1-8) received any funds or ration under the mid-day meal scheme.

Section Q: Household indicators: This section captures other information about household members and household assets:

- If any member has completed Std 12
- TV and radio (in working condition) owned by the household. Radio in smartphones is included
- Motorized 2- or 4-wheeler such as bike, scooter, car, jeep (3-wheeler is not included). Vehicles should be owned by the household and can be used for commercial or personal purposes.

ASER 2020: HOUSEHOLD SURVEY SHEET

| State: West Bengal |  | District: Hooghly | Block: Serampore |  |  | Village: Nabagram |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH ID: 6734xxxx |  | HH phone no.: 8793xxxxxx | Alternative HH phone no.: 7022xxxxxx |  |  | Respondent's Name: Naren Khan |  |  |  |
| Caller ID: WB004 |  | Caller's name: Anita Pal | Caller's phone number: 8854xxxxxx |  |  | Date: 10/09/2020 |  | Start time: 02:34 |  |
|  | 1. I am surveying people who eat from the same kitchen in a household. Can you tell me the number of people in your household who eat from the same kitchen as you? <write number> |  |  |  | 5 | If no in Q2, then thank the respondent and end the call. If yes in Q2, then ask: Will you be able to give me information about how children in the household are studying these days? If not, may I speak to someone who will be able to give me this information (Hint: Ask for a parent). |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Do you have children in the age group 5-16 in your household? <write code: 1-Yes, 2- No> |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. No. of children in the age group 5-16 in the household <write number> |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Of these, are there any children who have migrated back from other places after the lockdown began in March 2020? <write code: 1-Yes, 2-No> |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a. If yes, then ask: How many children migrated back? <write number> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section | Question |  |  | Coding |  | Child 1 | Child 2 | Child 3 | Child 4 |
|  | 5. Name |  |  | Write name |  | Tina Khatun | Smita Khatun |  |  |
|  | 6. Age |  |  | Write age <number> |  | 7 | 12 |  |  |
|  | 7. Sex |  |  | 1-Male | 2-Female | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 8. Is the or scho | child currently enrolled in Anga ? | pre-school | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 9. Has (If not s child/an | e child been promoted to a new re, probe and urge the respon ther household member) | is year? ask the | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 | 99 |  |  |
|  | 10. Which Std is the child currently enrolled in? <br> (While noting the Std confirm if this is the new Std to which child has been promoted) |  |  | Write grade: <br> AlV- Anganwadi PP-Pre-primary/LKG/UKG, $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12$ |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 11. Whic | type of school is the child cu | nrolled in? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1- Govt } \\ & \text { 2-Pvt } \end{aligned}$ | 3- Madrassa <br> 4- Other | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 12. Has school | he child changed her Anganw ter the lockdown began in Mar | school or ? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 12a. If <br> Which t (Before | then ask: <br> pe of school was the child prev he lockdown began in March | enrolled in? | 1- Anganwadi/Govt preprimary <br> 2-Pvt LKG/UKG <br> 3-Govt school | 4- Pvt school <br> 5- Madrassa <br> 6- Other |  | 4 |  |  |
|  | 12b. Wh school? DO NO applies. | did the child change her <br> READ out the options. Write | pre-school or <br> e that | 1- Could not afford fees <br> 2-School closed down permanently <br> 3- Other <write> <br> 99- Don't know |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | If currently not enrolled in Anganwadi/pre-school or school, then ask all questions except $K, L, M,(Q 23-28)$ and $P$ (Q36) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. Did Anganw | he child drop out or was never di/pre-school or school? |  | 1- Drop out <br> 2- Never enrolled |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. If the <br> Which | child dropped out, then ask $d$ did the child drop out in? |  | Write Std |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14a. Wh (Include | year did the child drop out in? hose awaiting admission in ne | as drop out) | Write year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | why <br> Why did | e child dropped out in 2020, the child drop out this year? |  | 1-Awaiting admission to new grade or school | 2- Other <write> 99 - Don't know |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. Doe online t | the child currently take paid tu ition) | Include | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  | $15 a$. If $y$ Has the lockdow | s, then ask: <br> child started taking any new pa began in March 2020? | n after the | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l} 1-\mathrm{Yes} \\ 2-\mathrm{No} \end{array}\right.$ | 99- Don't know |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 16. Did began | he child take any paid tuition b March 2020? | e lockdown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 17a. Fa | er's name |  | Write name |  | Mintu Khan | Mintu Khan |  |  |
|  | 17b. Fat | er's age |  | Write age |  | 37 | 37 |  |  |
|  | 17c. Fat | er's highest qualification |  | Write qualification | NA- Never enrolled | NA | NA |  |  |
|  | 17d. Mo | her's name |  | Write name |  | Sima Khatun | Sima Khatun |  |  |
|  | 17e. Mo | her's age |  | Write age |  | 35 | 35 |  |  |
|  | 17f. Mot | her's highest qualification |  | Write qualification | NA- Never enrolled | BA 1st Year | BA 1st Year |  |  |



