


ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 23 OUT OF 23 DISTRICTS Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.


School enrollment and out of school children

Table 1: % Children in different types of schools 2013										
Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total					
Age: 6-14 ALL	79.7	15.7	0.8	3.8	100					
Age: 7-16 ALL	77.3	15.9	0.9	6.0	100					
Age: 7-10 ALL	80.4	16.0	0.9	2.7	100					
Age: 7-10 BOYS	77.5	18.9	0.8	2.8	100					
Age: 7-10 GIRLS	83.6	12.8	1.0	2.6	100					
Age: 11-14 ALL	78.1	15.7	0.8	5.4	100					
Age: 11-14 BOYS	75.7	17.8	0.8	5.6	100					
Age: 11-14 GIRLS	80.4	13.6	0.8	5.2	100					
Age: 15-16 ALL	65.6	15.8	1.0	17.5	100					
Age: 15-16 BOYS	64.0	15.2	0.8	20.0	100					
Age: 15-16 GIRLS	67.5	16.6	1.3	14.6	100					

Note: 'Other' includes children going to madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' = dropped out + never enrolled.






How to read this chart: Each line shows trends in the proportion of children out of school for a particular subset of children. For example, the proportion of girls (age 11-14) not in school was 13% in 2006, 4.9% in 2010, 6.3% in 2012 and is 5.2% in 2013

Table 2: Sample description % Children in each class by age 2013													
Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	28.2	39.0	16.7	9.9		6.2					100		
П	4.8	17.6	28.0	28.0	8.3	9.3		4.1					100
Ш	1.2	5.6	13.7	35.5	17.2	16.1			10.	7			100
IV	3	.6	5.4	16.8	23.0	28.2	7.7	10.2		5.	2		100
V		2.0		6.7	10.4	36.4	16.7	18.5	5.4		3.9		100
VI			5.9			16.7	23.0	33.4	13.1	5.4	2.	.5	100
VII		1.9				7.4	9.2	37.1	23.7	13.2	5.5	2.0	100
VIII				5.4				19.1	31.9	26.6	11.6	5.4	100

How to read this table: If a child started school in Std I at age 6, she should be of age 8 in Std III. This table shows the age distribution for each class. For example, in Std III, 35.5% children are 8 years old but there are also 13.7% who are 7, 17.2% who are 9, 16.1% who are 10 and 10.7% who are older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 who are enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2013 In school Not in In balwadi In LKG/ school or Total UKG or preanganwadi Pvt. school Govt. Other 3.0 30.6 100 Age 3 663 65.9 10.7 23.4 100 Age 4 Age 5 23.2 8.8 48.4 9.3 8.0 9.6 100 6.3 5.9 69.5 12.1 0.5 5.7 100 Note: For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



* Data for 2011 is not comparable to other years and therefore not included here.



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Reading


	1: % Child ools 2013		ass and RI	EADING leve	el	
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Level 1 (Std I Text)	Level 2 (Std II Text)	Total
1	53.8	29.5	9.8	4.3	2.7	100
П	27.8	34.9	20.0	9.7	7.6	100
III	14.4	29.0	25.7	17.1	13.8	100
IV	8.8	21.7	22.0	22.8	24.7	100
V	5.7	16.0	19.4	24.9	34.0	100
VI	2.9	9.3	15.3	24.9	47.6	100
VII	2.3	7.0	9.6	18.3	62.8	100
VIII	1.0	4.1	6.7	14.4	73.9	100
Total	17.2	20.5	16.3	16.3	29.7	100

How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in reading achieved by a child. For example, in Std III, 14.4% children cannot even read letters, 29% can read letters but not more, 25.7% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 17.1% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 13.8% can read Std II level text. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time % Children in Std III and V at different READING levels by school type 2009-2013

Year		en in Std III east Std I le		% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2009	34.7	67.1	37.4	46.0	72.4	48.1	
2010	37.4	57.1	38.9	48.4	65.4	49.6	
2011	26.3	59.3	30.6	37.5	68.2	41.0	
2012	26.7	58.0	31.1	32.5	75.4	37.7	
2013	25.3	64.5	30.9	29.4	67.9	33.9	

^{*} This is the weighted average of govt. and pvt. schools only.



