ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 24 OUT OF 24 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	78.0	17.4	0.9	3.8	100
Age 7-16: All	75.9	17.5	0.9	5.8	100
Age 7-10: All	78.2	18.5	0.9	2.5	100
Age 7-10: Boys	76.1	20.8	0.8	2.3	100
Age 7-10: Girls	80.5	15.9	0.9	2.8	100
Age 11-14: All	76.8	16.8	1.0	5.5	100
Age 11-14: Boys	75.1	18.8	1.2	4.9	100
Age 11-14: Girls	78.8	14.6	0.9	5.7	100
Age 15-16: All	66.6	16.4	0.8	16.2	100
Age 15-16: Boys	64.0	16.6	1.0	18.4	100
Age 15-16: Girls	68.9	16.1	0.7	14.3	100

^{&#}x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016

Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	24.1	41.1	18.5	9.6		6.8			100				
П	4.3	16.1	31.3	29.9	7.4	7.4 6.7 4.2				100			
Ш	5.	.1	13.0	35.3	23.7	14.6			8	.3			100
IV	1.	.5	5.1	17.3	22.3	32.4	8.4	8.9	4.2		100		
V		2.2		6.6	9.5	33.6	24.0	16.2		8.	0		100
VI			6.6			17.4	21.0	36.4	11.7		6.8		100
VII			2.1			6.7	8.9	36.8	26.5	12.2	5.1	1.7	100
VIII	4.8					17.5	30.2	29.2	11.5	6.8	100		

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 35.3% children are 8 years old but there are also 13% who are 7, 23.7% who are 9, 14.6% who are 10, and 8.3% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	or In LKG/				Out of school	Total	
	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	10 tu
Age 3	66.4	4.7				28.9	100
Age 4	64.3	11.6				24.1	100
Age 5	27.5	13.5	40.7	8.2	0.7	9.5	100
Age 6	7.9	8.7	65.5	11.6	0.6	5.8	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



^{&#}x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
T	56.6	27.7	7.7	3.9	4.0	100
П	31.6	36.6	13.8	8.1	10.0	100
III	17.1	32.7	22.2	11.5	16.5	100
IV	12.2	23.0	20.4	16.6	27.8	100
V	7.2	22.3	17.4	16.8	36.4	100
VI	4.2	14.4	14.2	15.8	51.3	100
VII	3.6	11.5	10.3	15.2	59.4	100
VIII	2.1	7.9	8.8	13.5	67.7	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 17.1% cannot even read letters, 32.7% can read letters but not words or higher, 22.2% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 11.5% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 16.5% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text				
	Govt. Pvt.		Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	11.2	31.3	12.7		
2012	10.0	42.2	14.5		
2014	8.7	38.5	14.2		
2016	10.7	44.7	16.2		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 36.4%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 67.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 75.8%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Reading Tool

Std II level text

राजू नाम का एक लड़का था। उसकी एक बड़ी बहन व एक छोटा भाई था। उसका भाई गाँव के पास के विद्यालय में पढ़ने जाता था। वह खूब मेहनत करता था। उसकी बहन बहुत अच्छी खिलाड़ी थी। उसे लंबी दौड़ लगाना अच्छा लगता था। वे तीनों रोज़ साथ-साथ मौज-मस्ती करते थे। Std | level text

हर रविवार नानी घर आती है। हमारे लिए मिठाई लाती है। मैं नानी के साथ सोता हूँ। वह मुझे कहानी सुनाती है।



Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	48.4	65.4	49.6	85.1	88.4	85.4
2012	32.5	75.4	37.7	73.2	93.5	75.8
2014	29.1	64.0	34.4	68.2	84.9	70.4
2016	31.4	64.9	36.3	66.1	80.9	67.7

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level

Std	Not even	Recognize	numbers	Subtract	Divide	Total	
Ju	1-9	1-9	10-99	Jaotract	Divide	lotai	
I	48.1	33.8	12.8	3.7	1.6	100	
П	21.3	43.4	22.4	9.5	3.4	100	
III	9.4	38.1	32.1	12.3	8.1	100	
IV	6.5	27.4	32.3	18.1	15.7	100	
V	3.6	24.3	28.7	20.0	23.5	100	
VI	2.4	14.7	28.1	22.1	32.6	100	
VII	1.6	11.6	26.3	22.2	38.3	100	
VIII	1.0	7.1	24.3	24.7	42.9	100	

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 9.4% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 38.1% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 32.1% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 12.3% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 8.1% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	31.7	47.0	32.8		
2012	19.3	54.7	24.3		
2014	12.1	51.9	19.5		
2016	13.4	55.6	20.3		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 19.3%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 58.2%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 57.5%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.