| Child's Name |  |  |  | Tina Khatun | Smita Khatun |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30. In the last week, did the child do any educational activity using worksheets? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 30a. If yes, then ask: <br> Who shared this activity? <br> READ OUT the options and write all the codes that apply. | 1- Received from school teacher <br> 2- Given by parent/elder sibling in the household on their own <br> 3- Received from any other source such as NGO, etc. <br> 4- Received from tuition <br> 5- Other <write> <br> 99- Don't know |  | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 31. In the last week, did the child do any educational activity using online videos, recorded classes or games found on educational mobile learning apps/websites? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 31a. If yes, then ask: <br> Who shared this activity? <br> READ OUT the options and write all the codes that apply. | 1- Received from school teacher <br> 2- Given by parent/elder sibling in the household on their own <br> 3- Received from any other source such as NGO, etc. <br> 4- Received from tuition <br> 5-Other <write> <br> 99- Don't know |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 32. In the last week, did the child attend any live online classes such as on Zoom, Google Meet, WebEx etc.? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1- Yes } \\ \text { 2-No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 32a. If yes, then ask: <br> Who conducted this activity? <br> READ OUT the options and write all the codes that apply. | 1-Conducted by school teacher <br> 2- Conducted by any other source such as NGO, etc. | 3-Conducted by tuition teacher <br> 4- Other <write> <br> 99 - Don't know |  |  |  |
|  | 33. In the last week, did the child watch any educational programs on TV? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | 33a. If yes, then ask: <br> Who shared this activity? <br> READ OUT the options and write all the codes that apply. | 1- Received from school teacher <br> 2- Given by parent/elder sibling in the household on their own <br> 3- Received from any other source such as NGO, etc. <br> 4- Received from tuition <br> 5-Other <write> <br> 99- Don't know |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 34. In the last week, did the child listen to any educational programs on the radio? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & 2-\mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 34a. If yes, then ask: <br> Who shared this activity? <br> READ OUT the options and write all the codes that apply. | 1-Received from school <br> 2-Given by parent/elder household on their own <br> 3- Received from any oth NGO, etc. <br> 4- Received from tuition <br> 5- Other <write> <br> 99- Don't know | teacher sibling in the <br> er source such as |  |  |  |
|  | 35. Since the lockdown began in March 2020, has the parent/child faced any challenges while studying at home? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 35a. If yes, then ask: <br> What kinds of challenges did the parent/child face while studying at home? <br> DO NOT READ out the options. Write all the codes that apply. | 1- No smartphone <br> 2- Recharge/internet plan issues <br> 3-Connectivity issues/no internet <br> 4-Electricity issues <br> 5- Limited access to smartphone <br> 6- Lack of support from school teacher <br> 7- Lack of supervision at home <br> 8- Unable to operate technology <br> 9 - Child is not interested <br> 10-Other <write> |  | 2, 3, 6, 9 | 2, 3, 6 |  |
|  | 36. Have you received ration or funds for mid-day meal from the Anganwadi/school in August 2020? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 36a. If no or don't know, then ask: <br> Have you received ration or fund for mid-day meal from the Anganwati/school even once since the lockdown began in March 2020? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2-No } \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |  |
|  | 37. Has anyone else completed class 12th in the household? <br> (Except mother and father of the children) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { 1- Yes } \\ 2-\mathrm{No} \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 |  |  |
|  | 38. Is there a working television in the household? | 1-Yes 2-No | 99- Don't know |  |  | 1 |
|  | 39. Is there a working radio in the household? | 1-Yes 2-No | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |  |
|  | 40. Is there a motorized 2-wheeler or 4-wheeler in the household? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1-Yes } \\ \text { 2-No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |  |
| End time: 03:13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2. School survey

A teacher (as far as possible, the head teacher) from one government school with primary sections was called in each village where sampled households were located. This section describes the school survey process.

## - What to do when calling a school

Purpose: Surveyor introduces herself to the respondent, explains the rationale behind the school survey and use of these data.
Introducing yourself on the call: The process to be followed by the surveyor is the same as given in the household survey process.

Confirming the respondent and location: The call log sheets list the sampled schools with their village, block, district locations, which were recorded during ASER 2018. Additionally, the name and designation of the respondent, and name of the school and school type are also provided. After a call connects, the surveyor explains where she is calling from and confirms whether the respondent and recorded location of the sampled school are correct. For this, she asks the respondent: "Are you $\qquad$ a teacher/HM in $\qquad$ school in $\qquad$ village of $\qquad$ block in $\qquad$ district?" If the respondent identifies the location as correct, then the conversation is continued.

Introduction script, rationale and usage: The process to be followed by the surveyor is the same as given the household survey process.

