ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 9 OUT OF 9 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

| Age group | Govt. | Pvt. | Other | Not in school | Total |
|------------------|-------|------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Age 6-14: All | 57.0 | 40.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age 7-16: All | 57.7 | 37.7 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: All | 51.9 | 47.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Boys | 47.8 | 51.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age 7-10: Girls | 56.3 | 42.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: All | 63.1 | 32.0 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Boys | 59.4 | 36.5 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Age 11-14: Girls | 66.8 | 27.4 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: All | 60.7 | 26.8 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Boys | 60.7 | 27.7 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 100 |
| Age 15-16: Girls | 60.7 | 25.9 | 0.7 | 12.8 | 100 |

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

| % Cl 2016 | Table 2: Age-grade distribution% Children in each grade by age2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------|------|------|--|------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|
| Age | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Total |
| 1 | 18.8 | 34.9 | 30.7 | 10.7 | | | | 5 | .0 | | | | 100 |
| П | 2.6 | 12.6 | 42.3 | 29.4 | 10.7 | | | | 2.4 | | | | 100 |
| Ш | 3. | .0 | 15.5 | 38.3 | 27.2 | 12.8 | | | | 3.3 | | | 100 |
| IV | | 3.5 | | 13.5 | 39.6 | 28.3 | 11.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 100 |
| V | | 4.9 | 9 | | 8.4 | 44.9 | 23.9 | 14.2 | | 3. | 8 | | 100 |
| VI | | | 3.2 | | | 13.4 34.1 36.4 8.2 4.7 | | | | 100 | | | |
| VII | | | 2.0 | | 14.3 36.7 34.2 9.2 3.6 | | | | | 100 | | | |
| VIII | | | | 2.1 | | | | 16.5 | 43.5 | 29.5 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 100 |

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 38.3% children are 8 years old but there are also 15.5% who are 7, 27.2% who are 9, 12.8% who are 10, and 3.3% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

| Age | In balwadi or | In LKG/ | In school | | | Out of school | Total |
|-------|------------------|---------|-----------|------|-------|-------------------|--------|
| 5 | anganwadi | UKG | Govt. | Pvt. | Other | or pre- school | 10 (01 |
| Age 3 | 57.4 | 10.1 | | | | 32.5 | 100 |
| Age 4 | 42.7 | 42.5 | | | | 14.8 | 100 |
| Age 5 | 11.1 | 37.3 | 29.3 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age 6 | 1.4 | 19.7 | 42.5 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100 |

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

| | Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016 | | | | | | |
|------|---|--------|------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|--|
| Std | Not even letter | Letter | Word | Std I level text | Std II level text | Total | |
| 1 | 36.5 | 34.2 | 24.7 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 100 | |
| Ш | 19.0 | 33.6 | 33.3 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 100 | |
| 111 | 8.3 | 21.8 | 29.5 | 21.7 | 18.6 | 100 | |
| IV | 5.1 | 10.5 | 27.1 | 23.6 | 33.8 | 100 | |
| V | 3.1 | 9.5 | 16.9 | 23.4 | 47.1 | 100 | |
| VI | 4.9 | 6.9 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 57.3 | 100 | |
| VII | 2.8 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 17.6 | 64.9 | 100 | |
| VIII | 1.2 | 3.1 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 75.8 | 100 | |


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 8.3% cannot even read letters, 21.8% can read letters but not words or higher, 29.5% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 21.7% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 18.6% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

| Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|--|--|--|
| Year | Year % Children in Std III who can read Std II level text Govt. Pvt. Govt. & Pvt.* | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 13.9 | 28.6 | 19.9 | | | |
| 2012 | 18.2 | 25.9 | 21.6 | | | |
| 2014 | 12.2 30.6 19.9 | | | | | |
| 2016 | 14.9 22.5 18.6 | | | | | |

