

ALL ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 15 OUT OF 16 DISTRICTS Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

School enrollment and out of school children

Table 1: % Children in different types of schools 2012

Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total
Age: 6-14 ALL	83.8	13.5	0.1	2.6	100
Age: 7-16 ALL	82.4	11.9	0.1	5.6	100
Age: 7-10 ALL	82.8	15.9	0.1	1.3	100
Age: 7-10 BOYS	80.4	18.1	0.0	1.5	100
Age: 7-10 GIRLS	85.2	13.6	0.1	1.2	100
Age: 11-14 ALL	86.0	9.9	0.1	4.1	100
Age: 11-14 BOYS	84.2	11.2	0.2	4.4	100
Age: 11-14 GIRLS	87.7	8.5	0.0	3.8	100
Age: 15-16 ALL	73.9	8.1	0.0	18.0	100
Age: 15-16 BOYS	73.0	9.1	0.1	17.8	100
Age: 15-16 GIRLS	74.7	7.3	0.0	18.1	100

Note: 'Other' includes children going to madarsa and EGS. 'Not in school' = dropped out + never enrolled.

Chart 2: Trends over time % Children enrolled in private schools by class 2008-2012





Chart 1: Trends over time
% Children out of school by age group and gender 2006-2012



How to read this chart: Each line shows trends in the proportion of children out of school for a particular subset of children. For example, the proportion of girls (age 11-14) not in school has changed from 13.6% in 2006 to 8.5% in 2007 to 8.7% in 2008, 4.9% in 2009 and to 3.2% in 2010 to 3.8% in 2012.

Table 2: Sample description % Children in each class by age 2012

	Std.	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	11 12 13 14 15 16					Total
	I	16.5	58.1	19.5			5.9					100		
		1.5	7.0	49.9	35.8				5.9					100
	Ш	1.	.2	9.7	44.3	35.5	7.3		2.0					100
	IV		1.3		7.6	38.3	44.9	7.9				100		
	V		1	.7		5.1	42.9	38.2	9.2		3.0			100
	VI			1.4			6.8	33.2	47.8	7.4		3.4		100
	VII			1	1.6			5.8 39.1 42.3 8.1 3.1				.1	100	
Ī	VIII				1.9			8.6 33.9 43.6 9.1 2.9				2.9	100	
	Laurence Co.	1.4		1 10	1.21			1.1	C. I.		-		111	,


How to read this table: If a child started school in Std I at age 6, she should be of age 8 in Std III. This table shows the age distribution for each class. For example, in Std III, 44.3% children are 8 years old but there also 9.7% who are 7, 35.5% who are 9, 7.3% who are 10 years old and 2.0% who are older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 who are enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2012

	In balwadi or	In LKG/		In School		Not in school	Total
	anganwadi	UKG	Govt.	Govt. Pvt. Other		or pre- school	.ota.
Age 3	82.3	3.6				14.1	100
Age 4	79.8	10.9			9.3	100	
Age 5	34.7	9.1	34.9	15.3	0.0	6.1	100
Age 6	5.4	2.7	69.4	18.9	0.0	3.6	100

Chart 3: Trends over time
% Children age 3, 4 and 5 not enrolled in school or pre-school
2006-2012*



^{*} Data for 2011 is not comparable and therefore excluded here.




Reading

Table 4: % Children by class and READING level All schools 2012

Std.	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Level 1 (Std I Text)	Level 2 (Std II Text)	Total
1	39.6	45.8	8.0	3.3	3.3	100
I	14.5	52.7	17.0	7.4	8.3	100
III	6.9	33.9	21.7	17.7	19.9	100
IV	3.5	22.7	19.6	21.3	33.0	100
V	3.0	13.6	15.4	21.8	46.1	100
VI	1.1	10.0	10.8	18.1	60.0	100
VII	1.3	6.1	6.7	13.9	72.1	100
VIII	0.8	4.7	5.3	11.7	77.5	100
Total	8.8	23.7	13.2	14.5	39.9	100