## - How to fill the school call log sheet

Purpose: To note the call connection status of each attempt and the survey completion status of each school.
School call log sheet: The school call log sheet contains a record of calls to be made to all schools assigned to one surveyor. It gives information for each school: whether the call made was answered, number of attempts made till the call was answered, and if the survey was completed. One row of the school call log sheet contains information for one school. The phone number provided for each school is to be used to contact the school for the survey. The general information section is pre-filled by the ASER state team and given to each surveyor before the start of the survey. The surveyor checks the school call log sheet at the start of each survey day to identify all the schools to be called that day.

Attempts and time slots: The process to be followed by the surveyor is the same as given in the household survey process.
Call connection status: The process to record call connection status to be followed by the surveyor is the same as given in the household survey process.

Survey completion status: The process to record survey completion status followed by the surveyor is the same as given in the household survey process; only two new situations detailed in codes 5 and 6 are added in the school survey.

## Introduction script

## Script to introduce yourself during the school phone survey

Good morning/afternoon/evening! I am calling from an NGO named Pratham which works in children's education. Are you $\qquad$ <name of respondent>, a <teacher/HM> in the government school in $\qquad$ village of $\qquad$ block in $\qquad$ district?

## <lf Yes, then ask>

Some of my colleagues came to your school two years ago, in 2018, to conduct a survey on children's education (ASER survey). As you know, ever since the lockdown began in March this year and schools were shut, children's learning has been affected.

We are conducting this phone survey to understand how schools are supporting 5-16-year-old children who are studying at home during the lockdown, what materials/activities are being sent, if teachers and parents are in contact with each other, what kinds of challenges teachers are facing etc.

We are conducting this survey all over India in about 16,000 schools. We will collate and present data in a report so that the findings can be discussed with all stakeholders and informed policy decisions can be taken.

Please be assured your name or your school's name will not be published anywhere in the report. This information will be completely confidential. I can share the address and phone number of the office with you if you require any further clarifications.

This survey will take about 10-15 minutes. Can we talk now?
<lf Yes, then confirm the designation and type of school and ask questions in the same order as listed in the 'School Survey Sheet'.> <lf No, ask for a good time to call back.>

## <lf No, then ask>

Were you ever a <teacher/HM> in the government school in $\qquad$ village of $\qquad$ block in district?
<If Yes, then ask if they have retired or have become an administrator or have been transferred/relocated to another school?>
<lf yes, then refer to the procedure explained in the 'School Log Sheet'.>
<If No, (the respondent does not recognize this school/village even after asking the above questions), then>
<Thank the respondent and end the call.>

| Survey completion status |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Code | Possibility | Action to be taken |
| 1 | Survey completed - the whole questionnaire has been administered <br> and answered by the respondent | Not Applicable |
| 2 | Refused to participate - respondent does not want to be part of the <br> survey |  |
| 3 | Incorrect school/village/district - respondent does not identify the <br> mentioned school/village/district, i.e., wrong number | Surveyor ends the survey |

- Case: Incorrect village/school/district, Refusal to participate, Rescheduling the call: The process to be followed by the surveyor is the same as given in the household survey process.
- Case: Retired/on leave/administrator/relocated: If the respondent has retired/is on leave/has been promoted to any administrative position/has relocated to a new school, the surveyor asks the respondent for the name and number of any other $\mathrm{HM} /$ Teacher currently working in the school. If the respondent is able to provide the information, the surveyor completes the survey with this new respondent. If the respondent is not able to provide the alternate contact information, the surveyor ends the survey for this school.
- Case: Unable to give information: If the respondent says that they cannot give any information about any grade between Std 1-8 in the sampled school, then the surveyor asks the respondent for the name and number of any other $\mathrm{HM} /$ Teacher currently working in the school who will be able to answer our questions. If the new respondent is able to provide the information, the surveyor completes the survey with this new respondent. If the original respondent is not able to provide the alternate contact information, the surveyor ends the survey for this school.



## - How to record information in the school survey sheet

Purpose: To collect information on the school's facilitation of children's learning during the COVID-19 lockdown; information on children's enrollment, mobile phones and smartphone access to children/families; teacher orientation/training on remote teaching-learning processes; sharing and discussing materials and activities created by teachers/school as well as central/state government; contact with parents/children; tracking children's progress; community involvement and support in sharing and discussing learning material with parents/children; challenges faced in conducting remote learning activities; distribution of mid-day meals; and preparation for reopening schools are themes explored in the survey.

Surveyors keep the following in mind while conducting the survey:

- Read all questions as they are written in the school survey format
- Include only sampled schools in the school survey
- The sample has a mixture of HMs and teachers as respondents. Hence, the school questionnaire is designed as such that the HM can answer for the teacher and vice versa, if they have the required information. So, the framing is "have you/teacher". Keep this in mind while asking questions and noting responses.
- In the school survey some questions are for the school overall, and some are for a specific grade chosen by the respondent him/herself. While taking answers from the respondent for a particular grade (as specified in the question), keep reminding them about giving information for the chosen grade only
- Use the full phrase "since the lockdown began in March 2020" for each question where it is mentioned
- Note the time period carefully as "since the lockdown began" or "in the last week" while asking different questions
- For questions that are not applicable, leave the answer option blank
- Review the instructions to read out or not read out the answer options carefully in every question.

