ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 9 OUT OF 9 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	57.0	40.4	0.5	2.2	100
Age 7-16: All	57.7	37.7	0.6	4.1	100
Age 7-10: All	51.9	47.0	0.1	1.0	100
Age 7-10: Boys	47.8	51.3	0.0	1.0	100
Age 7-10: Girls	56.3	42.4	0.3	1.1	100
Age 11-14: All	63.1	32.0	1.0	3.9	100
Age 11-14: Boys	59.4	36.5	0.9	3.2	100
Age 11-14: Girls	66.8	27.4	1.1	4.7	100
Age 15-16: All	60.7	26.8	0.6	12.0	100
Age 15-16: Boys	60.7	27.7	0.5	11.2	100
Age 15-16: Girls	60.7	25.9	0.7	12.8	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

% Cl 2016	Table 2: Age-grade distribution% Children in each grade by age2016												
Age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	18.8	34.9	30.7	10.7				5	.0				100
П	2.6	12.6	42.3	29.4	10.7				2.4				100
Ш	3.	.0	15.5	38.3	27.2	12.8				3.3			100
IV		3.5		13.5	39.6	28.3	11.1			4.1			100
V		4.9	9		8.4	44.9	23.9	14.2		3.	8		100
VI			3.2			13.4 34.1 36.4 8.2 4.7				100			
VII			2.0		14.3 36.7 34.2 9.2 3.6					100			
VIII				2.1				16.5	43.5	29.5	7.0	1.4	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 38.3% children are 8 years old but there are also 15.5% who are 7, 27.2% who are 9, 12.8% who are 10, and 3.3% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/	In school			Out of school	Total
5	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	10 (01
Age 3	57.4	10.1				32.5	100
Age 4	42.7	42.5				14.8	100
Age 5	11.1	37.3	29.3	19.2	0.1	3.0	100
Age 6	1.4	19.7	42.5	34.0	0.0	2.4	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016						
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total	
1	36.5	34.2	24.7	3.2	1.5	100	
Ш	19.0	33.6	33.3	9.6	4.5	100	
111	8.3	21.8	29.5	21.7	18.6	100	
IV	5.1	10.5	27.1	23.6	33.8	100	
V	3.1	9.5	16.9	23.4	47.1	100	
VI	4.9	6.9	15.3	15.6	57.3	100	
VII	2.8	4.8	10.0	17.6	64.9	100	
VIII	1.2	3.1	8.7	11.2	75.8	100	


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 8.3% cannot even read letters, 21.8% can read letters but not words or higher, 29.5% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 21.7% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 18.6% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year	Year % Children in Std III who can read Std II level text Govt. Pvt. Govt. & Pvt.*					
2010	13.9	28.6	19.9			
2012	18.2	25.9	21.6			
2014	12.2 30.6 19.9					
2016	14.9 22.5 18.6					

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 41.8%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 67.4%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 85.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Readin	ıg Tool	
Std II level text	Std I le	evel text
ఒక కొలనులో ఒక హంస ఉంది. అ కొలనులోకి ఒక కాకి వచ్చింది. హంసను చూసి నవ్వింది. నాలాగా నీవు ఎగురలేవని అవహేళన చేసింది హంస కాకికి బుద్ధి చెప్పాలి అని తలచింది. పందెమునకు సరే అని అంది. కాకి ఆకాశంలో వేగంగా,	భారతదేశపు : నింగికి ఎగిరెం	ల మన జెందా జాతీయ జెందా మ మన జెందా జాతీయ జెందా.
ముందుకూ వెనక్కూ ఎగురుతూ ఉంది. హంస	Letters	Words
మెల్లగా ఎగురుతూ వస్తోంది. కాకి అలా తిరిగి తిరిగి అలసిపోయింది. కొలనులో పడిపోతుండగా హంస దాన్ని కాపాడింది. ఎవ్వరూ తక్కువ కాదని, అందరూ సమానమేనని హితపు చెప్పింది.	ద ఓ అ అ బ ఉ ఏ య ల ఏ	వీణ ఫలం కాకి మేక దోమ శంఖం నేల అకు బడి పాము

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	53.9	67.8	59.0	82.5		85.0
2012	53.3	58.3	54.9	83.6		85.6
2014	53.7	55.7	54.5	73.9		75.9
2016	40.0	59.1	47.1	71.7		76.1

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016					
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize 1-9	numbers 10-99	Subtract	Divide	Total
1	25.1	20.9	50.7	2.9	0.5	100
П	9.5	17.1	57.8	15.4	0.2	100
111	4.4	9.0	44.5	37.4	4.7	100
IV	2.5	2.8	33.7	42.6	18.3	100
V	2.2	2.4	26.9	38.1	30.4	100
VI	2.0	2.4	23.5	38.7	33.5	100
VII	1.5	0.4	19.0	37.1	42.0	100
VIII	0.7	0.2	16.8	27.2	55.1	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 4.4% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 9% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 44.5% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 37.4% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.7% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Year		ren in Std It least sub	-		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	28.6	47.2	36.2		
2012	35.1	56.7	44.6		
2014	25.6 47.2 34.7				
2016	30.7 54.6 42.2				
* THE CALL CALLS					

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 15.7%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 45%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 61.6%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.