How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in reading achieved by a child. For example, in Std III, 6.9% children cannot even read letters, 33.9% can read letters but not more, 21.7% can read words but not Std I text or higher, 17.7% can read Std I text but not Std I level text, and 19.9% can read Std II level text. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Chart 4: Trends over time % Children in Std III who CAN READ Std I level text By school type 2009-2012




Reading Tool

विमला और अजय मेला देखने गये। उन्हें मेले में तरह-तरह की दुकाने दिखीं। मेले में बहुत झूले थे। वहाँ गरम-गरम हलवा और जलेबियाँ भी बिक रहीं थीं। जलेबी देखकर दोनों के मुँह में पानी आने लगा। उन्हें जलेबी खाने का मन हुआ। विमला ने जलेबी खरीदी। दोनों दोस्तों ने मिलकर जलेबी खाई। शाम को दोनों घर लौट आये।



Chart 5: Trends over time % Children in Std V who CAN READ Std II level text By school type 2009-2012



Reading in English

Table 5: % Children by class and READING level in ENGLISH All schools 2012

ENGL	ENGLISH All SCHOOLS 2012								
Std.	Not even capital letters	Capital letters	Small letters	Simple words	Easy sen- tences	Total			
1	59.1	23.4	12.6	3.1	1.9	100			
II	39.7	33.7	20.0	4.4	2.3	100			
III	25.2	34.2	30.5	8.0	2.1	100			
IV	20.0	28.1	36.3	11.5	4.1	100			
V	14.3	23.6	35.9	19.1	7.2	100			
VI	8.9	17.7	34.3	24.7	14.4	100			
VII	6.2	13.7	32.3	26.1	21.7	100			
VIII	4.5	11.4	28.9	24.0	31.2	100			
Total	22.2	23.3	28.9	15.1	10.5	100			

Table 6: % Children by class who CAN COMPREHEND ENGLISH All schools 2012

Std.	Of those who can read words, % who can tell meanings of the words	Of those who can read sentences, % who can tell meanings of the sentences
T		
II		
III	Datains	sicient)
IV	ins	Juffre
V	Data !!	
VI		
VII		
VIII		
Total		

English Tool

C	K	S	n	p	g
Q	n)	F	v	7	e
W	o	Z	j	r	b
Ask the child to read		must be correct.	Ask the child to re-		must be correct
day	sit	old	This is a		
run		rat	I like to	sing.	
	bag		She ha	s a red	dress.

88 | ASER 2012



Arithmetic

Table 7: % Children by class and ARITHMETIC level All schools 2012

Std.		Recognize	numbers		Can divide	Total
Jiu.	1-9	1-9	10-99	subtract	Carr divide	iotai
1	38.2	48.7	10.3	2.4	0.5	100
II	11.5	59.3	24.7	3.8	0.7	100
III	4.4	44.7	36.3	12.0	2.6	100
IV	2.7	31.6	39.3	20.2	6.2	100
V	1.7	22.9	36.7	24.6	14.1	100
VI	0.4	14.9	36.6	28.3	19.9	100
VII	0.7	9.3	33.8	33.3	22.9	100
VIII	0.7	6.1	30.9	30.9	31.4	100
Total	7.5	29.8	31.2	19.4	12.2	100

How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in arithmetic achieved by a child. For example, in Std 3, 4.4% children cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 44.7% can recognize numbers up to 9 but not more, 36.3% can recognize numbers to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 12.0% can do subtraction but not division, and 2.6% can do division. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Chart 6: Trends over time % Children in Std III who CAN DO SUBTRACTION or more By school type 2009-2012





Math Tool





Chart 7: Trends over time % Children in Std V who CAN DO DIVISION By school type 2009-2012





ASER 2012 89



Type of school and paid tuition classes

The ASER survey recorded information about tuition by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that children may have received.