Sample information: In the first section in the school survey sheet, the surveyor enters the sample details carefully from the school call log sheet: state, district, block, village, school ID, school type, respondent's name, number, and designation. The designation column 'teacher' includes para teachers.

Section A: General information: This section captures general information about the sample school and about the grades the respondent teaches and sends learning materials to. The teacher is asked to select one grade between Std 1-8 for which she can give the most information for to continue the survey. If she cannot give information or a grade or can give information only for Std 9 and above, the surveyor requests her to provide contact information of another HM/teacher who can give this information and ends the survey with this respondent.

Section B: Enrollment and contact with children: This section asks questions about the number of children enrolled in the selected grade, availability of their contact details and the mode of contact with children whose phone numbers are not available.

Section C: Remote learning - Government and school: This section explores if the government has directly shared any learning materials via TV, radio or online broadcast, or the school has received any instructions, notifications, guidelines, or orders from the government to share learning materials with children of the selected grade. It also captures HM/teacher's own initiative to share learning materials/activities with children.

Section D: Training/orientation of HM/teachers: This section captures if the respondent has received any training to share or discuss learning materials with parents/children of the selected grade.

Section E: Learning materials/activities shared with parents/children: This section captures whether the school distributed textbooks (or funds for textbooks) to children of the selected grade, and/or asked them to watch/listen to any TV or radio broadcast of educational programs. It also collects information on whether the respondent shared any materials with parents/ children during the last week; the different mediums used to do so; and whether they participated in creating the learning materials.

Section F: Children's engagement with learning materials/activities: This section collects information on the kinds of learning materials/activities which the respondent shared with children, such as textbooks, worksheets, online videos, etc. It also explores which activity the teacher finds most useful.

Section G: Community involvement: This section explores if the school receives help from different community members to share or discuss learning materials/activities with children.

Section H: Learning materials/activities shared even once: This section applies to only those schools where learning materials were not shared in the week before the survey was conducted. It captures if learning materials were shared even once since the lockdown began in March 2020.

Section I: Contact between respondent and parents/children: This section records information about contact between parents/ children and teachers in the same manner as given in the household survey process.

Section J: Challenges: This section collects information about the challenges being faced by the respondent in sharing and/or discussing the learning materials/activities with parents/children.

Section K: Mid-day meal ration and funds: This section focuses on the distribution of mid-day meal ration or funds by the school to children of the selected grade. Information for ration and fund is recorded separately.

Section L: Preparations for reopening schools: This section records information about the school's preparation for physically reopening the school for children. Reopening the school for any one or a subset of grades is included. Reopening the school only for teachers is not included.