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 41.8%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 67.4%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 85.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

| Readin | ıg Tool | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Std II level text | Std I le | evel text |
| ఒక కొలనులో ఒక హంస ఉంది. అ కొలనులోకి ఒక కాకి వచ్చింది. హంసను చూసి నవ్వింది. నాలాగా నీవు ఎగురలేవని అవహేళన చేసింది హంస కాకికి బుద్ధి చెప్పాలి అని తలచింది. పందెమునకు సరే అని అంది. కాకి ఆకాశంలో వేగంగా, | భారతదేశపు : నింగికి ఎగిరెం | ల మన జెందా జాతీయ జెందా మ మన జెందా జాతీయ జెందా. |
| ముందుకూ వెనక్కూ ఎగురుతూ ఉంది. హంస | Letters | Words |
| మెల్లగా ఎగురుతూ వస్తోంది. కాకి అలా తిరిగి తిరిగి అలసిపోయింది. కొలనులో పడిపోతుండగా హంస దాన్ని కాపాడింది. ఎవ్వరూ తక్కువ కాదని, అందరూ సమానమేనని హితపు చెప్పింది. | ద ఓ అ అ బ ఉ ఏ య ల ఏ | వీణ ఫలం కాకి మేక దోమ శంఖం నేల అకు బడి పాము |

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | % Children in Std V who can read Std II level text | | | % Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text | | |
|------|--|------|------------------|--|------|------------------|
| | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. & Pvt.* | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. & Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 53.9 | 67.8 | 59.0 | 82.5 | | 85.0 |
| 2012 | 53.3 | 58.3 | 54.9 | 83.6 | | 85.6 |
| 2014 | 53.7 | 55.7 | 54.5 | 73.9 | | 75.9 |
| 2016 | 40.0 | 59.1 | 47.1 | 71.7 | | 76.1 |

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

| | Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016 | | | | | |
|------|--|------------------|------------------|----------|--------|-------|
| Std | Not even 1-9 | Recognize 1-9 | numbers 10-99 | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| 1 | 25.1 | 20.9 | 50.7 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100 |
| П | 9.5 | 17.1 | 57.8 | 15.4 | 0.2 | 100 |
| 111 | 4.4 | 9.0 | 44.5 | 37.4 | 4.7 | 100 |
| IV | 2.5 | 2.8 | 33.7 | 42.6 | 18.3 | 100 |
| V | 2.2 | 2.4 | 26.9 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 100 |
| VI | 2.0 | 2.4 | 23.5 | 38.7 | 33.5 | 100 |
| VII | 1.5 | 0.4 | 19.0 | 37.1 | 42.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 0.2 | 16.8 | 27.2 | 55.1 | 100 |

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 4.4% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 9% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 44.5% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 37.4% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.7% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


| Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Year | | ren in Std It least sub | - | | |
| | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. & Pvt.* | | |
| 2010 | 28.6 | 47.2 | 36.2 | | |
| 2012 | 35.1 | 56.7 | 44.6 | | |
| 2014 | 25.6 47.2 34.7 | | | | |
| 2016 | 30.7 54.6 42.2 | | | | |
| * THE CALL CALLS | | | | | |

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 15.7%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 45%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 61.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

అంకెను గుర్తించండి నంఖ్యను గుర్తించండి 6536 భాగపారం 10 - 99 51 63 7) 898 (74 23 - 44 35 5 7 71 92 91 86 - 48 - 35 4) 659(8 4 24 79 45 34 2 - 27 9 - 19 8) 946 (37 61 43 46 3 1 6) 757 (- 29 - 17 58 14

Arithmetic Tool

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

| Year | % Children in Std V who can do division | | | % Children in Std VIII who can do division | | |
|------|--|------|------------------|---|------|------------------|
| | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. & Pvt.* | Govt. | Pvt. | Govt. & Pvt.* |
| 2010 | 29.6 | 45.2 | 35.3 | 61.2 | | 66.8 |
| 2012 | 29.2 | 46.0 | 34.7 | 56.1 | | 61.6 |
| 2014 | 29.5 | 39.7 | 33.7 | 43.7 | | 44.3 |
| 2016 | 26.0 | 37.6 | 30.4 | 51.4 | | 54.9 |

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.


Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

| | Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016 | | | | | |
|------|---|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------|
| Std | Not even capital letters | Capital letters | Small letters | Simple words | Easy sentences | Total |
| 1 | 31.3 | 11.2 | 25.8 | 25.6 | 6.1 | 100 |
| Ш | 18.9 | 12.5 | 24.3 | 28.9 | 15.4 | 100 |
| 111 | 12.1 | 9.2 | 21.0 | 27.7 | 30.1 | 100 |
| IV | 5.8 | 5.8 | 20.1 | 26.4 | 41.9 | 100 |
| V | 5.2 | 5.3 | 22.1 | 23.2 | 44.1 | 100 |
| VI | 4.8 | 2.7 | 17.4 | 22.4 | 52.7 | 100 |
| VII | 3.7 | 2.7 | 13.7 | 22.0 | 57.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.4 | 2.6 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 68.1 | 100 |

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 12.1% cannot even read capital letters, 9.2% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 21% can read small letters but not words or higher, 27.7% can read words but not sentences, and 30.1% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

| | Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend EnglishAll children 2016 | | | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Std | Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words | Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences | | | | | |
| I | 57.3 | | | | | | |
| | 61.6 | | | | | | |
| 111 | 64.9 | 58.9 | | | | | |
| IV | 64.4 | 73.2 | | | | | |
| V | | 76.4 | | | | | |
| VI | | 88.2 | | | | | |
| VII | | 83.3 | | | | | |
| VIII | | 88.5 | | | | | |





Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

| Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Std | Category | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | |
| | Govt. no tuition | 55.2 | 55.7 | 53.8 | 52.0 | |
| | Govt. + Tuition | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | |
| Std I-V | Pvt. no tuition | 35.1 | 35.9 | 40.2 | 41.2 | |
| | Pvt. + Tuition | 7.9 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 4.9 | |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| | Govt. no tuition | 66.1 | 67.6 | 71.4 | 67.8 | |
| C(1) //) //// | Govt. + Tuition | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | |
| Std VI-VIII | Pvt. no tuition | 25.0 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 29.0 | |
| | Pvt. + Tuition | 4.9 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |

| ning that the | crina may | nare recer | -cu. | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|---|-----------|-----|-----|--|
| Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016 | | | | | | | |
| Ctul | Type of | % Children in different tuition Type of expenditure categories (in Rupees per month) | | | | | |
| Std | school | Rs. 100 or less | line for a | | | | |
| Std I-V | Govt. | | | | | | |
| Std I-V | Pvt. | 53.0 | 32.3 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 100 | |
| Std VI-VIII | Govt. | | | Data | | | |
| Std VI-VIII | Pvt. | | . ii | nsufficie | nt | | |

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 9 OUT OF 9 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

| Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|
| Type of school | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| Primary schools (Std I-IV/V) | 200 | 213 | 203 | 210 |
| Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII) | 58 | 49 | 61 | 54 |
| Total schools visited | 258 | 262 | 264 | 264 |

| Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII) | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | | |
| % Enrolled children present (Average) | 67.9 | 70.2 | 70.4 | 75.3 | | |
| % Teachers present (Average) | 82.3 | 84.5 | 77.2 | 82.0 | | |

| Table 16: Trends over timeSmall schools and multigrade classes2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|
| All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII) | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| % Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less | 17.2 | 18.0 | 19.7 | 26.5 |
| % Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes | 57.3 | 53.4 | 57.3 | 51.9 |
| % Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes | 48.5 | 45.6 | 46.3 | 43.2 |

