ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 4 OUT OF 4 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	89.1	9.7	0.3	0.9	100
Age 7-16: All	90.7	7.0	0.3	2.0	100
Age 7-10: All	89.1	10.4	0.0	0.5	100
Age 7-10: Boys	88.9	10.6	0.0	0.5	100
Age 7-10: Girls	90.1	9.7	0.0	0.3	100
Age 11-14: All	91.7	6.5	0.6	1.2	100
Age 11-14: Boys	91.3	6.7	1.0	1.0	100
Age 11-14: Girls	91.9	6.5	0.2	1.4	100
Age 15-16: All	92.1	1.1	0.2	6.6	100
Age 15-16: Boys	89.0	1.5	0.0	9.5	100
Age 15-16: Girls	94.9	0.7	0.4	4.0	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

% Cl 2016	Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016												
Age	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	3.7	40.2	49.7	6.4				0	.0				100
	3.	.0	26.9	54.9	14.2	14.2 1.0				100			
111		2.3		22.2	67.8	7.1			(	).7			100
IV		2	.7		20.1	65.4	9.8			2.0			100
V			4.4			22.3	60.7	11.1		1.	4		100
VI			2.	0		20.3 67.8 7.8 2.1					100		
VII		2.7 18.7 60.0 15.2 3.3						100					
VIII				2.5	5				17.5	72.3	5.2	2.6	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 22.2% children are 8 years old but there are also 2.3% who are 7 or younger, 67.8% who are 9, 7.1% who are 10, and 0.7% who are 11 or older.

### Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi or	In LKG/	In school			Out of school	Total
5	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	lotal
Age 3	73.3	14.8				11.8	100
Age 4	62.3	36.4				1.3	100
Age 5	37.4	11.4	28.1	21.1	0.0	1.9	100
Age 6	14.9	8.8	55.8	18.5	0.0	1.9	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016					
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total
1	20.7	37.4	29.1	11.7	1.2	100
Ш	9.8	32.8	27.5	14.4	15.5	100
111	4.0	20.4	27.8	19.8	28.0	100
IV	3.6	11.3	23.0	21.7	40.4	100
V	2.3	16.3	13.9	16.4	51.0	100
VI	0.6	7.6	14.9	24.4	52.7	100
VII	2.8	6.6	11.2	14.4	64.9	100
VIII	0.0	1.5	5.1	18.4	75.0	100


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 4% cannot even read letters, 20.4% can read letters but not words or higher, 27.8% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 19.8% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 28% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016					
Year	% Children in <b>Std III</b> who can read Std II level text				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*		
2010	19.6		19.8		
2012	15.7		16.8		
2014	25.6 24.4				
2016	27.3 28.0				

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 22.8%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 58%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 65.8%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.					
Reading Tool					
Std II level text	Std I le	vel text			
আমার বাড়ির সামনে একটা ডাকঘর আছে। অনেক লোকের ভিড় হয় সেখানে। নানা দেশের চিঠি আসে। সেখানে টাকাও জমা রাখা যায়। আমার মা ডাক্যরে টাকা জমিয়ে রাখেন। আমি	মণির মা আমে শীতকালে আধে	পাওয়া যায়। র আচার বানান। পল পাওয়া যায়। তে ভালোবাসে।			
তিনবার ডাক্ষরে গিয়েছি। বাবাকে দিনাজপুরে চিঠি লিখেছি। ঘাটালের	Letters	Words			
পিসিকেও একটা বড়ো চিঠি লিখেছি। আর আজ দাদাকে লিখবো। আমি ডাকঘরে গিয়ে একটা ডাকটিকিট কিনবো। সেটা খামে লাগিয়ে ঠিকানা লিখবো। খামটা আমি দাদাকে পাঠাব।	লি প স ক গ ড ব ম ট ঝ	লাল দুখ গোল তেল টিয়া ভোর রুপা কুল পাখি মোটা			

#### Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2010	40.6		41.1	75.9		76.1	
2012	36.5		36.8	65.7		66.0	
2014	45.2		45.7	75.0		74.3	
2016	49.0		51.0	75.1		75.3	

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



#### Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016					
Std	Not even 1-9	Recognize 1-9	numbers 10-99	Subtract	Divide	Total
Ι	21.1	36.6	37.4	4.8	0.0	100
	9.2	33.4	39.8	16.4	1.2	100
	1.0	21.3	41.7	31.6	4.4	100
IV	3.0	15.5	35.3	32.8	13.6	100
V	0.2	14.9	31.5	33.5	19.9	100
VI	0.6	9.3	34.3	35.1	20.7	100
VII	2.2	7.3	31.9	32.9	25.8	100
VIII	0.0	2.1	27.0	38.3	32.6	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 1% cannot even recognize numbers 1–9, 21.3% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 41.7% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 31.6% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.4% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year	% Children in <b>Std III</b> who					
	Govt.	Govt. Pvt.				
2010	50.3		51.2			
2012	28.0		29.6			
2014	35.8 38.4					
2016	33.0 36.0					
* THE CALL CALLS						

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.


#### Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 11.7%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 51.8%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 42.9%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

**Arithmetic Tool** 



#### Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010. 2012. 2014 and 2016

2010, 2012, 2014 and 2010						
Year	% Children in <b>Std V</b> who can do division			% Children in <b>Std VIII</b> who can do division		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	35.3		36.0	65.8		66.0
2012	20.5		20.8	42.2		42.7
2014	20.8		22.6	45.1		46.2
2016	17.3		19.9	33.5		32.9

\* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

### Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016					
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total
1	25.3	16.5	33.6	19.2	5.4	100
Ш	18.7	13.3	33.1	23.3	11.6	100
111	6.6	13.9	30.5	32.9	16.2	100
IV	6.0	12.1	25.0	31.8	25.1	100
V	3.0	11.2	28.0	33.1	24.7	100
VI	1.6	5.4	20.1	37.2	35.7	100
VII	1.6	6.8	18.5	32.4	40.7	100
VIII	1.2	4.4	14.3	30.1	50.0	100

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 6.6% cannot even read capital letters, 13.9% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 30.5% can read small letters but not words or higher, 32.9% can read words but not sentences, and 16.2% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

	Table 11: % Children by grade who can comprehend EnglishAll children 2016							
Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences						
1								
Ш								
111								
IV	<b>Da</b>	ta ļ						
V	insuff	cient						
VI								
VII								
VIII								

English Tool					
A J Q	h p x				
N E	u m				
Y R O	d g t				
cat red	<u>What</u> is the <u>time</u> ?				
sun	This is a <u>large house</u> .				
new fan	I <u>like</u> to <u>read</u> .				
bus	She has <u>many books</u> .				



### Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

mercioic u	merelore the numbers given below do not merude any unpaid supplementar					
Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Std	Category	2010	2012	2014	2016	
	Govt. no tuition	30.9	33.7	29.5	34.5	
	Govt. + Tuition	66.2	62.8	59.1	54.3	
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	0.2	0.4	1.9	2.7	
	Pvt. + Tuition	2.7	3.1	9.5	8.5	
	Total	100	100	100	100	
	Govt. no tuition	19.3	21.6	24.1	30.6	
C( 1 ) // ) ////	Govt. + Tuition	79.5	77.7	70.4	64.4	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4	
	Pvt. + Tuition	1.2	0.6	4.1	3.7	
	Total	100	100	100	100	

ning that the child may have received.						
Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Ctul	Type of	% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)				
Std	school	Rs. 100 or less	Rs. 101 - 200	Rs. 201- 300	Rs. 301 or more	Total
Std I-V	Govt.	2.2	22.6	33.2	42.1	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	0.5	2.3	11.7	85.5	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	0.1	12.1	33.0	54.9	100
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.					

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 4 OUT OF 4 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### **School observations**

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	44	36	58	75
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	54	66	47	36
Total schools visited	98	102	105	111

Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016							
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016			
% Enrolled children present (Average)	64.7	63.6	70.9	72.1			
% Teachers present (Average)	84.6	81.3	87.7	87.4			

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016		
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	9.4	17.0	21.9	24.6		
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	39.6	43.2	43.7	41.8		
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	22.2	34.6	29.9	20.2		

### School facilities

Table 17:	Table 17: Trends over time				
	% Schools with selected school facilities				
	12, 2014 and 2016				
% Schools	; with	2010	2012	2014	2016
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	88.2	95.0	97.1	99.1
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	74.7	95.0	97.1	98.2
	No facility for drinking water	32.6	34.7	33.3	29.1
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	27.4	16.8	10.5	11.8
water	Drinking water available	40.0	48.5	56.2	59.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No toilet facility	8.6	9.0	3.9	4.5
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	48.4	41.0	37.5	29.7
lonet	Toilet useable	43.0	50.0	58.7	65.8
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	48.5	39.8	20.0	39.0
0.11	Separate provision but locked	15.2	13.6	17.1	12.0
Girls' toilet	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	6.1	13.6	5.7	9.0
tonet	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	30.3	33.0	57.1	40.0
	Total	100	100	100	100
	No library	64.6	67.7	40.0	50.0
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	15.6	5.9	16.2	10.9
Liorary	Library books being used by children on day of visit	19.8	26.5	43.8	39.1
	Total	100	100	100	100
Electricity	Electricity connection				38.0
Electricity	9 Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit				
	No computer available for children to use	91.5	87.3	92.2	89.9
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	3.2	3.9	3.9	8.3
computer	Computer being used by children on day of visit	5.3	8.8	3.9	1.8
	Total	100	100	100	100





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



### School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Full financial year						
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant			
April 2010 to March 2011	61.5	56.8	79.1			
April 2011 to March 2012	76.5	67.7	93.1			
April 2013 to March 2014	68.3	45.1	50.5			
April 2015 to March 2016	82.0	57.7	29.7			

Table 19: Trends over time	
% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Half financial yea	r

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	18.8	23.1	29.1
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	60.0	58.2	77.2
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	21.6	16.7	21.8
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	55.5	40.4	30.9

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	23.3	16.2		
	White wash/plastering	33.7	44.3		
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	41.2	43.2		
	Repair of toilet	37.0	42.2		
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	27.6	49.1		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	63.1	69.4		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools					
	2014	2016			
% Schools which reported having an SMC	96.2	99.1			
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the	e last SMC meeti	ng			
Before July	17.7	8.3			
Between July and September	76.0	47.7			
After September 6.3 44.0					

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?
School Maintenance Grant	
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.	
School Development Grant/School Facility Grant	
Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VIII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII	School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.	
Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant	
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was	

reinstated in 2016-17.