|  | 19a. In the last week, did you/teacher share any learning material/activities involving the use of school textbooks with parents/children of this grade? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1-Yes } \\ \text { 2-No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19b. In the last week, did you/teacher share any learning material/activities involving the use of worksheets with parents/children of this grade? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1-Yes } \\ \text { 2-No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 2 |  |
|  | 19c. In the last week, did you/teacher share any learning material/activities involving the use of online videos, recorded classes, educational games, etc. found on educational mobile learning apps/websites with parents/children of this grade? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2- No } \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 19d. In the last week, did you ask children of this grade to do any other educational activity? | 1- Yes <write> 2- No | 99- Don't know | 2 |  |
|  | 20. If yes in more than one question from Q19a to 19d, then ask: <br> Out of all the learning material/activities you shared with parents/children of this grade last week, which one did you find the MOST useful? <br> READ OUT the options mentioned above and write ONE code that applies. | 1-School textbooks <br> 2-Worksheets | 3-Content on educational mobile learning apps/websites 99- Don't know |  |  |
|  | 21. Are you/teacher able to regularly track if children of this grade are using the learning material or doing the activities? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 1-Yes } \\ \text { 2-No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 21a. If yes, then ask: <br> In the last week, how many children of this grade were able to complete the activities sent by you/teacher? | 1-All <br> 2- More than half <br> 3-Half | 4- Less than half <br> 5- None <br> 99- Don't know | 3 |  |
|  | 22. Do you/teacher take help from any other member of the village or community to share or discuss learning material/activities with parents/children of this grade? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1-Yes } \\ \text { 2- No } \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 22a. If yes, then ask: <br> Which members of the village or community did you take help from? READ OUT all the options. Write all codes that apply. | 1-Village head/Ward member <br> 2- NGO/local volunteers <br> 3-Older children | 4-Select parents/caregivers <br> 5- Anganwadi workers <br> 6- SMC members <br> 7- Other <write> | 3, 7-Retired school teachers |  |
|  | If no or don't know in section E in Q 17, then ask Q 23 below: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23. Since the lockdown began in March 2020, have youfteacher shared any learning material/activities with parents/children of this grade even once? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Yes } \\ & \text { 2- No } \end{aligned}$ | 99- Don't know |  |  |
|  | 23a. If yes, then ask: <br> How did you/teacher share this learning material/activities with parent/children of this grade? <br> READ OUT all the options. Write all codes that apply. | 1- WhatsApp <br> 2-Telegram <br> 3-SMS <br> 4-Phone call | 5- Home visit <br> 6- School visit <br> 7- Other <write> |  |  |
|  | 24. In the last week, did you/teacher call or visit parents/children of this grade to discuss about learning material/activities or children's progress/well being? | 1-Yes, all parents/children 2-Yes, some parents/children | 3- No 99- Don't know | 2 |  |
|  | 25. In the last week, did the parents/children of this grade call or visit you/teacher to discuss about learning material/activities or children's progress/well being? | 1-Yes, all parents/children 2-Yes, some parents/children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3- No } \\ & \text { 99-Don't know } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  |
|  | 26. If no or don't know in Q24 and 25, then ask: <br> Since the lockdown began in March 2020, have the parents/children of this grade and you/school teacher spoken (over call or visit) to each other even once to discuss learning material/activities or children's progress/well being? | 1-Yes, all parents/children 2-Yes, some parents/children | 3-No <br> 99- Don't know |  |  |
|  | 27. Since the lockdown began in March 2020, have the parents/children of this grade and you/school teacher spoken (over call or visit or SMSWhatsApp) to each other even once to discuss any administrative information regarding mid-day meal, school reopening, etc.? | 1-Yes, all parents/children 2-Yes, some parents/children | 3- No 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 28. Are you/teacher facing any challenges in sharing and/or discussing learning material/activities with parents/children of this grade? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { 1-Yes } \\ 2-\mathrm{No} \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 28a. If yes, then ask: <br> What kinds of challenges are you/teacher facing? <br> Probe butDO NOTREAD OUT the options. Write all codes that applk. | 1- Parent/child unable to operate phone <br> 2- Phone is not available for child's use <br> 3- Connectivity issues/No internet <br> 4- Child is not interested <br> 5- Lack of support/supervision at home <br> 6- Other <write> |  | 3, 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ration | Fund |
|  | 29. Has the ration and/or fund for mid-day meal been distributed to parents/children of this grade in the month of August 2020 ? | 1-Yes <br> 2- No <br> 3- Have submitted list | 99- Don't know | 1 | 2 |
|  | 29a. If yes, then ask: <br> How many parents/children have received the ration and/or fund? | 1-All <br> 2- More than half <br> 3- Half | 4- Less than half <br> 5- None <br> 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 30. If no or don't know in Q 29, then ask: <br> Has the ration and/or fund for mid-day meal been distributed to parents/children of this grade even once since March 2020? | 1-Yes <br> 2-No <br> 3- Have submitted list | 99- Don't know |  | 2 |
|  | 30a. If yes, then ask: <br> How many parents/children have received the ration and/or fund? | 1-All <br> 2- More than half <br> 3-Half | 4- Less than half <br> 5- None <br> 99- Don't know |  |  |
|  | 31. Has the school begun any preparations for physically reopening the school for children? | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 1-\mathrm{Yes} \\ 2-\mathrm{No} \end{array}$ | 99- Don't know | 1 |  |
|  | 31a. If yes, then ask: <br> Whatkind of preparations has the school begun? DO NOT READ OUT the options. Write all codes that apply. | 1- Sanitation/cleaning <br> 2- Other <write> | 99- Don't know | 2 - Walls are being whitewashed |  |
| End time: |  |  |  |  |  |

## Quality control

Quality control processes form an integral part of the ASER architecture, and these processes are reviewed and improved each year in order to ensure the credibility of ASER data. For ASER 2020 Wave 1, these processes were laid out for every stage of the survey and were executed by the Pratham/ASER state and central team members.

The quality control processes can be broadly divided into pre-survey quality control processes, internal phone-based processes, and data entry processes.

## Pre-survey quality control and phone-based processes

These comprise 'pre-survey quality control', 'monitoring', and 'recheck' activities.

## Pre-survey quality control:

During the training, surveyors were evaluated on their attendance and performances in survey process quiz, role play and pilot calls.

## Monitoring:

During the survey, quality was controlled via oversight of phone-based activities in all districts while the survey was in progress. One manager managed 15 surveyors. The ASER 2020 monitoring process comprised two kinds of activities:

- Call tracking sheet: Pratham/ASER state teams made phone calls to all the surveyors as the survey rolled out in a district. Information regarding the progress of survey activities was collected during the calls and surveyors' doubts were clarified. This helped to provide immediate corrective action and to avoid repetition of mistakes in further calls. Along with this, data entry on a daily basis on the survey mobile application was ensured.
- Tracking portal: Pratham/ASER state teams cross-checked the survey progress in the call tracking sheet with that on the portal, and ensured that surveyors were making up to 3 additional attempts to households where the call did not connect in the first instance.


