ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 30 OUT OF 30 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	71.3	27.4	0.2	1.1	100
Age 7-16: All	70.6	26.7	0.2	2.5	100
Age 7-10: All	70.5	28.9	0.2	0.4	100
Age 7-10: Boys	66.0	33.5	0.3	0.3	100
Age 7-10: Girls	75.3	24.1	0.2	0.5	100
Age 11-14: All	73.1	24.6	0.2	2.1	100
Age 11-14: Boys	70.3	27.4	0.2	2.0	100
Age 11-14: Girls	75.7	22.0	0.2	2.1	100
Age 15-16: All	63.4	26.7	0.2	9.7	100
Age 15-16: Boys	61.1	29.0	0.2	9.7	100
Age 15-16: Girls	65.6	24.6	0.1	9.7	100

^{&#}x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age

2016	j .												
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	7.1	60.1	29.6		3.3					100			
П	4.	.8	39.5	50.8				į	5.0				100
Ш		4.5		33.3	56.2	56.2 5.1 1.0				100			
IV		1.0		6.7	5.4				100				
V			5.9			33.9	53.2	5.9		1.	2		100
VI	1.2				6.1	28.0	58.7	5.0		1.0		100	
VII	2.3					6.7	31.9	50.8	7.2	1.	.1	100	
VIII				2.0				7.8	33.9	52.4	4.	.0	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 33.3% children are 8 years old but there are also 4.5% who are 7 or younger, 56.2% who are 9, 5.1% who are 10, and 1% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/		In school		Out of school	Total
3	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	iotai
Age 3	71.3	9.1				19.6	100
Age 4	66.1	25.7				8.2	100
Age 5	28.8	23.0	23.8	21.9	0.2	2.3	100
Age 6	5.4	8.9	55.5	28.3	0.2	1.8	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



^{&#}x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
T	46.2	33.1	16.4	2.8	1.6	100
Ш	22.7	26.8	34.1	9.3	7.2	100
III	11.6	18.0	31.4	19.2	19.8	100
IV	7.1	13.8	25.4	23.7	30.1	100
V	5.6	8.3	20.1	23.9	42.1	100
VI	4.3	6.5	16.4	21.5	51.3	100
VII	3.1	5.3	11.3	17.6	62.7	100
VIII	2.1	3.3	8.6	16.0	70.0	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 11.6% cannot even read letters, 18% can read letters but not words or higher, 31.4% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 19.2% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 19.8% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year		ren in Std d Std II lev	
	Govt.	Govt. Pvt.	
2010	16.9	26.1	18.7
2012	21.2	28.1	22.7
2014	16.4	23.3	18.4
2016	19.0	22.1	19.8

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 34.1%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 54.2%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 74.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Reading Tool

Std II level text

ಆಮೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೊಲ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು. ಒಂದು ದಿನ ಆಮೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೊಲ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಟು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡವು. ಯಾರು ಮೊದಲು ಪಕ್ಷದ ಊರನ್ನು ತಲುಪುವರೋ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಎಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿದವು. ಮೊಲ ಓಡುತ್ತಾ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿತು. ಅರ್ಥ ಸಾಗಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೊಲ ವಿಶ್ರಾಂತಿ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಬಯಸಿತು. ಅಲ್ಲೇ ಮರದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಿದ್ರೆ ಮಾಡಿತು. ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲೇ ಆಮೆ ಬೇಗನೇ ಸಾಗಿ ಊರನ್ನು ತ'ಲುಪಿತು. ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆಯಲ್ಲ ಆಮೆ ಜಯಸಿದಾಗ ಮೊಲ ಸಪ್ಪೆ ಮೋರೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕುಳತುಕೊಂಡಿತು.

Std I level text

ಕಮಲೆಗೆ ಗುಲಾಜ ಹೂವು ಅಂದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಇಷ್ಟ. ಇದು ನೋಡಲು ಬಹಳ ಅಂದ. ಕಮಲೆಯ ಮನೆಯ ಅಂಗಳದಲ್ಲ ಬಣ್ಣ ಬಣ್ಣದ ಗುಲಾಜ ಹೂವುಗಳ ಅಂದವಾದ ತೋಟವಿದೆ.

Letters ಎ ಖ

ಎ ಖ ಐ ಥ ಪ ದ ಠ ಬ ಒ ಡ ಕೊಡ ಭರ ಮಳೆ ನಾರು ಭಯ ದಾರಿ ಜಾಚ ಭಡಿ ದೇವ ದೂರು

Words

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre read	n in Std V Std II level		% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	42.9	55.1	45.1	71.3	77.5	72.9
2012	47.2	54.6	48.5	71.6	82.4	74.6
2014	45.7	53.5	47.3	70.1	72.2	70.6
2016	41.9	42.8	42.1	69.7	71.2	70.1

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016

All children 2010						
Std	Not even	Recognize	numbers	Subtract	Divide	Total
Jtu	1-9	1-9	10-99	Jaotract	Divide	iotai
1	36.1	34.2	26.8	2.4	0.5	100
Ш	13.9	22.2	50.3	12.4	1.3	100
III	7.1	14.3	49.7	25.0	3.9	100
IV	4.4	8.5	43.9	30.6	12.6	100
V	3.2	5.6	33.4	38.1	19.7	100
VI	2.5	4.5	29.0	35.7	28.3	100
VII	2.1	2.7	27.1	33.8	34.4	100
VIII	1.1	2.0	25.4	29.4	42.2	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std Ill, 7.1% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 14.3% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 49.7% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 25% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 3.9% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	24.9	37.6	27.3		
2012	26.6	46.3	30.8		
2014	21.9	38.2	26.4		
2016	25.5	38.7	28.9		

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 8.5%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 29.6%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 46.1%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool

ಅಂಕಿ ಗುರುತಿಸುವಿಕೆ 1 ರಿಂದ 9	ಎರಡು ಅಂಕಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಗುರುತಿಸುವಿಕೆ 10 ರಿಂದ 99	ಕಳೆಯುವುದು	ಭಾಗಾಕಾರ
1 4	51 83	46 63 - 29 - 39	7)879
7 3	37 65	47 45 - 28 - 17	6) 824(
6 9	55 26	92 84	
	91 43	<u>- 76</u> <u>- 57</u>	8) 985(
5 2	36 27	52 66 -14 - 48	4) 517(

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

		·					
Year	r	% Childre	n in Std V do division		% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
		Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
201	0	18.7	26.5	20.1	43.9	50.5	45.6
201	2	17.4	31.3	19.9	42.0	56.6	46.1
201	4	16.7	33.2	20.2	34.9	43.3	37.0
201	6	17.2	28.1	19.7	39.9	49.2	42.2

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016 Not even | Capital | Small | Simple | Easy | Topical | Capital | Cap

Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	52.1	16.7	19.4	9.2	2.7	100
П	30.8	22.4	26.8	13.4	6.6	100
III	19.2	23.3	28.9	19.0	9.6	100
IV	12.5	18.2	29.0	24.5	15.8	100
V	8.1	14.1	26.4	26.7	24.8	100
VI	5.8	8.6	21.6	28.6	35.5	100
VII	3.5	8.1	19.6	27.3	41.6	100
VIII	2.7	5.2	16.7	25.8	49.6	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 19.2% cannot even read capital letters, 23.3% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 28.9% can read small letters but not words or higher, 19% can read words but not sentences, and 9.6% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

All chi	ldren 2016	
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
I	58.4	
П	61.9	65.9
III	59.1	62.7
IV	61.5	72.1
V	64.6	73.6
VI	61.3	73.4
VII	63.0	75.7
VIII	64.5	76.9