Reading Tool

सावन का महीना था। आसमान में बहुत से काले बादल छाये थे। ठंडी-ठंडी हवा चल रही थी। मैंने सोचा, आज झूला झूलते हैं। बड़े मैया एक मोटी सी रस्सी लेकर आये। हमने उसे पेड़ से लटका कर झूला बनाया। सब ने मिलकर खूब झूला झूला। बहुत सारे बच्चे आकर मज़े से खेलने लगे। खेलते-खेलते रात हो गयी। मीना पढ़ने जाती है। राजू भी साथ जाता है। दोनों शाम को लौटकर आते हैं। घर आकर खाना खाते हैं।







To interpret the chart alongside (Chart 4), several things need to be kept in mind:

The highest level in the ASER reading tool is the ability to read a Std II level text. ASER is a "floor" level test. All children (age 5 to 16) are assessed using the same tool; grade-level tools are not used in ASER.

We can see that the proportion of children who can read at least ${\sf Std}\ {\sf II}$ level text increases in successive standards. This is true for every year for which data is shown.

By Std VIII, when children have completed eight years of schooling, a high proportion of children are able to read the Std II level text. It is possible that many children in Std VIII are reading at higher levels, but ASER reading tests do not assess higher than Std II level.

This chart allows us to compare proportions of children reading at least Std II level texts in different standards across years. For example, see Std V in 2009, 2011 and 2013.

ASER 2013 130



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Arithmetic


Table 6: % Children by class and ARITHMETIC level All schools 2013										
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize	numbers	Can subtract	Can divide	Total				
ı	48.9	33.2	13.3	3.6	1.1	100				
II	22.7	41.8	24.5	7.2	3.9	100				
III	10.3	34.3	34.1	14.8	6.5	100				
IV	5.7	25.2	32.9	21.3	14.9	100				
V	2.9	17.3	31.7	26.4	21.8	100				
VI	1.7	12.0	26.0	28.6	31.8	100				
VII	1.5	8.7	21.4	25.7	42.8	100				
VIII	0.6	5.5	16.7	22.5	54.7	100				
Total	14.1	23.9	24.9	17.5	19.6	100				


How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in arithmetic achieved by a child. For example, in Std III, 10.3% children cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 34.3% can recognize numbers up to 9 but not more, 34.1% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 14.8% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 6.5% can do division. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 7: Trends over time % Children in Std III and V who can do at least SUBTRACTION and DIVISION respectively by school type 2009-2013

		•	_				
Year		en in Std III least subtra		% Children in Std V who can do division			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Pvt. Govt. & Pvt.*		Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2009	29.4	60.6	32.0	30.0	55.9	32.0	
2010	31.7	47.0	32.8	40.1	50.7	40.8	
2011	19.6	49.2	23.4	20.9	47.2	24.0	
2012	19.3	54.7	24.3	20.1	54.6	24.3	
2013	16.5	50.0	21.3	17.9	51.8	21.9	

^{*} This is the weighted average of govt. and pvt. schools only.







To interpret the chart alongside (Chart 5), several things need to be kept in mind:

The highest level in the ASER arithmetic tool is the ability to do a numerical division problem (dividing a three digit number by a one digit number). In most states in India, children are expected to do such computations by Std III or Std IV. ASER does not assess children using grade-level tools.

We can see that the proportion of children who can do this level of division increases in successive standards. This is true for every year for which data is shown

By Std VIII, when children have completed eight years of schooling, a substantial proportion of children are able to do division problems at this level. It is possible that some children are able to do operations at higher levels too, but ASER arithmetic tests do not assess higher than this level.

This chart allows us to compare proportions of children who can do division in different standards across years. For example, see Std V in 2009, 2011 and 2013.

131 ASER 2013



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Type of school and paid additional tuition classes (tutoring)


The ASER survey recorded information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.