## Recheck:

Information collected during the survey was verified at various levels. The following recheck activities were conducted in ASER 2020:

- Desk recheck: Pratham/ASER state teams conducted desk recheck of the survey formats filled by the surveyors. Surveyors were divided into two groups and allotted alternate days to send two of their completed formats each day. Pratham/ ASER state teams shared prompt feedback with the surveyors in case of errors or omissions.
- Phone recheck: Based on the survey formats from desk recheck, households which needed further verification were identified for phone recheck. Additionally, Pratham/ASER state teams randomly selected formats from 2 villages and 3 households and 1 school in each village for phone recheck.

Overall, $40 \%$ households and $49 \%$ schools surveyed in ASER 2020 were rechecked. At the end of all these layers of quality control checks, households and schools with poor survey quality were either resurveyed or dropped from the data set.

## Data entry processes

Data for the survey was recorded in printed survey formats. To compile and then process this data for analysis, it was entered into a mobile application by the surveyors on a daily basis. For each question in the survey, rules and validations were in place to ensure that the data entry was done efficiently.

## Annexure



## Learning materials shared by state governments, publicly available in September 2020

This table shows the provision of different learning materials by state governments in September 2020, the month when the ASER 2020 phone survey was conducted. These include textbooks (print and online); worksheets (print and online); educational programs on TV and Radio; and online video lessons.
Cells highlighted in pink indicate that the material was available and those highlighted in grey indicate that it was not available. The numbers in each cell indicate the sources of this information, which are listed in the Source reference list (Pg 117).
For example, in Andhra Pradesh, textbooks, worksheets, TV programs and online video lessons were shared with/available for students in September 2020, while radio programs were not available. This information was verified by officials at State Council of Educational Research and Training, Andhra Pradesh (coded as 1 in the Source reference list)

| State | Textbooks (print and online) | Worksheet (print and online) | TV program | Radio program | Online video lessons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1,2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1,3 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Assam | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7,8,9 |
| Bihar | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | $14,15,16$ |
| Chhattisgarh | 17,20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19, 21, 22 |
| Gujarat | 23 | 24,27 | 25 | 23 | $25,26,28,29$ |
| Haryana | $30,34,35$ | $31,32,34$ | 32 | 32 | 32,33 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 36 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 38 |
| Jammu | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 40,41 |
| Kashmir | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 41,43 |
| Jharkhand | 44 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 46 |
| Kerala | 47 | 48,51 | 49 | 49 | $50,52,53,54$ |
| Karnataka | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 57,58,59 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 60,63 | 61 | 13 | 61 | $62,64,65,66$ |
| Maharashtra | 67,71 | 68 | 68,69 | 68 | 70,72,73 |
| Manipur | 74 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 77,78,79 |
| Meghalaya | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 127 |
| Nagaland | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 82, 83 |
| Odisha | 84 | 85 | 85 | 85 | $86,87,88,89,90$ |
| Punjab | 91 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 94,95,96 |
| Rajasthan | 97, 101 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 100,102 |
| Tamil Nadu | 102 | 103 | 103,106 | 103 | 104, 105,106 |
| Telangana | 107,108 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107,109,110 |
| Tripura | 111 | 112 | 112 | 112 | 112 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 113, 115 | 114, 115 | 115,117 | 115 | $64,116,118,119$ |
| Uttarakhand | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 121,122 |
| West Bengal | 123 | 124 | 125 | 125 | 126 |