English Tool











Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016	
	Govt. no tuition	74.5	70.7	67.8	65.3	
	Govt. + Tuition	5.4	7.0	5.1	6.1	
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	16.2	17.3	21.6	22.6	
	Pvt. + Tuition	3.9	5.0	5.6	6.1	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	Govt. no tuition	75.9	71.5	72.9	71.0	
Std VI-VIII	Govt. + Tuition	5.2	6.7	5.2	4.2	
	Pvt. no tuition	16.0	17.7	18.7	20.8	
	Pvt. + Tuition	2.8	4.0	3.3	4.0	
	Total	100	100	100	100	

Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Std	Type of		% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)			
Stu	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs.101 - 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total
Std I-V	Govt.	71.8	18.4	6.1	3.7	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	48.9	28.1	15.4	7.7	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	59.9	26.4	6.2	7.5	100
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	34.0	34.9	18.8	12.3	100

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 30 OUT OF 30 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016		
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	113	117	121	138		
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	656	639	591	670		
Total schools visited	769	756	712	808		
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	81.7	89.1	88.9	89.8		
% Teachers present (Average)	92.9	93.7	89.5	91.2		
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	70.9	83.1	84.6	87.9		
% Teachers present (Average)	88.9	87.9	90.9	92.7		

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	84.6	84.5	82.5	80.4
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	85.9	93.0	86.6	94.1
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	71.7	69.4	73.1	82.0
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	6.3	9.9	10.0	14.3
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	73.5	82.9	79.1	74.8
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	31.2	35.2	32.1	36.3

School facilities

Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities						
2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 % Schools with 2010 2012 2014 201						
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	92.9	94.1	93.0	95.1	
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	96.0	98.5	98.9	98.8	
	No facility for drinking water	17.3	12.8	12.7	15.0	
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	7.0	6.0	6.1	9.7	
water	Drinking water available	75.8	81.3	81.2	75.3	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	No toilet facility	5.6	2.3	1.6	3.1	
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	56.0	38.3	38.2	33.8	
ionee	Toilet useable	38.4	59.5	60.2	63.1	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	18.2	8.2	6.2	7.7	
0:11	Separate provision but locked	31.1	28.3	30.3	21.5	
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	18.9	9.5	8.4	11.6	
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	31.8	54.0	55.1	59.3	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	No library	7.6	5.8	8.2	8.4	
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	27.6	38.9	37.5	41.3	
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	64.8	55.3	54.3	50.4	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
Electricity	Electricity connection				94.9	
Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit			visit	80.5		
	No computer available for children to use	70.6	63.6	60.5	55.0	
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	16.0	22.8	23.6	30.4	
- compater	Computer being used by children on day of visit	13.4	13.6	15.9	14.6	
	Total	100	100	100	100	





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year					
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2010 to March 2011	95.1	89.9	95.0		
April 2011 to March 2012	93.4	87.4	95.2		
April 2013 to March 2014	94.6	82.2	8.5		
April 2015 to March 2016	93.6	77.8	9.5		

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year					
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	75.6	70.0	74.2		
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	85.0	80.4	89.0		
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	88.8	75.2	5.4		
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	89.5	74.9	8.1		

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activit	у	April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	15.9	9.9		
Repair	White wash/plastering	55.0	49.0		
	Repair of drinking water facility	51.1	52.5		
	Repair of toilet	46.7	47.0		
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	33.8	32.3		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	62.5	57.2		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools				
	2014	2016		
% Schools which reported having an SMC	92.1	90.5		
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting				
Before July	8.8	5.3		
Between July and September	88.3	78.1		
After September	2.9	16.6		

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?		
School Mainte	enance Grant		
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.		
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises			

School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

	Rs. 5,000 per year per				
	Primary School (Std I-IV/V)				
	Rs. 7,000 per year per				
	Upper Primary School				
	(Std VI-VIII)				
Ī	D- F000 D- 7000				

Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 =
Rs. 12,000 if the school
is Std I-VII/VIII

School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant					
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.				

Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

