Himachal Pradesh

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	61.3	38.5	0.0	0.2	100
Age 7-16: All	64.8	34.4	0.0	0.8	100
Age 7-10: All	54.8	45.0	0.0	0.2	100
Age 7-10: Boys	51.6	48.2	0.1	0.1	100
Age 7-10: Girls	58.2	41.6	0.0	0.2	100
Age 11-14: All	69.3	30.4	0.0	0.3	100
Age 11-14: Boys	64.8	34.9	0.1	0.3	100
Age 11-14: Girls	73.8	25.9	0.0	0.4	100
Age 15-16: All	77.0	19.2	0.1	3.8	100
Age 15-16: Boys	71.3	24.6	0.1	4.0	100
Age 15-16: Girls	82.5	13.9	0.0	3.6	100

^{&#}x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11-14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6-14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006-2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age

2016	10												
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	31.4	50.2	16.7		1.7					100			
П	1.0	28.1	53.7	13.8	3.4					100			
Ш	1.	.9	24.7	58.0	12.6	12.6 2.9					100		
IV		2.2		29.3	49.5	49.5 16.5 2.6				100			
V		3	.3		22.7	54.8	15.7			3.4			100
VI			3.1			30.8	0.8 50.2 13.9 2.0					100	
VII			4.	0	27.9 49.0 16.2 2.9					100			
VIII				3.9				31.8	48.9	13.5	1.	.9	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 58% children are 8 years old but there are also 24.7% who are 7, 12.6% who are 9, and 2.9% who are 10 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/		In school		Out of school	Total
_	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	iotai
Age 3	55.4	26.5				18.1	100
Age 4	36.0	56.4				7.6	100
Age 5	9.8	41.4	24.2	16.2	0.1	8.3	100
Age 6	0.7	8.8	45.2	44.3	0.0	1.0	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



^{&#}x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
T	15.0	43.4	21.7	12.5	7.4	100
П	5.6	19.2	21.8	24.5	28.9	100
III	1.2	12.9	11.8	27.2	47.0	100
IV	1.8	6.0	7.5	23.0	61.8	100
V	0.4	4.4	7.1	17.6	70.5	100
VI	1.3	3.2	4.4	16.5	74.7	100
VII	0.5	3.7	4.2	8.0	83.6	100
VIII	0.6	1.8	1.1	8.7	87.9	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 1.2% cannot even read letters, 12.9% can read letters but not words or higher, 11.8% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 27.2% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 47% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	25.3	44.2	30.9		
2012	32.8	51.0	38.7		
2014	43.6	51.3	46.6		
2016	45.0	49.0	47.0		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 58.1%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 89.4%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 90.1%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Reading Tool

Std II level text

रामपुर में एक मैदान था। वहाँ कुछ नहीं उगता था। वहाँ कोई खेलने नहीं जाता था। एक दिन कुछ लोग आए। उन्होंने गाँव के लोगों को बुलाया। सबने मिलकर तय किया कि यहाँ बगीचा बनाया जाए। खाद मंगाकर तरह-तरह के पौधे लगाए गए। सही समय पर पानी दिया गया। आज वहाँ एक सुंदर बगीचा है। इसलिए वहाँ सभी खेलने जाते हैं। रूपा बाहर खेल रही थी। खेलते-खेलते रात हो गई। रूपा अपने घर चली गई। वह खाना खाकर सो गई।

Std I level text

 Eetters

 द क च

 ल ब

 ह थ त

 म ख



Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

2010/ 2012/ 2011 4114 2010						
Year	% Childre read	n in Std V Std II level		% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	75.7	82.8	77.4	93.2	92.9	93.1
2012	71.2	76.9	72.8	88.9	94.6	90.1
2014	71.5	82.5	75.3	90.5	94.8	91.9
2016	65.3	78.0	70.5	84.9	94.9	87.9

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016

Std	Not even	n Recognize numbers Subtra		Subtract	Divide	Total
Jta	1-9	1-9	10-99	Jaotract	Divide	iotai
I	8.2	34.1	47.6	8.4	1.8	100
Ш	2.5	17.5	44.1	32.4	3.6	100
III	1.1	10.0	31.5	38.9	18.5	100
IV	1.3	5.5	22.5	31.1	39.6	100
V	0.1	5.5	15.8	24.9	53.7	100
VI	0.3	4.8	19.7	23.6	51.6	100
VII	0.2	1.9	17.4	27.7	52.7	100
VIII	0.0	1.4	17.5	21.9	59.2	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 1.1% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 10% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 31.5% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 38.9% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 18.5% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction				
Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
53.9	76.0	60.4		
39.5	72.6	50.3		
40.6	70.6	52.4		
48.4 66.7 57.4				
	Govt. 53.9 39.5 40.6	Govt. Pvt. 53.9 76.0 39.5 72.6 40.6 70.6		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 40.3%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 75.5%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 71.7%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool

अंक पहचान 1–9	संख्या पहचान 10—99	घटाव	भाग
3 7	65 38	41 64 _ 13 _ 48	7)928(
1 4	92 23	84 73 - 49 - 36	6)769(
	47 72	56 31 - 37 - 13	
8 2	54 87		8) 987
5 9	29 11	45 53 - 18 - 24	4) 519(

