

School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	82.8	12.9	1.3	3.0	100
Age 7-16: All	82.4	12.0	1.2	4.5	100
Age 7-10: All	80.1	16.0	1.4	2.4	100
Age 7-10: Boys	76.5	19.5	1.6	2.4	100
Age 7-10: Girls	84.0	12.3	1.3	2.5	100
Age 11-14: All	84.9	10.0	1.0	4.1	100
Age 11-14: Boys	81.8	13.3	1.0	4.0	100
Age 11-14: Girls	88.0	6.6	0.9	4.4	100
Age 15-16: All	81.6	5.8	0.8	11.8	100
Age 15-16: Boys	79.1	7.5	1.0	12.4	100
Age 15-16: Girls	83.9	4.2	0.7	11.3	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution% Children in each grade by age2016													
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
T	21.4	42.8	19.4	9.4				7	.0				100
11	2.3	13.2	33.3	32.8	8.0	8.0 6.9 3.7			100				
Ш	3.	.1	10.7	32.8	30.2	14.6			8	3.6			100
IV		5.0		13.8	21.7	41.0	8.3	7.3		3	.0		100
V		6	.1		7.2	32.9	30.1	15.8		8	1.1		100
VI			4.6			15.3	22.5 40.7 10.1 6.8				100		
VII			1.3		5.1 8.4 35.8 32.4 11.7 5.3				100				
VIII				4.9				15.7	30.6	35.4	9.9	3.6	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 32.8% children are 8 years old but there are also 10.7% who are 7, 30.2% who are 9, 14.6% who are 10, and 8.6% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/		In school		Out of school	Total
-	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	10 tu
Age 3	50.4	2.8				46.8	100
Age 4	60.4	7.4				32.2	100
Age 5	36.3	12.0	32.0	4.6	1.6	13.5	100
Age 6	10.8	10.2	62.5	8.1	1.7	6.7	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016						
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total	
1	61.2	21.1	6.7	3.9	7.1	100	
Ш	38.4	29.9	12.1	6.2	13.4	100	
111	21.6	28.6	17.4	11.7	20.7	100	
IV	13.1	22.6	17.3	14.3	32.7	100	
V	9.3	17.5	15.4	15.8	42.0	100	
VI	5.7	11.7	10.9	15.1	56.8	100	
VII	3.2	8.7	9.1	13.5	65.5	100	
VIII	2.4	5.7	5.8	11.0	75.1	100	


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 21.6% cannot even read letters, 28.6% can read letters but not words or higher, 17.4% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 11.7% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 20.7% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Year	% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	22.8	42.6	23.7		
2012	14.2	52.7	16.8		
2014	15.6 66.1 21.9				
2016	13.9 62.5 20.8				

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 45.6%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 73.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 80.7%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

are entolied (government of private)	15 4150 Tecolucu.
	ing Tool
Std II level text	Std I level text
राजू नाम का एक लड़का था। उसकी एक बड़ी बहन व एक छोटा भाई था। उसका भाई गाँव के पास के विद्यालय में पढ़ने जाता था। वह खूब मेहनत	हर रविवार नानी घर आती है। हमारे लिए मिठाई लाती है। मैं नानी के साथ सोता हूँ। वह मुझे कहानी सुनाती है।
करता था। उसकी बहन बहुत	Letters Words
अच्छी खिलाड़ी थी। उसे लंबी दौड़ लगाना अच्छा लगता था। वे तीनों रोज़ साथ-साथ मौज-मस्ती करते थे।	ह च ट ल न फ म र स त देर कौन

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2010	57.9	70.9	58.4	87.3	84.6	87.2	
2012	43.1	74.8	44.4	80.3	93.1	80.7	
2014	44.6	87.8	48.2	76.9	86.8	77.3	
2016	38.0	82.6	41.8	73.9	96.0	75.2	

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016					
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize 1-9	numbers 10-99	Subtract	Divide	Total
Ι	51.7	28.3	11.1	4.8	4.1	100
	28.0	35.1	19.4	9.0	8.5	100
	12.5	32.7	27.8	12.9	14.2	100
IV	8.1	21.6	28.5	19.6	22.2	100
V	5.6	16.2	26.6	19.0	32.6	100
VI	3.2	9.9	20.9	20.9	45.0	100
VII	2.1	5.8	19.3	17.7	55.2	100
VIII	1.6	3.9	14.7	17.5	62.3	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 12.5% cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 32.7% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 27.8% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 12.9% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 14.2% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year		ren in Std It least sub				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	43.5	60.8	44.3			
2012	25.1	68.4	28.1			
2014	18.0 68.0 24.2					
2016	20.0 72.0 27.3					
* This is al	* This is the unindexed success for children in					

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 35.1%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 68.9%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 67%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool




Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can do division			% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	51.0	68.2	51.7	85.9	84.0	85.8
2012	30.0	60.6	31.3	66.4	85.2	67.0
2014	31.4	72.4	34.9	60.3	80.9	61.2
2016	28.9	72.5	32.6	61.0	85.4	62.4

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.


Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016					
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	67.5	10.6	8.5	8.3	5.1	100
Ш	49.8	16.4	14.2	11.2	8.4	100
111	33.1	18.6	21.4	15.6	11.3	100
IV	23.0	15.6	23.8	23.2	14.4	100
V	18.1	12.2	23.4	28.2	18.1	100
VI	10.6	9.0	22.2	31.6	26.7	100
VII	7.4	7.4	18.1	32.4	34.7	100
VIII	5.4	5.3	15.5	30.1	43.8	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 33.1% cannot even read capital letters, 18.6% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 21.4% can read small letters but not words or higher, 15.6% can read words but not sentences, and 11.3% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

	Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend EnglishAll children 2016						
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences					
Ι	62.4	39.0					
	62.9	46.4					
	59.9	54.2					
IV	59.0	47.3					
V	56.7	50.2					
VI	60.2	48.9					
VII	62.2	51.7					
VIII	64.3	56.0					





Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Govt. no tuition	53.8	52.4	45.3	40.9
	Govt. + Tuition	40.8	40.6	41.5	44.7
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	2.6	2.6	5.0	4.9
	Pvt. + Tuition	2.8	4.4	8.2	9.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt. no tuition	38.9	38.4	35.4	32.2
	Govt. + Tuition	56.8	58.0	57.7	60.0
	Pvt. no tuition	1.4	1.2	2.4	2.3
	Pvt. + Tuition	2.8	2.5	4.5	5.5
	Total	100	100	100	100

Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016 % Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month) Type of Std school Rs. 100 Rs. 101 -Rs. 201-Rs. 301 Total or less 200 300 or more Std I-V 35.4 100 Govt. 54.5 6.2 4.0 100 Std I-V 25.6 38.7 12.8 23.0 Pvt. Std VI-VIII Govt. 33.6 49.6 10.0 6.8 100 18.6 100 Std VI-VIII Pvt. 13.3 35.3 32.9

Bihar RURAL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 38 OUT OF 38 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016	
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	265	284	224	245	
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	702	773	864	866	
Total schools visited	967	1057	1088	1111	
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 Primary schools Student and teacher	e on the	day of v	visit 2014	2016	
(Std I-IV/V) % Enrolled children present (Average)	56.1	58.3	58.2	59.1	
% Teachers present (Average)	84.6	78.1	77.5	74.6	
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016	
% Enrolled children present (Average)	55.9	55.5	52.1	52.0	
% Teachers present (Average)	80.6	82.4	76.0	76.5	

Table 16: Trends over timeSmall schools and multigrade classes2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	0.4	0.7	1.8	2.1
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	67.6	75.5	79.3	71.8
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	63.7	72.5	79.0	67.1
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.1
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	53.0	60.1	58.8	56.9
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	43.4	52.0	52.8	50.6

School facilities

Table 17: Trends over time% Schools with selected school facilities2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	64.0	74.1	87.7	87.2
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	57.2	75.0	69.2	76.5
	No facility for drinking water	9.6	7.5	2.3	3.5
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	11.7	7.1	7.3	7.1
water	Drinking water available	78.7	85.4	90.4	89.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	19.3	12.6	6.4	4.8
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	47.2	36.2	33.0	24.6
ionec	Toilet useable	33.6	51.2	60.6	70.6
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	49.9	26.9	25.4	17.4
0.11	Separate provision but locked	15.1	11.4	14.3	7.5
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	16.9	19.7	14.1	14.3
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	18.1	42.0	46.2	60.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	47.1	25.4	23.7	30.7
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	24.7	29.3	45.8	36.6
Lionary	Library books being used by children on day of visit	28.2	45.3	30.5	32.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection		72.6		
Electricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit			63.6	
	No computer available for children to use	93.1	93.8	94.3	92.9
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	2.9	4.8	5.0	6.3
computer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	4.0	1.4	0.7	0.8
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year					
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2010 to March 2011	79.2	82.7	85.2		
April 2011 to March 2012	78.7	83.3	84.6		
April 2013 to March 2014	80.3	83.0	12.1		
April 2015 to March 2016	69.2	69.1	1.8		

Table 19: Trends over time	
% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year	

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	28.4	29.3	32.4
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	22.1	23.4	25.5
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	25.8	27.0	2.0
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	30.1	49.9	2.0

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities				
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)	
Construction	New classroom built	24.6	14.9	
	White wash/plastering	70.9	59.0	
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	71.4	72.0	
	Repair of toilet	49.6	47.3	
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	39.1	34.8	
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	54.3	44.9	

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools				
	2014	2016		
% Schools which reported having an SMC	91.0	94.2		
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting				
Before July	13.7	8.7		
Between July and September	71.2	65.9		
After September	15.1	25.4		

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to	For what purpose?			
each school?				
School Mainte	enance Grant			
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building,			
year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	boundary wall, playground etc.			
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.				
School Development Grant/School Facility Grant				
Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII	School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.			
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.				
Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant				
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.			
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was				

reinstated in 2016-17.