ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS, 26 OUT OF 26 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	87.4	10.2	0.1	2.4	100
Age 7-16: All	83.0	11.5	0.1	5.4	100
Age 7-10: All	88.3	10.5	0.1	1.2	100
Age 7-10: Boys	86.3	12.5	0.0	1.2	100
Age 7-10: Girls	90.5	8.3	0.1	1.2	100
Age 11-14: All	86.0	10.1	0.1	3.8	100
Age 11-14: Boys	85.6	11.3	0.1	3.0	100
Age 11-14: Girls	86.3	8.7	0.1	4.9	100
Age 15-16: All	60.8	18.0	0.3	21.0	100
Age 15-16: Boys	62.7	18.6	0.1	18.7	100
Age 15-16: Girls	58.8	17.3	0.4	23.5	100

^{&#}x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11-14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6-14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006-2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016 Age 5 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Total Std 21.2 63.3 12.7 2.8 100 П 100 11.0 67.3 17.4 3.4 8.0 Ш 8.0 14.2 65.0 15.4 4.5 100 IV 1.6 13.0 61.9 18.1 5.4 100 ٧ 1.3 7.9 66.7 18.4 5.7 100 V١ 2.0 11.1 58.4 24.1 100 44 VII

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 65% children are 8 years old but there are also 14.2% who are 7, 15.4% who are 9, and 4.5% who are 10 or older.

11.3 58.0

20.5

11.6 | 63.8 | 14.7

6.3

1.7

2.2

Young children in pre-school and school

VIII

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age In balwadi		In LKG/	In LKG/ In school school			Total			
	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	iotai		
Age 3	78.8	2.7				18.5	100		
Age 4	78.1	9.3				12.7	100		
Age 5	47.3	13.2	28.8	3.1	0.0	7.7	100		
Age 6	7.4	2.9	78.8	7.9	0.0	3.0	100		

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



100

100

^{&#}x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even	Letter	Word	Std I	Std II	Total
	letter			level text	level text	
	46.3	42.2	7.9	2.7	0.9	100
П	17.5	30.9	25.0	16.5	10.2	100
Ш	11.1	22.1	19.8	24.0	23.0	100
IV	5.2	12.2	18.1	25.5	39.1	100
V	4.0	9.0	14.8	19.3	53.0	100
VI	3.5	6.8	11.2	23.5	55.0	100
VII	3.0	4.0	7.2	16.8	69.0	100
VIII	0.8	2.5	6.8	13.3	76.6	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 11.1% cannot even read letters, 22.1% can read letters but not words or higher, 19.8% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 24% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 23% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text					
	Govt.	Pvt. 30.3 34.2 41.8	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	12.6	30.3	14.1			
2012	19.5	34.2	20.9			
2014	17.6	41.8	20.3			
2016	21.6	36.7	23.0			

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 31.5%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 59.2%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 80.9%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Reading Tool

Std II level text

જંગલમાં વાંદરાનું એક ટોળું હતું. તે ચાંદની રાતે નદી કાંઠે ઝાડ પર સૂતું હતું. એક તળાવમાં ચાંદો જોયો. તેણે બધાને જગાડી દીધા. બધાને થયું કે આ તો મોટું ફળ છે. પણ તેને કેવી રીતે લેવું? એકે બીજાની અને બીજાએ ત્રીજાની પૂંછડી પકડી અને નદી સુધી પહોંચ્યા. નદીમાં ચાંદો પકડે તે પહેલાં પકડ છૂટી ગઈ અને બધા ધબાક કરતા પાણીમાં પડયાં. ્મારી પાસે મોટર છે.

Std I level text

મારી પાસે મોટર છે. મોટર બોલે પોમ...પોમ... એમા દૂર ફરવાની બહુ મજા. એનો રંગ કાળો છે.





Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

2010/ 2012/ 2011 4114 2010								
Year	% Childre read	n in Std V Std II level		% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	43.5	63.9	45.5	78.0	82.9	79.1		
2012	46.3	66.3	47.7	80.2	86.2	80.9		
2014	44.6	64.1	46.6	76.4	84.2	77.6		
2016	52.3	59.1	52.9	75.7	85.7	76.6		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level

7.11 (1.111.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.								
Std	Not even	Recognize numbers		Subtract	Divide	Total		
Jta	1-9	1-9	10-99	Jaotract	Divide	lotai		
I	46.9	43.4	8.4	1.1	0.1	100		
Ш	19.9	42.3	32.6	4.1	1.1	100		
III	13.6	33.4	33.4	16.7	2.8	100		
IV	6.6	20.2	38.7	27.8	6.8	100		
V	3.9	18.4	35.0	26.7	16.1	100		
VI	4.7	15.4	33.9	25.5	20.6	100		
VII	3.7	10.8	31.8	26.9	26.8	100		
VIII	1.3	6.2	28.7	28.9	34.8	100		

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 13.6% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 33.4% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 33.4% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 16.7% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 2.8% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction					
Govt.	44.8	Govt. & Pvt.*			
23.5	44.8	25.4			
12.0	33.6	14.0			
12.4	35.2	14.9			
18.3	31.9	19.6			
	Govt. 23.5 12.0 12.4	Govt. Pvt. 23.5 44.8 12.0 33.6 12.4 35.2			

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 13.2%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 30.4%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 41.4%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool

ભાગાકાર	Ж	озно		સંખ્યા ૨ ૧૦-૯	ઝોળખ -€	
<u>ه) د د د</u>	93 - 36	- Se - Se	63	પ૧	8	9
s) <58	૪૫ - ૧૭	- 5C	ક્ય	30	3	6
1000	۲8	6-5	58	ųч		_
c) ecu	<u>- 40</u>	- 65	83	eq	e	ç
8) 496	- 85	પર - ૧૪	50	39	6	ų

