Kerala RURAL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 12 OUT OF 14 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	44.9	54.8	0.2	0.1	100
Age 7-16: All	47.5	52.0	0.1	0.4	100
Age 7-10: All	42.0	57.9	0.0	0.2	100
Age 7-10: Boys	40.7	59.1	0.0	0.1	100
Age 7-10: Girls	43.2	56.7	0.0	0.2	100
Age 11-14: All	49.9	49.8	0.2	0.2	100
Age 11-14: Boys	46.6	53.0	0.2	0.3	100
Age 11-14: Girls	53.1	46.6	0.2	0.1	100
Age 15-16: All	53.4	45.2	0.0	1.4	100
Age 15-16: Boys	55.5	43.1	0.0	1.4	100
Age 15-16: Girls	51.5	47.2	0.0	1.4	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006-2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016													
Age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
I	13.2	63.1	20.2					3.5					100
П	0.7	12.7	60.4	23.6				2	.6				100
111	0.	.0	11.1	63.4	23.8				1.7				100
IV		0.1		9.9	63.4	23.7			2	.8			100
V		1.4	4		8.9	66.9	20.6			2.2			100
VI			1.6		13.0 60.1 23.3 2.1					100			
VII			1.0		12.8 64.6 19.8 1.9					100			
VIII				1.0				16.1	67.7	13.6	1.	.6	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 63.4% children are 8 years old but there are also 11.1% who are 7, 23.8% who are 9, and 1.7% who are 10 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	ge In balwadi I			In school			Total
-	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	lotai
Age 3	63.0	12.4				24.6	100
Age 4	34.7	57.6				7.7	100
Age 5	2.5	12.7	19.2	63.9	0.1	1.5	100
Age 6	0.2	2.4	33.8	62.6	0.4	0.6	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading levelAll children 2016								
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total		
1	12.8	33.9	40.4	7.6	5.4	100		
	5.3	11.2	32.2	24.4	26.9	100		
	3.5	8.0	19.8	23.1	45.5	100		
IV	2.2	3.3	11.0	17.8	65.8	100		
V	0.9	2.8	10.3	16.7	69.2	100		
VI	1.0	1.4	6.0	17.1	74.5	100		
VII	0.9	1.3	4.6	10.0	83.2	100		
VIII	0.9	2.3	3.6	8.0	85.3	100		


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 3.5% cannot even read letters, 8% can read letters but not words or higher, 19.8% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 23.1% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 45.5% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016							
% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text							
	Govt.	Govt. Pvt. Govt. Pvt.					
2010	43.2	53.7	49.3				
2012	38.1	43.2	41.2				
2014	36.6	40.3	39.0				
2016 38.0 51.5 45.7							

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 63.1%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 82.9%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 84.3%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

are enforce (government of private) is	s also recolucu.	
Readir	ng Tool	
Std II level text	Std I lev	vel text
വേനൽ അവധി കഴിഞ്ഞ് സ്കൂൾ തുറന്നു. അപ്പുവും മീനുവും കൂട്ടുകാ രോടൊപ്പം സ്കൂളിലേക്ക് പുറപ്പെട്ടു. അവരെ നനക്കാൻ കാത്തിരുന്ന പോലെ മഴ പെയ്തു. രണ്ടു പേർക്കും	ഞാൻ ഒരു പ പല നിറമാം ഞാൻ തേൻ പൂവുകൾതോറു	ണ് എനിക്ക്. 5 കുടിക്കും.
മഴ വലിയ ഇഷ്ടമാണ്. മഴ പെയ്ത	Letters	Words
പ്പോൾ അവർ തുള്ളിച്ചാടി. കടലാസു വഞ്ചികൾ ഉണ്ടാക്കി വെള്ളത്തിൽ ഒഴുക്കി രസിച്ചു. വീട്ടിലേക്ക് മടങ്ങും വഴി അവർ തോട്ടിൽ ഇറങ്ങി മീൻ പിടിച്ചു. മഴക്കാലം എന്തു രസമാണ്.	ട ഗ മ ഴ ന ക ത യ വ ശ	ആന തവി രസം വാഴ വീട് മോലം കാറ്റ് പ്രാവ് തോണി നിലം

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text				en in Std Id Std II Iev	
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	74.0	77.9	76.1	88.4	90.8	89.6
2012	59.9	69.0	65.2	83.9	84.6	84.3
2014	61.3	70.7	66.6	89.2	88.1	88.5
2016	63.3	74.5	69.4	83.0	87.7	85.3

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic levelAll children 2016							
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize 1-9	numbers 10-99	Subtract	Divide	Total	
1	10.8	27.0	58.4	2.6	1.2	100	
Ш	5.9	6.5	61.1	23.3	3.2	100	
111	3.0	6.4	45.0	36.9	8.7	100	
IV	1.7	4.3	34.4	39.7	20.0	100	
V	1.0	1.9	32.5	26.0	38.6	100	
VI	1.7	1.0	24.9	23.0	49.4	100	
VII	0.8	1.7	21.6	21.7	54.3	100	
VIII	0.3	0.7	25.1	20.9	53.0	100	

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 3% cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 6.4% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 45% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 36.9% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 8.7% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year		% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	57.5	72.8	66.5			
2012	43.4	58.5	52.7			
2014	36.0	51.7	46.1			
2016 35.9 53.2 45.7						
* *** * *		6				

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 23%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 65.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 75%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.