Table 8: Trends over time % Children attending PAID TUITION CLASSES by school type 2010-2013									
% Children attending paid tuition classes in Std I-V	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Govt. schools	23.5	21.2	24.4	24.3					
Pvt. schools	37.1	38.4	44.5	36.7					
All schools	24.7	23.5	27.8	26.2					
% Children attending paid tuition classes in Std VI-VIII	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Govt. schools	36.3	33.4	34.9	33.8					
Pvt. schools	46.0	39.3	49.3	40.0					
All schools	37.2	34.1	36.8	34.7					



	Trends over time ren by school ty		ITION 2010	0-2013	
	Category	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Govt. no tuition	70.0	68.1	62.9	63.8
	Govt. + Tuition	21.5	18.3	20.3	20.5
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	5.3	8.4	9.4	10.0
	Pvt. + Tuition	3.1	5.2	7.5	5.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	Govt. no tuition	57.5	58.9	56.7	57.3
	Govt. + Tuition	32.8	29.5	30.4	29.3
Std	Pvt. no tuition	5.3	7.0	6.6	8.0
VI-VIII	Pvt. + Tuition	4.5	4.6	6.4	5.4
	Total	100	100	100	100

Table 10: TUITION EXPENDITURES by school type in rupees per month 2013										
	Type of	% Children in different tuition Type of expenditure categories								
	school	Rs 100 or less	Rs 101- 200	Rs 201- 300	Rs 301 or more	Total				
Std I-V	Govt.	82.6	14.7	1.4	1.3	100				
Std I-V	Pvt.	44.4	37.4	9.3	9.0	100				
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	71.3	24.3	2.6	1.9	100				
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	38.5	44.5	11.3	5.7	100				





ASER 2013 132



ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 23 OUT OF 23 DISTRICTS Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 11: Number of schools visited 2010-2013									
Type of school	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Std I-IV/V: Primary	188	164	121	205					
Std I-VII/VIII: Primary + Upper primary	359	373	317	423					
Total schools visited	547	537	438	628					

Table 12: Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010-2013									
Type of school	Std I-IV/V				Std I-VII/VIII				
Type of school	2010	2011	2012	2013	2010	2011	2012	2013	
% Enrolled children present (Average)	62.3	59.1	58.0	62.4	58.7	55.1	52.8	56.8	
% Teachers present (Average)	89.4	91.1	78.3	86.5	81.8	85.1	62.1	88.3	

Table 13: Small schools and multigrade classes 2010-2013									
School characteristics	Std I-IV/V				Std I-VII/VIII				
School characteristics	2010	2011	2012	2013	2010	2011	2012	2013	
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	20.0	30.8	38.8	38.4	1.2	1.6	2.6	2.2	
% Schools where Std II children observed sitting with one or more other classes	76.9	84.8	87.4	88.1	59.7	65.0	69.5	70.1	
% Schools where Std IV children observed sitting with one or more other classes	75.3	82.5	86.7	84.2	52.4	61.8	64.8	62.9	

Note: The state has programmes which require grades to sit together in primary schools.


RTE indicators

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (RTE) Act, 2009 specifies a series of norms and standards for a school. Data on selected measurable indicators of RTE are collected in ASER.

Table 14: Schools meeting selected RTE norms 2010-2013					
% Schools meeting the following RTE norms:		2010	2011	2012	2013
PTR & CTR	Pupil-teacher ratio (PTR)	11.2	15.3	15.0	19.0
	Classroom-teacher ratio (CTR)	81.2	77.3	76.9	83.2
Building	Office/store/office cum store	84.9	84.4	85.0	88.3
	Playground	37.9	34.0	37.5	35.0
	Boundary wall/fencing	27.0	25.0	21.6	26.6
Drinking water	No facility for drinking water	15.8	11.1	9.5	10.3
	Facility but no drinking water available	10.4	8.3	12.5	11.6
	Drinking water available	73.8	80.6	78.1	78.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
Toilet	No toilet facility	18.0	19.1	16.4	16.7
	Facility but toilet not useable	55.2	43.5	46.6	42.8
	Toilet useable	26.8	37.5	37.0	40.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
Girls' toilet	No separate provision for girls' toilet	29.7	23.4	25.3	22.7
	Separate provision but locked	24.6	18.3	19.3	15.4
	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	24.8	21.8	23.4	25.5
	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	20.9	36.6	32.0	36.4
	Total	100	100	100	100
Library	No library	38.4	26.5	21.0	13.4
	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	33.2	35.4	33.9	33.2
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	28.4	38.2	45.1	53.4
	Total	100	100	100	100
Mid-day meal	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	73.5	76.2	77.0	78.3
	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	92.6	88.8	84.2	82.4



In each visited school, we asked a teacher/HM a few questions about Continuous & Comprehensive Evaluation (CCE).



133 ASER 2013