ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 17 OUT OF 18 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



School enrollment

Table 1: % Children enrolled in different types of schools by age group and gender 2016

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age 6-14: All	86.0	9.3	2.3	2.4	100
Age 7-16: All	86.3	6.5	2.6	4.5	100
Age 7-10: All	83.0	13.3	2.0	1.8	100
Age 7-10: Boys	80.2	15.5	2.5	1.9	100
Age 7-10: Girls	86.4	10.9	1.1	1.7	100
Age 11-14: All	91.2	2.7	2.9	3.3	100
Age 11-14: Boys	89.0	3.2	2.9	4.9	100
Age 11-14: Girls	93.1	2.2	2.9	1.8	100
Age 15-16: All	83.1	1.5	3.2	12.3	100
Age 15-16: Boys	74.9	2.0	3.3	19.8	100
Age 15-16: Girls	89.8	1.2	3.0	6.0	100

'Other' includes children going to Madarsa and EGS.

'Not in school' includes children who never enrolled or have dropped out.

Chart 2: Trends over time

% Children enrolled in private schools in Std I–V and Std VI–VIII 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016





Chart 1: Trends over time

% Children not enrolled in school by age group and gender 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016



Bars show the proportion of boys and girls age 11–14 who were not enrolled in school in a given year. The line shows how the proportion of children age 6–14 who were not enrolled in school has changed over the period 2006–2016.

% CI	Table 2: Age-grade distribution % Children in each grade by age 2016												
Age Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	20.7	37.5	31.6	8.3		2.0					100		
11	3.0	7.7	27.6	43.0	14.0	14.0 4.8				100			
Ш	0.	.7	6.8	27.1	45.3	15.6	6.6 4.6			100			
IV		1.2		6.8	24.8	44.8	12.3	7.4		2	.8		100
V		1.4			5.6	43.3	29.7	13.4		6	5.6		100
VI			2.1			8.3	8.3 32.9 35.3 13.1 8.4				100		
VII		2.4 8.0 36.8 32.6 12.2 5.2 2.9					100						
VIII				2.3				13.6	37.3	30.4	11.0	5.4	100

This table shows the age distribution for each grade. For example, in Std III, 27.1% children are 8 years old but there are also 6.8% who are 7, 45.3% who are 9, 15.6% who are 10, and 4.6% who are 11 or older.

Young children in pre-school and school

 Table 3: % Children age 3-6 enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2016

Age	In balwadi In LKG/			In school			Total
-	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	or pre- school	lotal
Age 3	70.2	3.0				26.8	100
Age 4	69.7	13.4				16.9	100
Age 5	10.7	3.0	58.6	16.5	0.9	10.3	100
Age 6	1.8	3.6	68.0	20.4	0.7	5.5	100

For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Reading

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 4: % Children by grade and reading level All children 2016						
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Std I level text	Std II level text	Total	
1	27.0	31.5	20.8	10.9	9.8	100	
Ш	10.9	21.9	22.0	19.3	26.0	100	
111	7.8	19.3	14.8	19.3	38.8	100	
IV	7.9	15.5	18.7	20.8	37.1	100	
V	4.0	12.2	16.4	17.3	50.2	100	
VI	2.9	10.0	15.0	17.8	54.3	100	
VII	2.2	6.5	13.0	13.0	65.4	100	
VIII	1.2	5.1	9.1	12.6	72.1	100	


Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 7.8% cannot even read letters, 19.3% can read letters but not words or higher, 14.8% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 19.3% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 38.8% can read Std II level text. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time Reading in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year		ren in Std Id Std II Iev				
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	24.6		25.8			
2012	26.1		28.1			
2014	32.9		36.3			
2016	34.5 38.9					

The highest level in the ASER reading assessment is a Std II level text. Table 5 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can read Std II level text. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" reading for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 3: Trends over time



