## School enrollment

Table 1: \% Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

| Age group | Govt. | Pvt. | Other | Not in <br> school | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 6-14: All | 60.6 | 38.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 52.3 | 45.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 75.8 | 23.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 72.8 | 26.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 79.2 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 43.0 | 55.4 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 40.9 | 57.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 45.0 | 53.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 19.6 | 74.5 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 20.9 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 18.3 | 75.5 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 100 |

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.
'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

## Chart 2: Trends over time

\% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016


Chart 1: Trends over time
\% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016


Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11-14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6-14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006-2016.

| Table 2: Age-grade distribution \% Children in each grade by age 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Total |
| I | 7.3 | 56.1 | 32.3 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| 11 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 37.2 | 51.1 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| III | 0 | 8 | 5.2 | 36.3 | 52.5 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| IV | 5.4 |  |  |  | 32.5 | 55.9 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| V | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 32.9 | 54.7 | 6.8 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| VI | 0.8 |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | 31.6 | 55.8 | 5.0 | 1.4 |  |  | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.5 | 34.2 | 50.6 | 7.6 | 1.2 |  | 100 |
| VIII | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 37.1 | 49.9 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 100 |

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 36.3\% children are 8 years old but there are also $5.2 \%$ who are $7,52.5 \%$ who are 9 , and $5.3 \%$ who are 10 or older.

## Young children in pre-school and school

| Table 3: \% Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | In balwadi or anganwadi | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { In LKG/ } \\ \text { UKG } \end{array}$ | In school |  |  | Out of school or preschool | Total |
|  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other |  |  |
| Age 3 | 78.9 | 7.8 |  |  |  | 13.3 | 100 |
| Age 4 | 76.2 | 18.3 |  |  |  | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age 5 | 58.7 | 19.6 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age 6 | 15.1 | 7.3 | 59.2 | 16.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100 |

[^0]

## Maharashtra puaral

acilitated by PRATHAM
Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

## Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: \% Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

| Std | Not even <br> letter | Letter | Word | Std I <br> level text | Std II <br> level text | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 39.3 | 37.3 | 15.2 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 100 |
| II | 11.8 | 23.2 | 20.7 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 100 |
| III | 8.7 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 20.9 | 40.7 | 100 |
| IV | 4.0 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 21.0 | 55.2 | 100 |
| V | 4.2 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 17.6 | 62.5 | 100 |
| VI | 3.2 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 17.2 | 66.2 | 100 |
| VII | 2.2 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 73.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.3 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 75.8 | 100 |

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 8.7\% cannot even read letters, 14.4\% can read letters but not words or higher, $15.4 \%$ can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 20.9\% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 40.7\% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100\%.

Table 5: Trends over time
Reading in Std III by school type
2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | \% Children in Std III who <br> can read Std II level text |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. Et <br> Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 26.7 | 33.6 | 27.2 |
| 2012 | 34.9 | 37.6 | 35.3 |
| 2014 | 33.1 | 37.0 | 33.8 |
| 2016 | 41.2 | 38.8 | 40.7 |

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

## Chart 3: Trends over time

\% Children who can read Std II level text
Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012


[^1] first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: \% children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 53\%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was $82.6 \%$. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was $83.3 \%$. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

## Reading Tool



Table 6: Trends over time
Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type
2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | \% Children in Std V who can <br> read Std II level text |  | \% Children in Std VIII who <br> can read Std II level text |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. \&t <br> Pvt.* | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. \&t <br> Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 71.0 | 77.6 | 73.2 | 88.2 | 92.9 | 91.7 |
| 2012 | 55.3 | 62.2 | 58.3 | 81.4 | 83.7 | 83.3 |
| 2014 | 51.7 | 56.2 | 53.5 | 71.6 | 78.3 | 76.5 |
| 2016 | 62.7 | 62.4 | 62.6 | 75.4 | 76.0 | 75.9 |

*This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.


## Maharashtra puaral

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: \% Children by grade and arithmetic level
All children 2016

| Std | Not even$1-9$ | Recognize numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 33.6 | 48.2 | 17.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100 |
| II | 10.3 | 37.4 | 44.5 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
| III | 6.8 | 22.8 | 46.6 | 21.3 | 2.6 | 100 |
| IV | 3.3 | 16.6 | 35.6 | 33.0 | 11.5 | 100 |
| V | 2.7 | 12.9 | 34.8 | 29.3 | 20.3 | 100 |
| VI | 2.2 | 12.7 | 34.2 | 24.1 | 26.8 | 100 |
| VII | 2.0 | 8.1 | 35.6 | 23.4 | 30.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.8 | 6.9 | 38.6 | 21.2 | 31.5 | 100 |

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 6.8\% cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 22.8\% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, $46.6 \%$ can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, $21.3 \%$ can do subtraction but cannot do division, and $2.6 \%$ can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is $100 \%$.

Table 8: Trends over time
Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | \% Children in Std III who <br> can do at least subtraction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. \&t <br> Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 46.5 | 51.9 | 46.8 |
| 2012 | 22.5 | 34.1 | 24.0 |
| 2014 | 17.9 | 22.6 | 18.7 |
| 2016 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 23.9 |

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time
\% Children who can do division
Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012


This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: \% children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 27.5\%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 55\%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was $44.3 \%$. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool


Table 9: Trends over time
Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | \% Children in Std V who can <br> do division |  |  | \% Children in Std VIII who <br> can do division |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. \&t <br> Pvt.* | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. \&t <br> Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 39.9 | 44.6 | 41.4 | 72.0 | 74.3 | 73.8 |
| 2012 | 20.2 | 25.8 | 22.6 | 45.1 | 44.2 | 44.4 |
| 2014 | 16.6 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 30.8 | 33.6 | 32.9 |
| 2016 | 19.4 | 21.5 | 20.3 | 32.5 | 31.2 | 31.6 |

*This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.