Arithmetic Tool

अंक पहचान 1—9	संख्या पहचान 10—99	घटाव	भाग
2 7	76 58	74 63 - 57 - 27	8) 993 (
5 3	48 99	47 84 - 29 - 35	6) 758 (
9 8	34 61	41 32 - 15 - 17	7) 865 (
9 0	46 25	31 68	7,865
4 1	86 62	_ 18 49	4) 658(

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

2010, 2012, 2011 and 2010						
Year	% Childre	n in Std V do division		% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	40.1	50.7	40.8	79.6	78.2	79.4
2012	20.1	54.6	24.3	54.8	75.9	57.5
2014	17.6	42.7	21.4	48.0	71.0	51.0
2016	20.0	44.1	23.6	42.3	49.3	43.0

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016


Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
Ι	60.7	19.4	10.8	6.4	2.7	100
П	38.9	23.9	20.8	11.2	5.2	100
Ш	25.2	25.5	26.5	14.7	8.1	100
IV	17.1	22.0	28.6	21.1	11.2	100
V	11.9	20.8	29.4	23.2	14.8	100
VI	8.2	14.3	25.9	27.8	23.8	100
VII	6.4	11.2	23.6	31.8	27.1	100
VIII	4.3	10.4	19.0	32.9	33.5	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 25.2% cannot even read capital letters, 25.5% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 26.5% can read small letters but not words or higher, 14.7% can read words but not sentences, and 8.1% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

All chi	children 2016							
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences						
I	56.8							
П	59.0	34.2						
III	56.8	53.4						
IV	53.1	57.0						
V	63.8	62.2						
VI	61.1	60.3						
VII	61.6	63.8						
VIII	60.0	55.7						

English Tool











Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016	
	Govt. no tuition	70.0	62.9	59.9	59.2	
	Govt. + Tuition	21.5	20.3	20.5	23.0	
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	5.3	9.4	11.7	10.5	
	Pvt. + Tuition	3.1	7.5	7.8	7.4	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	Govt. no tuition	57.5	56.7	52.1	53.8	
C(\ / \ / \ /	Govt. + Tuition	32.8	30.4	33.3	32.6	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	5.3	6.6	8.4	8.3	
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.5	6.4	6.2	5.3	
	Total	100	100	100	100	

Table 13: 2016	ole 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 16						
C. 1	Type of		% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)				
Std	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs.101- 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total	
Std I-V	Govt.	62.2	33.7	2.8	1.2	100	
Std I-V	Pvt.	31.6	41.6	15.1	11.8	100	
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	42.2	49.8	5.8	2.2	100	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	14.4	51.1	22.2	12.3	100	

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 24 OUT OF 24 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016	
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	188	121	209	193	
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	359	317	416	383	
Total schools visited	547	438	625	576	
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016	
% Enrolled children present (Average)	62.3	58.0	61.7	66.0	
% Teachers present (Average)	89.4	78.3	91.0	84.6	
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016	
% Enrolled children present (Average)	58.7	52.8	56.5	60.9	
% Teachers present (Average)	81.8	62.1	87.6	70.1	

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016	
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	20.0	38.8	42.5	52.1	
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	76.9	87.4	86.5	88.4	
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	75.3	86.7	83.6	86.6	
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016	
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	1.2	2.6	2.7	3.2	
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	59.7	69.5	71.4	72.8	
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	52.4	64.8	66.8	63.6	

School facilities

% Schools	Trends over time s with selected school facilities 2, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	73.5	77.0	83.9	88.4
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	92.6	84.2	78.6	80.7
	No facility for drinking water	15.8	9.5	9.5	8.3
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	10.4	12.5	10.3	10.2
water	Drinking water available	73.8	78.1	80.2	81.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	18.0	16.4	10.9	1.9
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	55.2	46.6	36.2	35.3
ionee	Toilet useable	26.8	37.0	52.9	62.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	29.7	25.3	17.4	3.3
Girls'	Separate provision but locked	24.6	19.3	13.6	11.2
toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	24.8	23.4	21.0	24.1
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	20.9	32.0	48.0	61.4
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	38.4	21.0	10.3	18.9
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	33.2	33.9	29.0	31.5
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	28.4	45.1	60.7	49.7
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity connection					22.7
Electricity Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of				visit	55.7
	No computer available for children to use	93.0	95.6	96.0	95.7
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	2.9	3.5	2.7	3.2
compacer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	4.1	0.9	1.3	1.1
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year					
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2010 to March 2011	83.8	84.5	86.5		
April 2011 to March 2012	88.4	89.1	91.8		
April 2013 to March 2014	83.4	82.2	18.2		
April 2015 to March 2016	88.4	83.8	17.9		

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year					
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	28.1	29.9	32.4		
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	43.7	43.9	44.6		
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	22.5	21.8	7.0		
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	50.8	50.9	22.6		

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activit	У	April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	23.8	16.1		
	White wash/plastering	72.7	76.5		
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	59.1	67.0		
	Repair of toilet	38.6	50.6		
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	52.4	54.1		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	67.0	65.2		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools				
	2014	2016		
% Schools which reported having an SMC	94.7	97.3		
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting				
Before July	9.3	11.0		
Between July and September	90.3	55.4		
After September	0.4	33.7		

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?			
School Mainte	enance Grant			
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.			
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated				

as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

Rs. 5,000 per year per					
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)					
Rs. 7,000 per year per					
Upper Primary School					
(Std VI-VIII)					
Rs 5 000 + Rs 7 000 =					

Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII

School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

as separate serious everiti errey are in the same premises.						
Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant						
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.					
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India						

withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