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

2010, 2012, 2014 una 2010						
Year	% Childre	n in Std V do division		% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	61.8	67.7	63.2	85.1	87.3	85.5
2012	40.7	70.3	48.7	67.7	86.8	71.8
2014	37.9	63.9	46.9	55.9	74.2	61.8
2016	47.4	63.0	53.7	50.4	79.5	59.2

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016

Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	18.4	15.4	31.2	25.2	9.8	100
П	7.2	9.7	28.9	31.1	23.2	100
III	4.5	3.9	24.4	28.7	38.5	100
IV	3.3	5.1	16.6	26.5	48.6	100
V	1.5	4.8	12.4	18.2	63.2	100
VI	2.2	3.0	13.9	19.9	61.1	100
VII	1.4	3.5	10.0	13.9	71.2	100
VIII	0.5	1.4	9.4	14.6	74.0	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 4.5% cannot even read capital letters, 3.9% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 24.4% can read small letters but not words or higher, 28.7% can read words but not sentences, and 38.5% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English

All chi	children 2016							
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences						
1	50.1							
П	58.2	43.5						
III	59.9	52.9						
IV	65.4	55.7						
V	66.5	64.8						
VI	64.2	64.0						
VII	70.1	67.3						
VIII	71.9	75.2						

English Tool











Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Govt. no tuition	65.3	64.9	58.1	52.0
	Govt. + Tuition	3.3	2.1	1.6	1.9
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	25.5	28.2	35.4	41.9
	Pvt. + Tuition	6.0	4.8	4.8	4.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
	Govt. no tuition	75.1	72.2	66.8	66.8
C+ 1 \ // \ // \ //	Govt. + Tuition	5.5	3.7	2.4	2.4
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	15.1	19.6	25.4	27.2
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.4	4.5	5.4	3.6
	Total	100	100	100	100

Table 13: 2016	Tuition 6	expenditu	res by scl	100l type		
Std	Type of				ent tuitior Rupees per	
Stu	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs. 101 - 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total
Std I-V	Govt.					
Std I-V	Pvt.	4.8	24.5	35.8	34.9	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.		F .	 Data		
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.		į įr	sufficie	ıt j	

Himachal Pradesh RURAL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 12 OUT OF 12 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	195	222	250	260
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	66	17	27	23
Total schools visited	261	239	277	283

Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	90.0	90.0	86.3	85.8
% Teachers present (Average)	88.0	84.5	76.7	82.6

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	48.6	68.5	71.3	80.8
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	58.6	62.5	74.1	73.7
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	52.8	56.1	73.0	70.7

School facilities

% Schools	Trends over time s with selected school facilities 2, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	82.5	94.5	97.1	97.5
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	98.0	97.0	93.8	98.9
	No facility for drinking water	12.5	10.6	5.4	8.9
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	4.3	6.0	6.9	6.4
water	Drinking water available	83.2	83.4	87.7	84.7
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	10.8	5.1	0.4	1.8
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	33.2	20.8	12.0	14.2
lonet	Toilet useable	56.0	74.2	87.6	84.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	31.1	10.8	1.6	6.0
Girls'	Separate provision but locked	10.6	4.0	3.6	6.0
toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	19.6	14.8	8.5	8.6
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	38.7	70.4	86.2	79.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	19.7	3.4	4.4	5.4
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	39.0	53.4	55.1	62.1
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	41.3	43.2	40.6	32.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection			92.1	
Licetricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit				92.6
	No computer available for children to use	93.3	94.5	94.6	92.2
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	3.5	2.1	2.2	6.1
Compacer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	3.2	3.4	3.3	1.8
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year				
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant	
April 2010 to March 2011	94.3	92.3	98.9	
April 2011 to March 2012	95.8	86.8	97.1	
April 2013 to March 2014	88.6	77.5	7.1	
April 2015 to March 2016	91.5	75.9	8.9	

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year				
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant	
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	84.5	81.8	87.2	
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	60.0	54.5	61.6	
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	38.0	32.2	2.0	
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	74.9	61.8	37.3	

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities				
Type of activit	у	April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)	
Construction	New classroom built	6.0	8.8	
Repair	White wash/plastering	52.8	63.1	
	Repair of drinking water facility	37.8	49.8	
	Repair of toilet	34.5	46.4	
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	26.8	30.3	
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	44.0	51.9	

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools				
	2014	2016		
% Schools which reported having an SMC	99.6	98.9		
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting			
Before July	8.2	7.8		
Between July and September	83.3	74.4		
After September	8.6	17.8		

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?
School Mainte	enance Grant
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the	Maintenance of school building, including
school has upto 3 classrooms	whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump
(Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.
Note: Primary and Unner P	riman, cohools are treated

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

Rs. 5,000 per year per
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)
Rs. 7,000 per year per
Upper Primary School
(Std VI-VIII)
Rs 5,000 ± Rs 7,000 =

(Std VI-VIII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

leaching Learning Material (ILM) Grant	
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.

Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

