ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 50 OUT OF 50 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	70.7	24.7	0.1	4.4	100
Age 7-16: All	68.6	22.7	0.1	8.5	100
Age 7-10: All	69.2	28.1	0.2	2.5	100
Age 7-10: Boys	65.8	31.9	0.2	2.2	100
Age 7-10: Girls	72.9	24.0	0.1	2.9	100
Age 11-14: All	72.5	20.5	0.1	6.9	100
Age 11-14: Boys	70.5	23.9	0.1	5.5	100
Age 11-14: Girls	74.7	16.7	0.1	8.5	100
Age 15-16: All	58.7	15.8	0.2	25.4	100
Age 15-16: Boys	59.3	19.4	0.1	21.2	100
Age 15-16: Girls	58.0	12.0	0.2	29.8	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006-2016.

% CI	Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016												
Age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
I	28.2	45.6	17.0	6.6				2	.6				100
11	3.9	19.0	44.1	24.8				8	.3				100
111	5.	.0	16.2	46.6	20.6	8.0			3.	.7			100
IV		5.1		19.8	39.3	26.8	5.1			3.9			100
V		1.7		6.3	10.7	46.1	22.4	8.7		4.	2		100
VI			5.5			16.4 37.1 30.1 7.0 3.8					100		
VII			1.5		5.7 13.4 44.8 23.6 7.7 3.3					100			
VIII				5.1				18.3	39.4	25.8	8.1	3.3	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 46.6% children are 8 years old but there are also 16.2% who are 7, 20.6% who are 9, 8% who are 10, and 3.7% who are 11 or older.

## Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi	In LKG/		In school		Out of school	Total
5	anganwadi	nganwadi UKG Govt.			Other	or pre- school	10 (01
Age 3	74.4	8.5				17.2	100
Age 4	67.1	19.7				13.3	100
Age 5	27.0	17.5	31.6	14.7	0.2	9.2	100
Age 6	5.3	9.0	56.8	24.2	0.1	4.6	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016											
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total						
1	52.8	33.4	7.8	3.0	3.0	100						
Ш	27.7	42.2	13.3	8.1	8.8	100						
III	18.6	34.3	17.6	12.8	16.6	100						
IV	10.8	26.7	17.1	17.6	27.7	100						
V	8.5	20.2	14.3	18.3	38.7	100						
VI	5.8	16.5	12.8	16.9	48.1	100						
VII	4.3	13.5	10.5	15.2	56.5	100						
VIII	2.9	10.8	8.5	13.5	64.3	100						


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 18.6% cannot even read letters, 34.3% can read letters but not words or higher, 17.6% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 12.8% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 16.6% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016								
Year	% Children in <b>Std III</b> who can read Std II level text							
	Govt.	Govt. Pvt.						
2010	11.3	24.2	13.3					
2012	7.0	32.9	12.1					
2014	2014 8.1 33.4 14.1							
2016	10.2	33.1	16.6					

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 65.9%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 75.9%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 67.8%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Readi	ng Tool	
Std II level text	Std I le	vel text
सावन का महीना था। आसमान में बहुत काले-काले बादल छाए थे। ठंडी-ठंडी हवा चल रही थी। मुझे झूला झूलने का मन किया। बड़े भैया एक मोटी सी रस्सी	पेड़ पर एक तोते का र	एक पेड़ है। तोता रहता है। रंग हरा है। गटर खाता है।
लेकर बाहर आए। भैया ने रस्सी	Letters	Words
को पेड़ से लटकाकर झूला बनाया। सब ने मिलकर खूब झूला झूला। बाकी बच्चे भी आकर मज़े से झूलने लगे। झूलते-झूलते रात हो गई।	ल प स क ग ड ब म ट झ	लाल दूध पैर तेल किला मोर जूता कुल पानी मौका

#### Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre read	n in <b>Std V</b> Std II level		% Children in <b>Std VIII</b> who can read Std II level text			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2010	55.2	66.0	56.7	89.8	91.8	90.1	
2012	27.5	64.5	33.1	64.6	85.9	67.8	
2014	27.5	58.9	34.1	61.5	87.1	65.8	
2016	31.3	63.3	38.7	59.4	85.4	64.3	

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### Arithmetic

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016										
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize 1-9	numbers 10-99	Subtract	Divide	Total				
Ι	49.0	34.3	15.0	1.0	0.7	100				
II	23.2	44.9	26.1	4.4	1.4	100				
	14.5	40.3	31.4	9.6	4.2	100				
IV	8.6	32.2	32.0	16.0	11.2	100				
V	6.7	24.2	30.6	19.1	19.4	100				
VI	3.7	20.3	28.9	20.9	26.3	100				
VII	2.9	15.7	33.5	22.0	25.9	100				
VIII	1.6	10.8	33.5	20.7	33.4	100				

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 14.5% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 40.3% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 31.4% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 9.6% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.2% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over timeArithmetic in Std III by school type2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016								
% Children in <b>Std III</b> who can do at least subtraction								
	Govt. Pvt.		Govt. & Pvt.*					
2010	31.2	49.1	34.1					
2012	6.8	31.7	11.7					
2014 5.5 27.1 10.6								
2016 8.4 27.9 13.8								

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

#### Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 53.6%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 60.5%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 34.7%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

संख्या पहचान अंक पहचान घटाव भाग 10-99 46 63 7)879( 51 83 1 4 - 29 39 47 45 37 65 - 28 - 17 6)824( 7 3 55 26 92 84 - 76 - 57 6 9 8) 985 ( 91 43 52 66 - 14 48 5 2 4) 517( 36 27

Arithmetic Tool

#### Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre	n in <b>Std V</b> do division		% Children in <b>Std VIII</b> who can do division				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	38.0	50.7	39.8	79.2	85.8	80.1		
2012	8.9	31.2	12.3	30.5	58.8	34.7		
2014	10.0	28.9	13.9	24.8	58.0	30.4		
2016	15.3	33.0	19.4	29.2	51.5	33.4		

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

#### Reading and comprehension in English


ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016										
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total					
1	59.6	18.2	16.3	4.7	1.2	100					
П	38.5	25.1	26.2	7.2	3.1	100					
Ш	29.8	25.2	29.4	10.5	5.1	100					
IV	22.6	22.6	32.4	13.8	8.6	100					
V	18.4	18.5	34.3	16.3	12.6	100					
VI	12.6	17.0	32.7	20.0	17.7	100					
VII	10.2	15.5	31.6	21.5	21.2	100					
VIII	8.1	11.9	30.4	22.9	26.7	100					

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 29.8% cannot even read capital letters, 25.2% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 29.4% can read small letters but not words or higher, 10.5% can read words but not sentences, and 5.1% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Chil	dren by grade w	vho can comprehei	nd English
All children 201	6		

Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
1	54.7	
Ш	55.5	
111	56.8	37.0
IV	52.3	51.2
V	51.6	48.6
VI	51.8	53.2
VII	49.3	48.3
VIII	52.1	55.9





### Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time% Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type andtuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016								
Std         Category         2010         2012         2014         2016								
	Govt. no tuition	80.2	74.2	68.0	64.6			
	Govt. + Tuition	4.0	5.7	6.7	6.0			
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	13.5	17.1	21.6	25.2			
	Pvt. + Tuition	2.4	3.1	3.7	4.2			
	Total	100	100	100	100			
	Govt. no tuition	76.4	76.8	73.2	70.3			
C	Govt. + Tuition	9.5	7.2	8.4	8.8			
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	10.1	13.2	15.3	17.2			
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.0	2.8	3.1	3.7			
	Total	100	100	100	100			

ning that the	ning that the child may have received.					
Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type2016						
C + d	Type of	% Children in different tuition of expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)				
Std	school	Rs. 100         Rs. 101-         Rs. 201-         Rs. 301         Total           or less         200         300         or more         Total				
Std I-V	Govt.	44.8	44.2	6.9	4.1	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	29.0	41.8	18.2	11.0	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	29.8	54.7	10.3	5.3	100
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	15.4	43.0	26.4	15.3	100