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who could read Std II level text in Std IV (in 2008) was 37%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 66.3%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 76.7%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.					
Readin	ıg Tool				
Std II level text	Std I lev	vel text			
তিথি বাড়ির একমাত্র মেয়ে। বাবা মা তাকে খুব ভালোবাসেন। সে মাছ খেতে ভালোবাসে। ওর বাবা রোজ বাড়িতে মাছ আনেন। তিথি তখন মায়ের পাশে ঘুরঘুর করতে থাকে।	আজ মাঠে সে রানা আর মালা সাথে যাবে ম ওরা সবাই জি	মেলায় যাবে। I আর বাবা।			
মাছ তেলে ছাড়া হলেই তার মন খুশিতে ভরে যায়। তিথি একসাথে	Letters	Words			
তিন চারটে মাছ ভাজা খেয়ে নেয়। বাবা তিথিকে নিয়ে বাজারে যান। মাঝে মাঝে বাজার থেকে বাবা ইলিশ মাছও আনেন। সেদিন তিথির খুশির সীমা থাকে না।	ন প ম চ স থ গ দ র ল	বাঘ নোট নালা দিন চুন কৌটা রানী দেশ ভোট বুড়ো			

Table 6: Trends over time Reading in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre read	n in Std V Std II level		% Children in Std VIII who can read Std II level text		
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	54.2		54.2	83.1		83.1
2012	48.7		48.9	76.9		76.7
2014	51.8		53.1	76.3		76.3
2016	50.2		50.9	72.2		72.5

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.



Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.



Arithmetic

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

Table 7: % Children by grade and arithmetic level All children 2016						
Std	Not even	Recognize		Subtract	Divide	Total
	1-9	1-9	10-99			
I	24.0	41.2	25.0	7.1	2.8	100
П	8.1	31.1	32.0	16.4	12.5	100
	5.8	21.1	33.5	19.5	20.0	100
IV	6.7	18.4	33.4	18.7	22.8	100
V	3.2	13.0	36.0	18.8	29.0	100
VI	1.4	11.8	38.4	19.8	28.6	100
VII	1.4	8.6	41.5	18.2	30.3	100
VIII	0.6	7.1	39.5	21.2	31.7	100

Each row shows the variation in children's arithmetic levels within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 5.8% cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 21.1% can recognize numbers up to 9 but cannot recognize numbers up to 99 or higher, 33.5% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 19.5% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 20% can do division. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.


Table 8: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std III by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
Year	% Children in Std III who can do at least subtraction					
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*			
2010	45.1		46.3			
2012	25.1		28.2			
2014	33.0		36.2			
2016	35.4		40.0			
* * * * *		6	1.11.1			

In most states, children are expected to do 2-digit by 2-digit subtraction with borrowing by Std II. Table 8 shows the proportion of children in Std III who can do subtraction. This figure is a proxy for "grade level" arithmetic for Std III. Data for children enrolled in government schools and private schools is shown separately.

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children who can do division

Cohorts of children in Std IV in 2008, 2010 and 2012



This graph shows the progress of three cohorts from Std IV to Std VIII. For example, the first cohort was in Std IV in 2008, in Std VI in 2010, and in Std VIII in 2012. For this cohort: % children who were at division level in Std IV (in 2008) was 23.6%, and in Std VI (in 2010) was 50.7%. When the cohort reached Std VIII in 2012, this figure was 43.5%. The progress of each of these cohorts can be understood in the same way.

Arithmetic Tool




Table 9: Trends over time Arithmetic in Std V and Std VIII by school type 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016

Year	% Childre	n in Std V do division			en in Std n do divisio	
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*
2010	38.1		38.2	67.7		67.7
2012	28.7		29.2	43.0		43.5
2014	31.3		32.5	40.4		40.8
2016	28.5		29.5	32.1		32.2

* This is the weighted average for children in government and private schools only.





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Reading and comprehension in English

ASER assessments are conducted in the household. The type of school in which children are enrolled (government or private) is also recorded.