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

2010/ 2012/ 2011 4114 2010								
Year	% Childre	n in Std V do division		% Children in Std VIII who can do division				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt. P	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	19.6	34.0	21.1	54.1	55.1	54.3		
2012	12.4	34.0	13.9	39.2	58.2	41.4		
2014	13.9	34.8	16.1	29.3	50.4	32.6		
2016	14.5	32.2	16.1	33.9	44.4	34.8		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016

Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
I	82.4	9.5	6.3	1.4	0.4	100
II	65.7	17.0	12.8	3.3	1.3	100
III	49.8	24.4	16.0	7.6	2.3	100
IV	36.2	22.6	23.1	14.4	3.6	100
V	20.9	28.1	25.9	17.7	7.4	100
VI	18.3	19.4	27.2	19.7	15.5	100
VII	12.6	13.3	27.8	23.9	22.3	100
VIII	6.5	12.9	16.8	26.2	37.6	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 49.8% cannot even read capital letters, 24.4% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 16% can read small letters but not words or higher, 7.6% can read words but not sentences, and 2.3% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
1		
П	Dat	ta ,
Ш	insuffi	cient
IV	47.0	
V	56.3	66.4
VI	54.2	66.6
VII	49.5	61.7
VIII	55.9	66.3

English Tool











Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016										
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016					
	Govt. no tuition	83.1	82.8	80.3	81.6					
	Govt. + Tuition	7.9	7.4	8.1	7.9					
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	5.7	5.7	6.8	5.7					
	Pvt. + Tuition	3.3	4.1	4.9	4.8					
	Pvt. + Tuition 3.3 4.1 4.9 Total 100 100 100	100								
	Govt. no tuition	78.5	79.7	76.7	82.1					
C. 1 \ // \ // \	Govt. + Tuition	9.1	9.3	10.3	9.3					
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	8.2	6.3	7.6	5.1					
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.2	4.7	5.5	3.6					
	Total	100	100	100	100					

	Table 13: 2016	Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016					
	Std	Type of	% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)				
		school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs. 101 - 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total
	Std I-V	Govt.	36.7	44.7	13.7	5.0	100
	Std I-V	Pvt.	24.1	40.6	17.6	17.7	100
	Std VI-VIII	Govt.	32.2	40.3	18.3	9.2	100
	Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	20.6	32.8	16.0	30.6	100

(Average)

Gujarat RURAL
ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 26 OUT OF 26 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	66	70	67	82
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	557	622	653	562
Total schools visited	623	692	720	644
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendan 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016	ce on the	day of v	/isit	
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	87.4	84.1	85.5	89.4
% Teachers present (Average)	94.7	90.9	94.1	91.6
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	84.4	83.9	82.5	83.0
% Teachers present				

95.9

91.1

93.5

90.8

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	33.3	43.1	43.3	68.4
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	56.1	85.1	77.3	89.0
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	51.7	78.8	69.4	88.5
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	1.3	1.5	2.8	4.0
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	33.6	40.4	45.2	47.4
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	30.7	36.0	37.5	43.6

School facilities

% Schools	Trends over time s with selected school facilities 2, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	88.3	88.7	90.0	91.9
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	96.2	95.1	94.2	95.4
	No facility for drinking water	14.2	11.1	8.5	9.7
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	6.5	6.6	4.5	5.8
water	Drinking water available	79.4	82.3	87.0	84.6
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	2.6	1.3	1.7	0.3
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	32.6	28.6	13.5	16.8
ionee	Toilet useable	64.8	70.0	84.8	82.9
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	12.7	5.5	5.8	2.4
Girls'	Separate provision but locked	20.7	11.3	5.6	6.5
toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	16.7	17.4	7.2	10.0
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	49.9	65.8	81.4	81.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	16.2	14.4	7.7	12.2
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	35.2	44.3	54.0	45.5
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	48.5	41.4	38.3	42.3
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection				99.2
Licetricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity a	ıvailable d	n day of	visit	94.0
	No computer available for children to use	47.8	13.6	18.7	24.8
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	24.3	47.7	52.8	43.7
Compacei	Computer being used by children on day of visit	27.9	38.7	28.5	31.5
	Total	100	100	100	100



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of S	ble 18: Trends over time Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Full financial year		
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2010 to March 2011	79.3	82.6	91.2
April 2011 to March 2012	85.8	88.6	94.2
April 2013 to March 2014	76.2	79.9	21.1
April 2015 to March 2016	79.3	83.9	58.8

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Half financial year			
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	65.3	67.0	70.1
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	82.8	84.4	90.5
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	69.0	73.1	16.2
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	73.1	77.9	75.4

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities			
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)
Construction	New classroom built	26.0	19.9
	White wash/plastering	48.0	48.1
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	53.3	60.9
	Repair of toilet	49.8	59.3
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	58.7	85.1
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	61.7	71.9

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools		
	2014	2016
% Schools which reported having an SMC	99.2	98.9
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	e last SMC meetii	ng
Before July	8.0	8.1
Between July and September	88.9	72.6
After September	3.1	19.4

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?	
School Mainte	enance Grant	
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump	
(Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.	
Note: Primary and Unner P	rimary schools are treated	

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

Rs. 5,000 per year per			
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)			
Rs. 7,000 per year per			
Upper Primary School			
(Std VI-VIII)			
De F 000 + De 7 000			

Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 =
Rs. 12,000 if the school
is Std I-VII/VIII

School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant		
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.	

Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