అంకెను గుర్తించండి నంఖ్యను గుర్తించండి 6536 భాగపారం 10 - 99 51 63 7) 898 (74 23 - 44 35 5 7 71 92 91 86 - 48 - 35 4) 659(8 4 24 79 45 34 2 - 27 9 - 19 8) 946 (37 61 43 46 3 1 6) 757 (- 29 - 17 58 14

Arithmetic Tool

Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can do division			% Children in Std VIII who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	29.6	45.2	35.3	61.2		66.8
2012	29.2	46.0	34.7	56.1		61.6
2014	29.5	39.7	33.7	43.7		44.3
2016	26.0	37.6	30.4	51.4		54.9

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.


Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016					
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	31.3	11.2	25.8	25.6	6.1	100
Ш	18.9	12.5	24.3	28.9	15.4	100
111	12.1	9.2	21.0	27.7	30.1	100
IV	5.8	5.8	20.1	26.4	41.9	100
V	5.2	5.3	22.1	23.2	44.1	100
VI	4.8	2.7	17.4	22.4	52.7	100
VII	3.7	2.7	13.7	22.0	57.9	100
VIII	3.4	2.6	13.7	12.2	68.1	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 12.1% cannot even read capital letters, 9.2% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 21% can read small letters but not words or higher, 27.7% can read words but not sentences, and 30.1% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

	Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend EnglishAll children 2016						
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences					
I	57.3						
	61.6						
111	64.9	58.9					
IV	64.4	73.2					
V		76.4					
VI		88.2					
VII		83.3					
VIII		88.5					





Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016	
	Govt. no tuition	55.2	55.7	53.8	52.0	
	Govt. + Tuition	1.9	2.0	1.8	2.0	
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	35.1	35.9	40.2	41.2	
	Pvt. + Tuition	7.9	6.4	4.2	4.9	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	Govt. no tuition	66.1	67.6	71.4	67.8	
C(1) //) ////	Govt. + Tuition	4.0	2.0	1.4	1.8	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	25.0	24.7	25.3	29.0	
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.9	5.7	1.9	1.4	
	Total	100	100	100	100	

ning that the	crina may	nare recer	-cu.				
Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016							
Ctul	Type of	% Children in different tuition Type of expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)					
Std	school	Rs. 100 or less	line for a				
Std I-V	Govt.						
Std I-V	Pvt.	53.0	32.3	5.6	9.2	100	
Std VI-VIII	Govt.			Data			
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.		. ii	nsufficie	nt		

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 9 OUT OF 9 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	200	213	203	210
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	58	49	61	54
Total schools visited	258	262	264	264

Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	67.9	70.2	70.4	75.3		
% Teachers present (Average)	82.3	84.5	77.2	82.0		

Table 16: Trends over timeSmall schools and multigrade classes2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	17.2	18.0	19.7	26.5
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	57.3	53.4	57.3	51.9
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	48.5	45.6	46.3	43.2

School facilities

Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities					
	2, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	71.0	75.1	76.1	81.1
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	98.4	96.5	99.6	99.2
	No facility for drinking water	22.8	18.7	16.2	15.9
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	12.4	15.0	22.6	27.3
water	Drinking water available	64.8	66.3	61.2	56.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	23.4	15.6	13.0	1.9
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	38.1	36.8	22.7	23.1
lonet	Toilet useable	38.6	47.7	64.3	75.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	53.1	32.6	28.4	15.2
0.11	Separate provision but locked	9.2	12.2	8.7	12.1
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	12.3	17.0	8.7	8.3
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	25.4	38.2	54.2	64.4
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	8.0	5.3	2.8	13.1
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	14.4	20.3	31.6	28.1
,	Library books being used by children on day of visit	77.6	74.4	65.6	58.9
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection				89.4
LICCUTCITY	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit				
	No computer available for children to use	90.7	89.6	86.5	87.8
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	3.0	4.3	7.9	7.6
compared	Computer being used by children on day of visit	6.2	6.0	5.6	4.6
	Total	100	100	100	100







Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Full financial year						
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant			
April 2010 to March 2011	91.5	84.2	88.8			
April 2011 to March 2012	97.3	91.1	89.2			
April 2013 to March 2014	88.9	76.6	7.0			
April 2015 to March 2016	90.9	76.4	6.9			

 Table 19: Trends over time

 % Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Half financial year

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	69.4	64.8	63.5
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	80.6	78.8	31.6
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	2.8	2.0	0.0
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	22.5	9.2	1.2

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	15.3	11.9		
	White wash/plastering	46.7	43.2		
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	40.1	43.4		
	Repair of toilet	32.3	46.4		
Mats, Tat patti etc.		27.6	29.3		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	84.0	79.7		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools					
	2014	2016			
% Schools which reported having an SMC	97.3	98.1			
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	e last SMC meeti	ng			
Before July	4.4	1.2			
Between July and September	46.3	55.9			
After September 49.4 43.0					

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

ual Status of Education Repor

How much goes to	For what purpose?						
each school?							
School Mainte	School Maintenance Grant						
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.						
Note: Primary and Upper P as separate schools even if th							
School Development Gra	nt/School Facility Grant						
Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII	School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.						
Note: Primary and Upper Pl as separate schools even if th							
Teaching Learning M	aterial (TLM) Grant						
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.						
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.							