## Maharashtra pupal

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

## Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: \% Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016

| Std | Not even <br> capital <br> letters | Capital <br> letters | Small <br> letters | Simple <br> words | Easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 58.2 | 18.3 | 15.7 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 100 |
| II | 31.8 | 22.8 | 26.6 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 100 |
| III | 20.7 | 17.5 | 29.8 | 21.8 | 10.3 | 100 |
| IV | 13.0 | 15.4 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 18.5 | 100 |
| V | 10.2 | 11.1 | 25.1 | 25.7 | 27.9 | 100 |
| VI | 8.8 | 10.6 | 21.8 | 25.5 | 33.4 | 100 |
| VII | 5.7 | 8.3 | 19.2 | 26.0 | 40.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 5.8 | 6.9 | 17.7 | 23.8 | 45.9 | 100 |

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 20.7\% cannot even read capital letters, 17.5\% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 29.8\% can read small letters but not words or higher, $21.8 \%$ can read words but not sentences, and $10.3 \%$ can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is $100 \%$.

Table 11: \% Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

| Std | Of those who can read <br> words, \% children <br> who can tell meanings <br> of the words | Of those who can read <br> sentences, \% children <br> who can tell meanings <br> of the sentences |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I | 47.5 |  |
| II | 59.5 | 45.3 |
| III | 66.6 | 46.1 |
| IV | 65.7 | 60.7 |
| V | 64.9 | 63.2 |
| VI | 64.2 | 64.9 |
| VII | 59.7 | 65.0 |
| VIII | 60.3 | 67.9 |

English Tool


## Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received

Table 12: Trends over time
\% Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Std | Category | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Govt. no tuition | 82.7 | 74.8 | 70.4 | 68.3 |
|  | Govt. + Tuition | 4.6 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | Pvt. no tuition | 10.4 | 15.8 | 18.2 | 19.7 |
|  | Pvt. + Tuition | 2.3 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Std VI-VIIII | Govt. + Tuition | Pvt. no tuition | 4.2 | 3.0 | 49.3 |

Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016

| Std | Type of Children in different tuition <br> school | Rs. 100 <br> er less |  |  |  | Rs. 101- <br> 200 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | | Rs. 301 |
| :---: |
| or more |$\quad$ Total

## School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

| Table 14: Trends over time |
| :--- |
| Number of schools visited |
| 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 |
| Type of school |
| Primary schools <br> (Std I-IV/V) |
| Upper primary schools <br> (Std I-VII/VIII) |
| Total schools visited |

Table 15: Trends over time
Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit
2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Primary schools <br> (Std I-IV/V) | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Enrolled children present <br> (Average) | 91.5 | 90.5 | 85.1 | 85.1 |
| \% Teachers present <br> (Average) | 93.8 | 92.3 | 90.8 | 91.8 |
| Upper primary schools <br> (Std I-VII/VIII) | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| \% Enrolled children present <br> (Average) | 92.4 | 90.6 | 86.9 | 86.9 |
| \% Teachers present <br> (Average) | 91.7 | 91.9 | 91.8 | 91.5 |


| Table 16: Trends over time <br> Small schools and multigrade classes <br> 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 |
| :--- |
| Primary schools (Std I-IV/V) 2010 2012 2014 2016 <br> \% Schools with total enrollment <br> of 60 or less 33.0 37.7 39.5 44.0 <br> \% Schools where Std II children were <br> observed sitting with one or more other <br> classes 47.5 52.0 53.2 55.6 <br> \% Schools where Std IV children were <br> observed sitting with one or more other <br> classes 46.8 46.5 49.4 51.9 <br> Upper primary schools <br> (Std I-VII/VIII) 2010 2012 2014 2016 <br> \% Schools with total enrollment <br> of 60 or less 1.3 5.3 5.0 10.6 <br> \% Schools where Std II children were <br> observed sitting with one or more other <br> classes 34.3 35.4 38.9 45.5 <br> \% Schools where Std IV children were <br> observed sitting with one or more other <br> classes 26.9 30.7 32.1 41.1 |

## School facilities



## Maharashtra purall

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

## School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

| Table 18: Trends over time |
| :--- |
| \% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Full financial year |
| Full financial year | | Maintenance |
| :---: |
| grant |$~$| Development |
| :---: |
| grant |$~$ TLM grant

Table 19: Trends over time
\% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Half financial year

| Half financial year | Maintenance <br> grant | Development <br> grant | TLM grant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 2011 to date of survey (2011) | 65.7 | 57.6 | 66.3 |
| April 2012 to date of survey (2012) | 60.3 | 60.7 | 68.4 |
| April 2014 to date of survey (2014) | 24.8 | 18.8 | 4.6 |
| April 2016 to date of survey (2016) | 27.5 | 18.6 | 6.2 |

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: \% Schools carrying out different activities

| Type of activity |  | April 2013 to <br> date of survey <br> $(2014)$ | April 2015 to <br> date of survey <br> $(2016)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | New classroom built | 14.6 | 8.8 |
|  | White wash/plastering | 56.4 | 65.7 |
|  | Repair of drinking water facility | 48.9 | 52.4 |
|  | Repair of toilet | 42.1 | 37.4 |
| Purchase | Mats, Tat patti etc. | 36.2 | 28.8 |
|  | Charts, globes or other teaching <br> material | 61.5 | 63.2 |

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools

|  | 2014 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Schools which reported having an SMC | 98.7 | 98.8 |

Of the schools that have SMC, \% schools that had the last SMC meeting

| Before July | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Between July and September | 85.9 | 72.1 |
| After September | 9.1 | 23.2 |




[^0]:    For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.

[^1]:    This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the