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 50 OUT OF 50 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



#### **School observations**

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over timeNumber of schools visited2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	709	843	902	1084
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	510	368	355	373
Total schools visited	1219	1211	1257	1457
Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit				

2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	65.9	60.1	62.5	58.5
% Teachers present (Average)	88.5	84.9	84.4	83.5
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	67.6	59.3	57.5	54.8
% Teachers present (Average)	87.1	87.2	84.7	82.2

Table 16: Trends over timeSmall schools and multigrade classes2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	17.8	26.1	35.8	40.7
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	68.9	76.1	78.5	78.8
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	59.9	67.0	70.5	71.4
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	0.2	1.6	1.7	5.7
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	63.8	66.9	76.3	76.6
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	53.9	59.3	66.6	70.1

### School facilities

Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Aid-day Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal		88.0	89.8	85.7
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	94.7	90.2	88.3	88.4
	No facility for drinking water	13.4	17.3	12.7	15.6
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	8.1	12.2	12.0	11.4
water	Drinking water available	78.5	70.5	75.3	73.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	20.0	11.3	8.7	5.6
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	29.8	42.1	36.3	35.9
IUIICL	Toilet useable	50.3	46.7	55.1	58.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	50.8	35.0	33.5	23.4
	Separate provision but locked	8.5	10.9	10.5	11.0
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	11.8	19.7	15.8	19.7
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	28.9	34.4	40.3	45.9
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	43.7	29.1	16.0	20.5
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	27.3	31.7	40.3	39.4
Liotury	Library books being used by children on day of visit	29.1	39.3	43.7	40.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity -	Electricity connection				26.2
Electricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit 47.				47.0
	No computer available for children to use	92.6	92.8	95.9	97.5
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	5.7	5.1	3.3	2.2
computer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	1.7	2.2	0.9	0.3
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

#### School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year					
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant		
April 2010 to March 2011	77.7	65.3	77.1		
April 2011 to March 2012	85.4	68.1	86.4		
April 2013 to March 2014	82.5	57.3	15.1		
April 2015 to March 2016	84.7	59.7	6.1		

 Table 19: Trends over time

 % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	46.7	41.1	38.6
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	71.4	59.2	74.7
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	62.4	42.1	8.2
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	78.0	56.0	4.8

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities				
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)	
Construction	New classroom built	10.4	5.8	
	White wash/plastering	78.3	75.2	
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	43.7	40.9	
	Repair of toilet	35.3	38.9	
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	83.0	83.3	
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	80.1	81.0	

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools			
	2014	2016	
% Schools which reported having an SMC	98.1	97.7	
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	e last SMC meeti	ng	
Before July 5.0 4.3			
Between July and September	69.6	60.6	
After September 25.4 35.1			

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

al Status of Education Rep

and when this money reaches schools.	
How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?
School Maintenance Grant	
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per	Maintenance of school
school per year if the	building, including
school has upto 3	whitewashing,
classrooms	bathrooms, hand pump
(Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per	repairs, building, boundary wall,
year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	playground etc.
	. , ,
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated	
as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.	
School Development Grant/School Facility Grant	
Rs. 5,000 per year per	
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)	School equipment, such
Rs. 7,000 per year per	as blackboards, mats etc.
Upper Primary School	Also to buy chalk, dusters,
(Std VI-VIII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 =	registers, and other office
Rs. 12,000 if the school	equipment.
is Std I-VII/VIII	
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated	
as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.	
Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant	
Rs. 500 per teacher per	
year for teachers in	To buy teaching aids,
Primary and Upper	such as charts, posters,
Primary schools	models etc.
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India	
withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was	
reinstated in 2016-17.	