## Source reference list

1. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Andhra Pradesh
2. http://apscert.gov.in/ebookapp/ebook_page.jsp
3. https://www.youtube.com/c/DDSaptagiri/videos
4. https://ncert.nic.in/textbook.php
5. Secretariat, Arunachal Pradesh
6. Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, Assam
7. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCBTYrJCUGz9rtJYU4mT8GIw
8. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCelzt2q1 IIUoH6OnJqeZyg
9.https://play.google.com/store/apps/
details?id $=$ in.gov.diksha.app\&referrer $=u t m$ source\%3D23f3fa30e60bb1f3147f053aa9f4f5d03964388f\%26utm campaign\%3Dshare app
9. https://youtu.be/nZZnuVO8xi0.2.
10. Bihar Education Project Council
11. http://www.bepcssa.in/en/digital-learning.php
12. Directorate of Public Instruction, School Education Department, Madhya Pradesh
13. http://eckovation.com/join/368971
14. http://eckovation.com/join/16035250562263811
15. http://www.icdsbih.gov.in/treeviewfiles/fileshow.aspx
16. http://tbc.cg.nic.in/mis/bOOK hindi.aspx
17. State Council of Educational Research and Training Chhattisgarh, Samagra Siksha Abhiyan Program
18. https://cgschool.in/
19. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Chhattisgarh
20. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = in.cgschools.audiofilesharing
21. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = in.cgschools.learningapp
22. Samagra Siksha Abhiyan Program, Gujarat
23. https://gcert.gujarat.gov.in/gcert/resourcebank/parivarno-malo-salamat-ane-hunfalo.htm
24. https://sites.google.com/view/dhirajsirhomelearning/\�\�\�\�\�\�\�\�\�-\�\�\�
25. https://diksha.gov.in/explore-course/course/do_31312416868196352011465
26. https://gcert.gujarat.gov.in/gcert/resourcebank/periodic-test.htm
27. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCPoJGIVrhhhkPERA-hVFIwA
28. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCj MbJEpkmF6FNXPjyZVIOA
29. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Haryana
30. www.bseh.org.in
31. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Haryana
32. https://diksha.gov.in/hr/
33. https://bseh.org.in/ebooks
34. www.bseh.org.in
35. Samagra Shiksha Abihyan, Himachal Pradesh
36. https://hargharpathshala.in/
37. https://hargharpathshala.in/
38. School Education Quality Management Cell, Directorate of School Education, Jammu
39. http://schedujammu.nic.in/student2.html
40. www.diksha.gov.in/jk
41. Tele and Radio Classes, Directorate of School Education, Kashmir
42. http://dsek.nic.in/dsek/DSEK/Aawo.html
43. Monitoring and Research Education, Jharkhand
44. Jharkhand Education Project Council, Ranchi
45. Jharkhand Education Project Council (JEPC), Ranchi \& Jharkhand Council of Educational Research and Training (JCERT) Ranchi, Jharkhand
46. https://samagra.kite.kerala.gov.in/home/page
47. https://ssakerala.in/upenglish.pdf
48. District Education Office, Idukki \& Kottayam, Kerala
49. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = in.gov.diksha.app
50. https://ssakerala.in/lpenglish.pdf
51. https://www.youtube.com/user/itsvicters
52. http://www.facebook.com/victerseduchannel/
53. https://victers.kite.kerala.gov.in
54. http://www.ktbs.kar.nic.in/New/index.html\#!/textbook
55. District Institute of Education \& Training, Mysuru, Karnataka and Department of State Educational Research and Training, Karnataka
56. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCYXGup94ByD1Lb6woVs oGA
57. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCDaVbK0F5b7y4hgSZrTwZNg
59.https://diksha.gov.in/ka/
explore?medium = Kannada\&gradeLevel = Class\%2010\&board = State\% 20(Karnataka)\&selectedTab = textbook
58. https://mptbc.mp.gov.in/web04/BookDetails.aspx
59. Rajya Shiksha Kendra, Bhopal
60. https://www.vimarsh.mp.gov.in/
61. http://educationportal.mp.gov.in/Public/Textbooks/View Textbooks.aspx
62. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.csf.topparent
63. http://www.educationportal.mp.gov.in/Media/Default.aspx
64. http://educationportal.mp.gov.in/GyanPitara/Default.aspx
65. http://cart.ebalbharati.in/BalBooks/ebook.aspx
66. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Maharashtra and Maharashtra Academic Authority, Pune
67. http://tilimili.mkclkf.org
68. http://www.ebalbharati.in/
71.https://diksha.gov.in/resources/play/collection/do 31290608850520473612338?contentType=TextBook
69. https://play.google.com/store.apps.details?id = com.EBalBharati
70. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UYCacnAJUq6dkmYrvb401cRA
71. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.sofytech.ebookapplication
72. Directorate of School Education, Manipur
73. Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, Manipur
https://www.youtube.com/results?search query=radio + class + 6 + manipuri
74. https://www.youtube.com/c/DepartmentofEducationSchoolsManipur
75. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.sofytechnologies.bosemvideoapp
76. Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, Manipur
77. Directorate of School Education and Literacy, Meghalaya
78. Department of School Education, Nagaland
79. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.sofytechnologies.bosemvideoapp
80. https://fb.watch/1fTwP2cQe4/
81. http://osepa.odisha.gov.in/?p = digital content
82. Odisha School Education Programme Authority, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Odisha, and District Institute of Education \& Training (Bargad, Ganjam and Jajpur)
83. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = in.gov.diksha.app
84. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.madhuapp.android
85. http://www.oerp.in/SiteHome.aspx
86. http://osepa.odisha.gov.in/
87. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.okcl.ict.evidyalaya
88. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.deepakkumar.PunjabEducare
http://www.pseb.ac.in/ebooks
89. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.deepakkumar.PunjabEducare
90. Department of School Education, Punjab
91. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.deepakkumar.PunjabEducare
92. https://www.youtube.com/c/EdusatPunjab
93. https://www.youtube.com/c/PreSchoolactivity
94. https://education.rajasthan.gov.in/content/raj/education/state-institute-of-educational-research-and-training-udaipur/ en/E-material.html\#
95. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Rajasthan
96. https://ctri.org.in/dd-rajasthan-shiksha-darshan-live-classes/
97. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = shikshavaani.radiosurenapps
98. https://rajsevak.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/1599657829 About-Free-Text-Book-Distribution-To-Students-At-School-Level-For-Education-Session-2020-21-08092020.pdf
99. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = in.gov.diksha.app
100. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Tamil Nadu
101. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCTMjO0AVI 8bnjTiK3JyPw
102. https://e-learn.tnschools.gov.in/welcome
103. https://www.kalvitholaikaatchi.com/
104. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Telangana
108.https://diksha.gov.in/
explore? medium = Telugu\&gradeLevel = Class\%202\&board = State\%20(Telangana) \&selectedTab = textbook
105. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCcwIOchFc WJKoCSgfDcGZQ
106. https://teachersbadi.in/mana-tv-vidya-channel-ii-live-telecast-lessons-students-schedule-instructions/
107. http://www.scerttripura.org/ebooks.php
108. State Council of Educational Research and Training, Tripura
109. http://www.scert-up.in/e-books.html
110. https://prernaup.in/Login/GyanSagar?KnowledgeRepository Id = 2\&LastPhotold = 10\&mode = chart
111. Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, Uttar Pradesh
112. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCnsflXk7nAsqADM35Ysx6qg
113. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-nz02sncz 4
114. https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id = com.google.android.apps.seekh
115. https://sites.google.com/samagragovernance.in/missionprernakie-pathshala/home
116. District Institute of Education \& Training, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
117. https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCx55tefMzKkaA0uDmj9g2XA/videos
118. https://youtu.be/ldVJsTiBbN0
119. https://www.ncertbooks.guru/west-bengal-board-books/
120. District Education Department, Maldah
121. Expert Committee of School Education, Department of School Education, West Bengal
122. https://banglarshiksha.gov.in/
123. Meghalaya Board of School Education



