ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 7 OUT OF 7 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	40.8	55.2	1.2	2.8	100
Age 7-16: All	41.5	52.7	1.3	4.5	100
Age 7-10: All	40.2	56.4	1.3	2.1	100
Age 7-10: Boys	41.7	54.2	1.8	2.4	100
Age 7-10: Girls	38.0	59.6	0.8	1.6	100
Age 11-14: All	42.8	52.1	1.2	3.9	100
Age 11-14: Boys	44.1	49.7	0.9	5.3	100
Age 11-14: Girls	41.0	54.8	1.7	2.6	100
Age 15-16: All	41.5	45.6	1.5	11.4	100
Age 15-16: Boys	43.1	40.8	2.0	14.2	100
Age 15-16: Girls	39.3	51.0	1.0	8.7	100

^{&#}x27;Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time % Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age

2016	0												
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	8.0	26.4	25.7	19.9	7.0	7.4		5.7					100
П	9.5	11.6	17.7	23.1	13.1	11.0	3.9	3.9 5.6 4.5					100
Ш	3.6 14.1 23.0 22.1 15.7 10.9				10.9	10.8			100				
IV	2.0 5.7 11.			11.4	23.1	16.6	16.0	10.8	6.4	5.2	2.8	100	
V			5.8			16.5	17.9	23.9	15.7	10.6	5.3	4.3	100
VI	1.7					5.8	13.0	25.2	19.1	16.7	10.5	8.0	100
VII	4.1							15.1	24.6	23.8	18.4	14.0	100
VIII				4.6					17.7	27.7	24.1	25.8	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 14.1% children are 8 years old but there are also 3.6% who are 7 or younger, 23% who are 9, 22.1% who are 10, 15.7% who are 11, 10.9% who are 12, and 10.8% who are 13 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	in LKG/		In school	Out of school	Total		
3	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	local	
Age 3	42.9	22.5				34.7	100	
Age 4	27.9	61.9				10.2	100	
Age 5	3.2	8.9	31.0	49.9	1.2	5.9	100	
Age 6	1.1	5.6	32.3	56.8	0.9	3.3	100	

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



^{&#}x27;Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016

Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
I	10.8	49.9	31.1	6.9	1.3	100
П	12.3	32.1	33.0	16.8	5.7	100
III	2.4	13.6	37.5	27.2	19.3	100
IV	0.3	6.2	26.9	34.8	31.8	100
V	0.4	1.1	12.2	38.4	47.9	100
VI	0.0	1.3	10.7	34.5	53.5	100
VII	0.9	0.8	3.9	17.7	76.7	100
VIII	0.0	0.5	3.7	10.0	85.8	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 2.4% cannot even read letters, 13.6% can read letters but not words or higher, 37.5% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 27.2% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 19.3% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Govt. Pvt. Govt. & Pvt.* 2010 8.6 19.2 13.1 2012 23.9 38.7 30.1 2014 23.2 25.2 24.3	Year		% Children in Std III who can read Std II level text				
2012 23.9 38.7 30.1		Govt.	Pvt.				
	2010	8.6	19.2	13.1			
2014 23.2 25.2 24.3	2012	23.9	38.7	30.1			
	2014	23.2	25.2	24.3			
2016 16.9 22.1 19.6	2016	16.9	22.1	19.6			

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 3: Trends over time % Children who can read Std II level text Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 42%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 84.5%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 78.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.


Reading Tool

Std II level text

Ka Mary Ka dei ka khynnah ba dang rit. Ka don u khunmynriew ba itynnat bha. ka sngwtynnad ban ialehkai bad la u khunmynriew. Ha kawei ka sngi une u khunmynriew u la hap na kti jong ka ha madan, u la pait lyngkhot lyngkhai. Ka Mary ka la sngewsih bha. Ka la iam tyngeh. ka kmie jong ka, ka ai ia ka da uwei u khunmynriew, mynta ka la kmen biang.

Std | level text

U John u leit sha ka iew. Ka iew ka jngai bha. U liet da ka bus. Ka bus ka shim saw kynta.



shamoit	jaiñ
sla	e e
um	khmut
khmat	kti
kj	at
phan	khaw

Mords

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre read	n in Std V Std II level			en in Std Id Std II lev	
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	65.7	63.7	64.6	95.3	89.7	92.5
2012	58.4	69.3	64.5	69.0	86.6	78.4
2014	46.1	69.1	58.3	86.8	88.6	88.0
2016	41.3	53.0	47.6	84.5	87.2	86.0

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level

7 m ea. 2010								
Std	Not even	Recognize	numbers	Subtract	Divide	Total		
Ju	1-9	1-9	10-99	Jaotract	Divide	iotai		
I	10.8	33.8	52.9	2.3	0.2	100		
П	11.5	20.6	59.8	7.8	0.3	100		
III	1.8	6.9	69.1	21.2	1.0	100		
IV	0.4	3.7	60.6	29.6	5.6	100		
V	0.6	1.3	50.6	36.9	10.7	100		
VI	0.0	0.1	40.7	47.3	11.9	100		
VII	0.2	0.6	32.3	48.1	18.7	100		
VIII	0.0	0.0	21.3	47.3	31.4	100		

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 1.8% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 6.9% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 69.1% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 21.2% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 1% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction					
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	32.9	42.6	37.0			
2012	27.7	32.7	29.9			
2014	23.1	33.8	28.8			
2016	21.6	23.0	22.3			

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 24.9%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 65.1%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 52.8%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool

Number recognition 1&9	Number recognition 10 & 9 9	Subtraction	Division
1 4	51 83	46 63 - 29 - 39	7)879(
7 3	37 65	47 45 - 28 - 17	6)824(
6 9	55 26	92 84 - 76 - 57	8) 985
5 2	91 43	52 66	
	36 27		4) 517(

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can do division				% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2010	40.0	38.5	39.2	86.2	75.9	81.0	
2012	17.3	20.1	18.8	37.5	65.0	52.5	
2014	5.9	15.4	10.9	45.8	49.6	48.3	
2016	11.4	10.0	10.6	30.2	33.9	32.2	

^{*} This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016


Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	16.1	21.5	30.0	30.1	2.3	100
II	15.1	15.8	22.4	39.1	7.6	100
III	4.4	7.2	15.5	54.5	18.4	100
IV	2.4	4.1	11.1	47.0	35.4	100
V	0.4	3.6	5.4	39.0	51.6	100
VI	0.5	0.5	3.5	33.3	62.2	100
VII	0.2	0.7	1.3	16.1	81.7	100
VIII	0.0	0.3	1.4	11.1	87.3	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 4.4% cannot even read capital letters, 7.2% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 15.5% can read small letters but not words or higher, 54.5% can read words but not sentences, and 18.4% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend English All children 2016

All chi	ildren 2016					
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences				
I	36.3					
П	50.0					
III	58.0					
IV	61.9	65.4				
V	68.0	66.4				
VI	65.5	76.6				
VII		80.0				
VIII		86.9				

English Tool





Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Govt. no tuition	47.2	45.1	44.2	38.8
	Govt. + Tuition	4.1	3.7	2.7	5.1
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	39.3	41.1	42.7	44.8
	Pvt. + Tuition	9.4	10.2	10.5	11.2
	Total	100	100	100	100
	Govt. no tuition	34.7	38.7	34.3	35.1
C(\ / \ / \ /	Govt. + Tuition	6.8	1.9	2.0	7.4
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	48.0	47.8	53.0	45.5
	Pvt. + Tuition	10.5	11.5	10.7	12.0
	Total	100	100	100	100

Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Std	Type of		% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)			
310	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs.101- 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total
Std I-V	Govt.	3.3	31.8	42.3	22.6	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	4.3	39.8	30.2	25.8	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.					
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	1.2	22.2	23.4	53.3	100

Meghalaya RURAL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 7 OUT OF 7 DISTRICTS

Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	101	109	114	118
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	9	20	15	11
Total schools visited	110	129	129	129

Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Enrolled children present (Average)	75.5	74.2	73.8	74.8
% Teachers present (Average)	93.0	87.2	88.3	83.0

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	71.0	65.1	68.6	69.9
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	64.7	69.3	66.9	59.8
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	61.3	66.1	60.7	59.0

School facilities

% Schools	Trends over time s with selected school facilities 2, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	60.6	69.1	83.3	86.7
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	51.9	30.5	40.7	47.9
	No facility for drinking water	70.6	82.4	71.7	72.2
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	5.5	4.8	11.8	7.9
water	Drinking water available	23.9	12.8	16.5	19.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	34.9	23.6	20.2	2.3
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	40.6	44.7	41.1	45.7
ionee	Toilet useable	24.5	31.7	38.8	51.9
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	64.8	46.6	52.5	29.4
Girls'	Separate provision but locked	9.1	26.1	19.8	24.8
toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	11.4	6.8	10.9	7.3
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	14.8	20.5	16.8	38.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	78.0	76.0	76.4	71.3
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	6.4	8.8	1.6	6.2
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	15.6	15.2	22.1	22.5
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection				16.8
Liectricity	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity a	vailable d	n day of	visit	
	No computer available for children to use	97.3	97.6	98.5	98.3
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	1.8	0.0	0.8	0.9
compacer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	0.9	2.4	0.8	0.9
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year			
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2010 to March 2011	62.3	46.1	83.3
April 2011 to March 2012	58.4	33.1	71.2
April 2013 to March 2014	75.0	46.5	53.1
April 2015 to March 2016	52.4	31.0	22.1

Table 19: Trends over time % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year			
Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	38.4	24.6	47.2
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	35.7	19.4	49.6
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	45.2	25.4	21.9
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	29.4	17.7	11.9

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities			
Type of activit	у	April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)
Construction	New classroom built	17.8	7.1
	White wash/plastering	36.0	21.1
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	10.2	8.7
	Repair of toilet	17.3	22.9
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	21.7	17.1
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	56.3	37.4

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools			
	2014	2016	
% Schools which reported having an SMC	91.3	78.9	
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting			
Before July	56.1	48.2	
Between July and September	41.1	39.5	
After September	2.8	12.4	

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?
School Mainte	enance Grant
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per	Maintenance of school
school per year if the	building, including
school has upto 3	whitewashing,
classrooms	bathrooms, hand pump
(Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per	repairs, building,
year if the school has more	boundary wall,
than 3 classrooms	playground etc.
Note: Primary and Upper P	rimary schools are treated

as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

School Development Grant/School Facility Grant

Rs. 5,000 per year per	
Primary School (Std I-IV/V)	
Rs. 7,000 per year per	
Upper Primary School	
(Std VI-VIII)	
D- F000 D- 7000	

Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 =
Rs. 12,000 if the school
is Std I-VII/VIII

School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.

Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant		
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.	
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India		

Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.