School facilities

| Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities | | | | | |
|---|--|------|------|------|------|
| | 2, 2014 and 2016 | | | | |
| % Schools | with | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 |
| Mid-day | Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal | 71.0 | 75.1 | 76.1 | 81.1 |
| meal | Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit | 98.4 | 96.5 | 99.6 | 99.2 |
| | No facility for drinking water | 22.8 | 18.7 | 16.2 | 15.9 |
| Drinking | Facility but no drinking water available | 12.4 | 15.0 | 22.6 | 27.3 |
| water | Drinking water available | 64.8 | 66.3 | 61.2 | 56.8 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | No toilet facility | 23.4 | 15.6 | 13.0 | 1.9 |
| Toilet | Facility but toilet not useable | 38.1 | 36.8 | 22.7 | 23.1 |
| lonet | Toilet useable | 38.6 | 47.7 | 64.3 | 75.0 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | No separate provision for girls' toilet | 53.1 | 32.6 | 28.4 | 15.2 |
| 0.11 | Separate provision but locked | 9.2 | 12.2 | 8.7 | 12.1 |
| Girls' toilet | Separate provision, unlocked but not useable | 12.3 | 17.0 | 8.7 | 8.3 |
| tonet | Separate provision, unlocked and useable | 25.4 | 38.2 | 54.2 | 64.4 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | No library | 8.0 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 13.1 |
| Library | Library but no books being used by children on day of visit | 14.4 | 20.3 | 31.6 | 28.1 |
| , | Library books being used by children on day of visit | 77.6 | 74.4 | 65.6 | 58.9 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Electricity | Electricity connection | | | | 89.4 |
| LICCUTCITY | Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit | | | | |
| | No computer available for children to use | 90.7 | 89.6 | 86.5 | 87.8 |
| Computer | Available but not being used by children on day of visit | 3.0 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 7.6 |
| compared | Computer being used by children on day of visit | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.6 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |







Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

| Table 18: Trends over time% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Full financial year | Maintenance grant | Development grant | TLM grant | | | |
| April 2010 to March 2011 | 91.5 | 84.2 | 88.8 | | | |
| April 2011 to March 2012 | 97.3 | 91.1 | 89.2 | | | |
| April 2013 to March 2014 | 88.9 | 76.6 | 7.0 | | | |
| April 2015 to March 2016 | 90.9 | 76.4 | 6.9 | | | |

 Table 19: Trends over time

 % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Half financial year

| Half financial year | Maintenance grant | Development grant | TLM grant |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| April 2011 to date of survey (2011) | 69.4 | 64.8 | 63.5 |
| April 2012 to date of survey (2012) | 80.6 | 78.8 | 31.6 |
| April 2014 to date of survey (2014) | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| April 2016 to date of survey (2016) | 22.5 | 9.2 | 1.2 |

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

| Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Type of activity | | April 2013 to date of survey (2014) | April 2015 to date of survey (2016) | | |
| Construction | New classroom built | 15.3 | 11.9 | | |
| | White wash/plastering | 46.7 | 43.2 | | |
| Repair | Repair of drinking water facility | 40.1 | 43.4 | | |
| | Repair of toilet | 32.3 | 46.4 | | |
| Mats, Tat patti etc. | | 27.6 | 29.3 | | |
| Purchase | Charts, globes or other teaching material | 84.0 | 79.7 | | |

| Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------|--|--|--|
| | 2014 | 2016 | | | |
| % Schools which reported having an SMC | 97.3 | 98.1 | | | |
| Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the | e last SMC meeti | ng | | | |
| Before July | 4.4 | 1.2 | | | |
| Between July and September | 46.3 | 55.9 | | | |
| After September 49.4 43.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

ual Status of Education Repor

| How much goes to | For what purpose? | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| each school? | | | | | | | |
| School Mainte | School Maintenance Grant | | | | | | |
| (Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms | Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc. | | | | | | |
| Note: Primary and Upper P as separate schools even if th | | | | | | | |
| School Development Gra | nt/School Facility Grant | | | | | | |
| Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII | School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment. | | | | | | |
| Note: Primary and Upper Pl as separate schools even if th | | | | | | | |
| Teaching Learning M | aterial (TLM) Grant | | | | | | |
| Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools | To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc. | | | | | | |
| Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17. | | | | | | | |