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probability proportional to size (PPS) is a sampling technique in which the probability of selecting a sampling unit (village, in our case) is proportional to the size of its population. The method works as follows: First, the cumulative population by village calculated. Second, the total household population of the district is divided by the number of sampling units (villages) to get the sampling interval (SI). Third, a random number between 1 and the SI is chosen. This is referred to as the random start (RS). The RS denotes the site of the first village to be selected from the cumulative population. Fourth, the following series of numbers is formed: RS; RS + SI; RS +2 SI; RS $+3 S I ; \ldots$. The villages selected are those for which the cumulative population contains the numbers in the series.
    ${ }^{2}$ Most large household surveys in India, like the National Sample Survey and the National Family Health Survey also use this two-stage design and use PPS to select villages in the first stage.
    ${ }^{3}$ See ASER 2018 Report for a detailed discussion of the sample design.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ The inflation factor or weight associated with a household is simply the inverse of the probability of it being selected into the sample.
    ${ }^{5}$ The probability that household $j$ gets selected in village $i\left(p_{i j}\right)$ is the product of the probability that village i gets selected in the first stage ( $p_{i}$ ) and the probability that household $j$ gets selected in the second stage $\left(p_{j(i)}\right)$ and the probability that household $j$ has a mobile phone $\left(p_{j}(i) m\right.$ ) and the probability that household $j$ gets selected in the third stage $\left(p_{j(i) m i}\right)$. This is given by:

    $$
    p_{i j}=p_{i} p_{j(i)} p_{j(i) m} p_{j(i) m i}=\frac{n v}{d p o p} v p o p_{i} \frac{n_{h i}}{v_{p o p}} \frac{n_{h i m}}{n_{h i}} \frac{n_{h i 3}}{n_{h i m}}
    $$

    where $n v$ is the number of villages sampled in the district in the first stage, vpopi is the household population of village i , dpop is the number of households in the district, nhi is the number of households sampled in the village in the second stage, nhim is the number of households who have a mobile phone in the second stage sample and nhi3 is the number of households with mobile phones sampled in the third stage. The weight associated with each sampled household within a village is the inverse of the probability of selection. Note that the sum of the weights of the households will give the district population of households and the sum of the weights for all children in the sample will approximate to the population of children in the $5-16$ year age group in the district.

[^2]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.
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[^4]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^5]:    *This section captures activities shared with children that required use of textbooks. Availability of textbooks in the household was discussed in the previous section.

[^6]:    We categorize parents' education as follows: 'low’ parental education includes families where both parents have completed Std V or less (including those with no schooling). At the other end of the spectrum, the 'high' parental education category comprises families where both parents have completed at least Std IX. All other parents are in the 'medium' category where there are many possible combinations.

[^7]:    'Contact for administrative purposes' includes contact by phone calls, personal visits or SMS/WhatsApp.
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[^9]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^10]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^11]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^12]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^13]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^14]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^15]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^16]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^17]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^18]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^19]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^20]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^21]:    *All estimates from ASER 2018 reported here were generated after excluding households without a mobile phone, in order to make these comparable with the ASER 2020 estimates.

[^22]:    *Estimates for the UTs of Ladakh and Jammu and Kashmir have been presented in a combined form for comparability with ASER estimates of previous years.
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