	Table 10: % Children by grade and reading level in English All children 2016						
Std	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sentences	Total	
1	38.2	18.2	24.7	15.2	3.7	100	
Ш	17.5	16.7	30.1	24.1	11.6	100	
111	17.7	14.5	22.6	26.6	18.7	100	
IV	14.9	14.3	28.4	24.2	18.2	100	
V	9.1	11.3	31.6	25.3	22.8	100	
VI	7.6	11.1	30.2	25.6	25.5	100	
VII	5.8	7.4	26.6	27.9	32.3	100	
VIII	3.5	6.7	26.6	25.9	37.3	100	

Each row shows the variation in children's reading levels in English within a given grade. For example, among children in Std III, 17.7% cannot even read capital letters, 14.5% can read capital letters but not small letters or higher, 22.6% can read small letters but not words or higher, 26.6% can read words but not sentences, and 18.7% can read sentences. For each grade, the total of these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 11: % Children	y grade who can comprehend Ei	nglish
All children 2016		

Std	Of those who can read words, % children who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % children who can tell meanings of the sentences
1	74.6	
Ш	69.0	
Ш	69.7	66.4
IV	66.6	63.7
V	61.8	54.6
VI	66.7	66.6
VII	62.5	75.5
VIII	70.4	74.3

English Tool							
B H R L V M P F	روژة الاردة معبة) z j o w g u s k						
्रेष्ण cow wet big hat man pen	राक् Where is your house? This is a <u>long road</u> . I <u>like</u> to <u>play</u> . She has a <u>green kite</u> .						



Type of school and paid additional tuition classes

ASER records information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.

Table 12: Trends over time % Children in Std I-V and Std VI-VIII by school type and tuition 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016								
Std	d Category 2010 2012 2014 2016							
	Govt. no tuition	31.7	30.2	29.2	28.1			
	Govt. + Tuition	61.4	60.4	58.4	60.3			
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	2.4	2.9	3.8	2.9			
	Pvt. + Tuition	4.6	6.5	8.6	8.8			
	Total	100	100	100	100			
	Govt. no tuition	20.1	18.3	22.1	20.1			
C(1) //) ////	Govt. + Tuition	78.5	79.6	76.2	77.6			
Std VI-VIII	Pvt. no tuition	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.6			
	Pvt. + Tuition	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.7			
	Total	100	100	100	100			

	ling that the child may have received.					
Table 13: Tuition expenditures by school type 2016						
Ctul	Type of school% Children in different tuition expenditure categories (in Rupees per month)Rs. 100 or lessRs. 101- 200Rs. 201- 300Rs. 301 or more					
Std						Total
Std I-V	Govt.	42.1	39.1	9.5	9.3	100
Std I-V	Pvt.	10.5	33.3	21.1	35.1	100
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	13.2	45.2	16.9	24.8	100
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.					

ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 17 OUT OF 18 DISTRICTS Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

Annual Status of Education Report

School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 14: Trends over time Number of schools visited 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016				
Type of school	2010	2012	2014	2016
Primary schools (Std I-IV/V)	406	405	443	426
Upper primary schools (Std I-VII/VIII)	2	3	13	3
Total schools visited	408	408	456	429

Table 15: Trends over time Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016							
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016			
% Enrolled children present (Average)	68.5	59.8	55.8	59.8			
% Teachers present (Average)	85.6	83.8	80.3	83.1			

Table 16: Trends over time Small schools and multigrade classes 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016							
All schools (Std I-IV/V and Std I-VII/VIII)	2010	2012	2014	2016			
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	10.1	15.7	23.3	22.0			
% Schools where Std II children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	42.4	38.9	47.1	44.2			
% Schools where Std IV children were observed sitting with one or more other classes	33.6	30.7	36.3	44.3			