ഖ്യ തിരിച്ചറിയ 1 – 9 സംഖ്യ തിരിച്ചറിയർ 10 – 99 വ്യവകലന ഹരണ 46 63 7)879(83 51 - 29 39 1 4 47 45 37 65 28 17 -6) 824 (7 3 55 26 92 84 - 76 - 57 6 9 8) 985 (43 91 52 66 5 2 - 48 4) 517 (- 14 36 27

Arithmetic Tool

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre	n in Std V do division			en in Std n do divisio	
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	43.1	52.9	48.5	77.7	82.6	80.1
2012	38.0	51.5	45.9	74.7	75.2	75.0
2014	25.6	49.7	39.3	52.2	64.3	59.4
2016	27.1	48.5	38.7	49.1	57.8	53.2

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Reading and comprehension in English


ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in EnglishAll children 2016						
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total	
I	14.9	15.1	23.6	33.5	13.0	100	
	6.9	8.2	17.8	38.4	28.7	100	
111	5.4	4.9	12.4	32.1	45.3	100	
IV	3.9	4.3	8.0	23.0	61.0	100	
V	1.6	3.3	7.4	19.2	68.5	100	
VI	1.1	2.2	4.3	16.1	76.4	100	
VII	0.9	1.3	3.0	13.5	81.3	100	
VIII	1.6	1.4	4.2	13.2	79.6	100	

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 5.4% cannot even read capital letters, 4.9% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 12.4% can read small letters but not words or higher, 32.1% can read words but not sentences, and 45.3% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

1: % Children by Idren 2016	grade who	can comprehend	English
Of those who	oon road	Of those who	oon road

Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
1	70.3	
П	75.0	68.5
111	69.7	80.1
IV	74.4	84.5
V	69.8	86.7
VI		89.7
VII		89.5
VIII		91.4





Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Govt. no tuition	26.4	27.8	27.2	33.2
	Govt. + Tuition	14.1	10.1	9.1	7.7
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	37.1	45.4	47.7	47.9
	Pvt. + Tuition	22.3	16.7	16.1	11.3
	Total	100	100	100	100
	Govt. no tuition	27.2	26.5	27.3	35.8
Std VI-VIII	Govt. + Tuition	21.4	13.7	12.4	13.2
	Pvt. no tuition	29.5	38.0	39.0	39.1
	Pvt. + Tuition	22.0	21.8	21.3	12.0
	Total	100	100	100	100

ning that the	ning that the child may have received.						
Table 13: 2016	Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Ctol	Type of				ent tuitior lupees per		
Std	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs. 101 - 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total	
Std I-V	Govt.	14.6	42.1	35.1	8.2	100	
Std I-V	Pvt.	9.7	36.3	36.4	17.7	100	
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	7.3	24.1	41.6	27.1	100	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	1.2	28.5	36.8	33.5	100	

Kerala RURAL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 12 OUT OF 14 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over timeNumber of schools visited2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016		
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	176	167	145	160		
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	99	180	120	168		
Total schools visited	275	347	265	328		
Table 15: Trends over timeStudent and teacher attendanc2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016	Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit					

2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	93.1	94.4	90.6	91.3
% Teachers present (Average)	94.0	90.8	89.9	91.1
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	91.2	93.3	89.9	92.4
% Teachers present (Average)	90.2	91.2	89.9	89.4

Table 16: Trends over timeSmall schools and multigrade classes2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	29.0	48.8	43.4	31.7
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	7.9	6.8	11.2	12.5
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	7.1	8.9	9.8	11.3
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	4.1	6.3	14.7	10.2
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	6.3	7.3	12.1	13.9
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	2.2	7.5	9.5	10.3

School facilities

Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	98.1	95.6	98.8	98.1
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	100.0	98.2	74.6	94.1
	No facility for drinking water	2.6	6.4	4.2	5.3
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	11.7	8.5	12.8	14.2
water	Drinking water available	85.7	85.1	83.0	80.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	41.4	24.0	15.2	18.0
IUIICI	Toilet useable	58.2	75.7	84.8	82.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	5.1	1.5	1.9	1.5
	Separate provision but locked	8.7	3.0	4.6	3.1
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	42.3	22.1	13.3	16.6
lunci	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	43.9	73.5	80.2	78.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	16.9	4.3	5.3	6.4
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	20.7	1.7	12.5	12.2
LIGITIY	Library books being used by children on day of visit	62.4	93.9	82.2	81.4
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity -	Electricity connection				93.5
Electricity	Electricity Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit				80.4
	No computer available for children to use	17.2	7.5	10.2	11.0
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	16.1	19.1	48.7	19.0
computer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	66.7	73.3	41.1	69.9
	Total	100	100	100	100



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year					
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2010 to March 2011	95.1	82.4	96.6		
April 2011 to March 2012	93.1	77.7	98.2		
April 2013 to March 2014	87.4	67.5	9.9		
April 2015 to March 2016	87.2	70.6	93.5		

 Table 19: Trends over time

 % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	79.5	72.0	89.6
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	87.3	76.0	95.3
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	42.3	38.2	8.6
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	76.0	61.7	84.7

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities				
Type of activit	у	April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)	
Construction	New classroom built	16.5	15.1	
	White wash/plastering	67.7	56.9	
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	58.9	66.5	
	Repair of toilet	57.6	65.1	
Data	Mats, Tat patti etc.	32.6	34.0	
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	76.7	86.3	

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools				
	2014	2016		
% Schools which reported having an SMC	99.2	96.6		
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	e last SMC meeti	ng		
Before July	1.2	3.0		
Between July and September	23.2	33.1		
After September 75.6 63.9				

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?				
School Maintenance Grant					
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms Note: Primary and Upper P	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.				
as separate schools even if th					
School Development Grant/School Facility Grant					
Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VIII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII	School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.				
Note: Primary and Upper Pl as separate schools even if the					
Teaching Learning M	aterial (TLM) Grant				
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.				
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was					



reinstated in 2016-17.