ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 11 OUT OF 11 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	55.5	42.4	0.0	2.1	100
Age 7-16: All	52.9	42.9	0.0	4.1	100
Age 7-10: All	57.5	41.0	0.0	1.5	100
Age 7-10: Boys	55.9	42.2	0.0	1.9	100
Age 7-10: Girls	58.6	40.2	0.0	1.2	100
Age 11-14: All	50.0	46.6	0.0	3.4	100
Age 11-14: Boys	48.6	46.7	0.0	4.7	100
Age 11-14: Girls	52.3	46.0	0.0	1.7	100
Age 15-16: All	41.0	40.2	0.0	18.8	100
Age 15-16: Boys	39.0	40.2	0.0	20.8	100
Age 15-16: Girls	43.7	40.1	0.0	16.2	100

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

# Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age

2016	j												
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	10.7	49.5	27.6	7.3				4	.9				100
П	22.3	14.2	31.7	20.8	6.8				4.2				100
Ш	2.	.5	9.4	43.8	28.1	28.1 10.5 5.7					100		
IV		2.1		8.0	34.1	34.0	34.0 11.0 6.3 4.5				100		
V		1.	.3		5.9	39.1	30.1	15.0	5.5		3.0		100
VI		1.1			7.7	23.1	40.3	17.6	6.8	3.4	4	100	
VII	3.4					34.6	30.4	20.7	8.0	3.0	100		
VIII				0.7				6.7	30.9	35.7	15.1	10.8	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 43.8% children are 8 years old but there are also 9.4% who are 7, 28.1% who are 9, 10.5% who are 10, and 5.7% who are 11 or older.

## Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/				Out of school	Total
3	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	iotai
Age 3	29.7	16.4				54.0	100
Age 4	13.7	71.0				15.3	100
Age 5	0.2	3.0	59.8	32.4	0.1	4.5	100
Age 6	0.2	0.9	61.2	36.0	0.0	1.8	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



<sup>&#</sup>x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

## Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
1	16.1	35.3	41.7	5.6	1.3	100
П	16.3	29.2	39.0	11.5	3.9	100
III	7.1	7.1	39.0	31.3	15.6	100
IV	1.6	6.2	30.5	29.8	31.9	100
V	1.9	2.7	22.8	22.6	50.1	100
VI	0.2	1.6	13.3	17.9	67.0	100
VII	0.0	1.0	7.6	13.5	77.9	100
VIII	0.0	0.0	5.0	7.0	88.0	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 7.1% cannot even read letters, 7.1% can read letters but not words or higher, 39% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 31.3% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 15.6% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


#### Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	6.3	27.2	12.8		
2012	12.8	33.7	20.5		
2014	4.6	17.6	9.1		
2016	7.9	27.1	15.6		

<sup>\*</sup> This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

#### Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 46.2%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 62.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 88.5%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

#### **Reading Tool**

Std II level text

A big tree stood in a garden. It was alone and lonely. One day a bird came and sat on it. The bird held a seed in its beak. It dropped the seed near the tree. A small plant grew there. Soon there was another tree. The big tree was happy.

Std I level text

Rani likes her school. Her class is in a big room. Rani has a bag and a book. She also has a pen.



hand	star
b	us
cat	book
day	few
0	ld
sing	bold

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre read	n in <b>Std V</b> Std II level			en in <b>Std</b> Id Std II lev			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	41.0	76.9	53.5	88.7	97.2	92.4		
2012	42.3	68.6	52.5	85.4	92.9	88.6		
2014	27.4	60.7	41.6	86.3	95.1	90.3		
2016	37.8	64.9	50.1	82.4	93.9	88.0		

<sup>\*</sup> This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



#### **Arithmetic**

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

## Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016

Sta	Not even	Recognize	Recognize numbers		Divide	Total
Jta	1-9	1-9	10-99	Subtract	Divide	iotai
I	14.7	19.1	61.2	4.8	0.2	100
Ш	15.0	21.2	50.2	13.2	0.4	100
III	5.7	4.9	46.6	38.7	4.1	100
IV	1.4	3.5	38.0	45.2	12.0	100
V	1.6	1.3	25.6	50.4	21.2	100
VI	0.2	1.1	19.8	40.2	38.7	100
VII	0.0	0.4	16.7	36.3	46.6	100
VIII	0.0	0.0	9.6	24.7	65.7	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 5.7% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 4.9% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 46.6% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 38.7% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.1% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


# Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction					
	Govt. Pvt.		Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	38.4	60.2	45.3			
2012	44.5	69.0	53.6			
2014	35.4	49.3	40.2			
2016	39.2	48.1	42.8			

<sup>\*</sup> This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

#### Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 31.9%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 46.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 81.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

#### **Arithmetic Tool**

Number recognition 1&9	Number recognition 10&99	Subtraction	Division
5 7	74 23	63 51 - 44 - 35	7) 898 (
8 4	91 86	92 71 - 48 - 35	4) 659(
2 9	24 79	45 34 - 27 - 19	8) 946
3 1	37 61	43 46	
<u> </u>	58 14	- 29 - 17	6) 757 (

#### Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children	n in <b>Std V</b> do division			en in <b>Std</b> n do divisio	
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	26.7	52.4	35.7	78.9	85.4	81.7
2012	27.3	46.0	34.6	78.0	86.6	81.6
2014	18.3	35.3	25.6	66.6	74.5	70.2
2016	13.0	31.1	21.2	60.2	71.5	65.7

<sup>\*</sup> This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

## Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016


Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
Ι	16.7	9.0	29.7	38.6	6.1	100
II	19.4	10.1	21.2	36.8	12.5	100
III	7.6	2.7	7.9	45.7	36.1	100
IV	2.1	2.3	6.6	37.6	51.4	100
V	2.2	0.4	2.9	29.5	65.0	100
VI	0.4	0.6	1.4	19.5	78.2	100
VII	0.1	0.2	1.9	12.3	85.5	100
VIII	0.3	0.0	0.0	7.3	92.4	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 7.6% cannot even read capital letters, 2.7% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 7.9% can read small letters but not words or higher, 45.7% can read words but not sentences, and 36.1% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

## Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

All chi	ldren 2016	
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
I	55.4	
П	63.7	50.3
III	75.0	60.8
IV	80.5	69.6
V	81.5	83.2
VI	81.8	88.8
VII		91.5
VIII		95.0

### **English Tool**









### Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016								
Std	Category 2010 2012 2014 2016							
	Govt. no tuition	61.5	57.7	62.0	54.6			
	Govt. + Tuition	5.1	5.7	3.8	5.0			
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	22.8	22.3	25.5	27.3			
	Pvt. + Tuition	10.5	14.3	8.8	13.1			
	Total	100	100	100	100			
	Govt. no tuition	55.0	51.4	49.5	45.5			
C+-1 \ / 1 \ / 111	Govt. + Tuition	4.5	6.9	4.0	5.6			
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	25.7	24.3	31.3	31.9			
	Pvt. + Tuition	14.8	17.5	15.2	17.0			
	Total	100	100	100	100			

Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Std	Type of		% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)			
Stu	school	Rs. 100 or less	Total			
Std I-V	Govt.	4.3	34.9	52.2	8.6	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	0.5	9.8	51.6	38.2	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.					
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	0.5	2.3	40.4	56.9	100

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 11 OUT OF 11 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### **School observations**

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016		
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	202	189	160	195		
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	21	83	95	105		
Total schools visited	223	272	255	300		
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	81.9	81.9	81.7	83.1		
% Teachers present (Average)	87.2	87.8	86.1	88.6		
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	83.0	81.5	81.0	84.5		
% Teachers present (Average)	86.3	84.2	84.2	82.5		

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	50.3	56.8	45.6	67.2
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	18.7	13.4	18.8	13.0
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	17.5	9.9	20.0	9.9
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	0.0	18.2	17.9	26.7
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	28.6	9.9	15.1	9.5
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	28.6	7.8	13.3	11.8

### **School facilities**

Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	81.7	85.3	79.2	84.0
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	31.9	38.2	24.1	24.6
	No facility for drinking water	56.9	73.7	73.4	70.7
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	6.0	4.1	3.2	6.7
water	Drinking water available	37.0	22.2	23.4	22.6
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	13.8	6.8	4.4	4.8
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	32.3	40.7	27.7	45.2
ionet	Toilet useable	53.9	52.5	68.0	50.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	47.8	40.7	31.1	17.4
0:11	Separate provision but locked	9.4	16.8	16.7	31.4
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	12.2	9.7	7.2	10.3
tolict	Separate provision, unlocked and useable		32.7	45.0	40.9
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	86.7	87.8	85.4	82.6
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	4.1	8.2	9.1	9.4
2.0.0.7	Library books being used by children on day of visit	9.2	4.1	5.5	8.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection				60.1
Electricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit 82.4				
	No computer available for children to use	85.3	85.1	88.6	85.4
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	11.1	9.3	5.9	11.5
Compace	Computer being used by children on day of visit	3.7	5.6	5.5	3.1
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year						
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant			
April 2010 to March 2011	95.8	89.2	94.9			
April 2011 to March 2012	90.2	73.7	91.4			
April 2013 to March 2014	94.9	76.1	61.0			
April 2015 to March 2016	25.8	9.5	3.2			

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year						
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant			
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	76.2	70.7	78.1			
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	68.6	58.2	72.4			
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	60.7	48.9	22.9			
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	23.3	12.4	1.9			

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	26.1	8.8		
	White wash/plastering	33.5	16.3		
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	35.3	17.5		
	Repair of toilet	43.5	15.5		
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	27.0	14.7		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	67.2	36.6		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools					
	2014	2016			
% Schools which reported having an SMC 95.5 97.4					
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting					
Before July 48.6 31.1					
Between July and September 49.5 46.6					
After September	1.8	22.3			

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

ŀ	How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?
	School Mainte	enance Grant
scho	5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per ool per year if the ool has upto 3 srooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump
year	7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per if the school has more if 3 classrooms	repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.
Note	e: Primary and Upper P	rimary schools are treated

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

#### School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

Rs. 5,000 per year per
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)
Rs. 7,000 per year per
Upper Primary School
(Std VI-VIII)
D F000 D 7000

Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 =
Rs. 12,000 if the school
is Std I-VII/VIII

School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant	
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.

Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