School facilities

	Frends over time						
	with calented calend facilities	Table 17: Trends over time % Schools with selected school facilities					
	2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016						
	% Schools with 2010 2012 2014						
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	86.3	90.2	95.4	93.4		
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	63.4	59.7	66.7	66.7		
	No facility for drinking water	19.3	16.9	13.9	10.5		
Drinking	Facility but no drinking water available	13.5	11.2	7.7	10.1		
water	Drinking water available	67.2	71.9	78.4	79.4		
-	Total	100	100	100	100		
	No toilet facility	7.6	6.9	2.2	0.7		
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	40.3	34.3	27.0	20.3		
	Toilet useable	52.1	58.8	70.8	79.0		
-	Total	100	100	100	100		
	No separate provision for girls' toilet	44.5	33.5	30.8	17.1		
	Separate provision but locked	14.5	13.6	18.8	11.5		
Girls'	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	17.4	8.9	3.6	7.0		
	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	23.7	44.0	46.9	64.3		
•	Total	100	100	100	100		
	No library	50.5	35.3	33.7	40.5		
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	17.8	24.0	22.7	11.9		
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	31.8	40.7	43.6	47.5		
•	Total	100	100	100	100		
Electricity	Electricity connection				96.0		
	Of schools with electricity connection, % schools with electricity available on day of visit				89.1		
	No computer available for children to use	98.7	98.8	98.0	96.5		
Computer	Available but not being used by children on day of visit	0.8	1.0	0.4	3.1		
	Computer being used by children on day of visit	0.5	0.3	1.5	0.5		
-	Total	100	100	100	100		





Data is not presented where sample size is insufficient.

School funds and activities

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 18: Trends over time% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants - Full financial year							
Full financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant				
April 2010 to March 2011	72.1	62.4	77.8				
April 2011 to March 2012	79.3	68.8	86.0				
April 2013 to March 2014	78.4	49.4	35.3				
April 2015 to March 2016	75.1	47.0	20.3				

Table 19: Trends over time	
% Schools reporting receipt of SSA grants – Half financial year	

Half financial year	Maintenance grant	Development grant	TLM grant
April 2011 to date of survey (2011)	39.6	33.7	42.2
April 2012 to date of survey (2012)	47.3	38.9	53.5
April 2014 to date of survey (2014)	48.3	36.3	13.3
April 2016 to date of survey (2016)	32.3	21.7	10.7

Note for Tables 18 and 19: Grant information was not collected in ASER 2013.

Table 20: % Schools carrying out different activities					
Type of activity		April 2013 to date of survey (2014)	April 2015 to date of survey (2016)		
Construction	New classroom built	16.1	9.2		
	White wash/plastering	40.5	33.8		
Repair	Repair of drinking water facility	46.4	45.2		
	Repair of toilet	37.3	42.1		
	Mats, Tat patti etc.	29.6	29.4		
Purchase	Charts, globes or other teaching material	48.9	39.9		

Table 21: School Management Committee (SMC) in schools			
	2014	2016	
% Schools which reported having an SMC	33.2	50.4	
Of the schools that have SMC, % schools that had the last SMC meeting			
Before July	33.9	16.0	
Between July and September	65.4	74.9	
After September	0.8	9.1	

Every year schools in India receive three grants. These are the only funds over which schools have any expenditure discretion. Since 2009, ASER has been tracking whether and when this money reaches schools.

us of Education Rea

and when this money reaches schools.			
How much goes to each school?	For what purpose?		
School Maintenance Grant			
(Rs. 5,000 - Rs. 7,500) per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms (Rs. 7,500 - Rs. 10,000) per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms	Maintenance of school building, including whitewashing, bathrooms, hand pump repairs, building, boundary wall, playground etc.		
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.			
School Development Grant/School Facility Grant			
Rs. 5,000 per year per Primary School (Std I-IV/V) Rs. 7,000 per year per Upper Primary School (Std VI-VIII) Rs. 5,000 + Rs. 7,000 = Rs. 12,000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII	School equipment, such as blackboards, mats etc. Also to buy chalk, dusters, registers, and other office equipment.		
Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises.			
Teaching Learning Material (TLM) Grant			
Rs. 500 per teacher per year for teachers in Primary and Upper Primary schools	To buy teaching aids, such as charts, posters, models etc.		
Note: In 2014-15 & 2015-16, Government of India withdrew the TLM grant for most states. This was reinstated in 2016-17.			