Table 8: Trends over time		
% Children attending paid	tuition	classes
By school type 2009-2012		

by school type 2005 2012				
Children in Std I-VIII	2009	2010	2011	2012
Govt. schools: % Children attending paid tuition classes	3.1	1.7	1.2	1.5
Private schools: % Children attending paid tuition classes	12.8	9.9	8.5	10.6
All schools: % Children attending paid tuition classes	4.0	2.5	2.0	2.7

Table 9: Trends over time % Children by school type and tuition 2009-2012

Year	Cá	ategory	Std II	Std V	Std VIII	Std I-VIII
	Court	No tuition	85.2	89.4	89.7	87.7
	Govt.	Tuition	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.8
2009	Pvt.	No tuition	11.0	6.7	5.9	8.3
	rvt.	Tuition	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.2
	Total		100	100	100	100
	C = ±	No tuition	87.3	90.7	89.0	88.5
	Govt.	Tuition	1.3	1.8	2.4	1.5
2010	Pvt.	No tuition	10.1	6.8	7.7	8.9
	rvi.	Tuition	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.0
	Total		100	100	100	100
	C	No tuition	82.4	89.4	89.0	87.0
	Govt.	Tuition	0.4	1.8	1.4	1.0
2011	D. /+	No tuition	15.9	7.4	8.6	10.9
	Pvt.	Tuition	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.0
	Total		100	100	100	100
	C = ±	No tuition	81.6	87.9	89.2	84.8
	Govt.	Tuition	0.9	1.5	1.3	1.3
2012	Pvt.	No tuition	15.7	9.3	9.4	12.4
	rvl.	Tuition	1.9	1.3	0.2	1.5
	Total		100	100	100	100

Chart 9: Trends over time % Children in Std III-V who CAN READ a Std I level text or more By school type and tuition 2009-2012








Chart 8: Trends over time % Children in Std I-VIII by school type and tuition 2009-2012



How to read this chart: This chart is a visual representation of the last column of Table 9. For a given year, the width of each colour band represents the % of children in the corresponding category. For each year, these four categories add upto 100%.

Chart 10: Trends over time % Children in Std III-V who CAN DO SUBTRACTION or more By school type and tuition 2009-2012



90 I ASER 2012



School observations

In each year's ASER, from 2009 onwards, in each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections was visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 10: Number of schools visited 2009-2012

Type of school	2009	2010	2011	2012
Std I-IV/V: Primary	336	301	351	388
Std I-VII/VIII: Primary + Upper primary	25	124	41	42
Total schools visited	361	425	392	430

Table 11: Student and teacher attendance on the day of the visit 2009-2012

Type of school			I-IV/V		Std I-VII/VIII				
Type of school	2009	2010	2011	2012	2009	2010	2011	2012	
% Enrolled children present (Average)	76.5	69.7	73.1	75.1	77.0	72.5	78.1	75.9	
% Teachers present (Average)	82.4	86.6	84.5	84.0	70.5	86.5	82.9	89.1	

Table 12: Small schools and multigrade classes 2009-2012

School characteristics		Std	I-IV/V		Std I-VII/VIII			
School Characteristics	2009	2010	2011	2012	2009	2010	2011	2012
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	19.3	22.5	28.9	32.0	0.0	0.8	7.5	4.8
% Schools where Std II children observed sitting with one or more other classes	62.9	66.6	75.3	76.1	60.0	60.3	82.1	73.8
% Schools where Std IV children observed sitting with one or more other classes	48.6	56.1	62.9	55.2	52.4	38.9	65.8	45.2

Note: In Chhattisgarh, the official policy in govt. schools is to have mixed groups in std. I-II.

RTE indicators

Table 13: Schools meeting selected RTE norms 2010-2012

% Schools meet	2010	2011	2012		
Pupil-teacher & classroom-	Pupil-teacher ratio	39.6	51.3	48.3	
teacher norms					
	Office/store/office cum store	79.0	76.0	80.9	
Building	Playground	45.0	46.3	49.2	
	Boundary wall/fencing	48.8	48.7	50.5	
	No facility for drinking water	12.9	13.0	9.8	
Drinking water	Facility but no drinking water available	9.6	13.8	11.0	
	Drinking water available	77.6	73.3	79.2	
	No toilet facility	28.9	34.7	15.9	
Toilet	Facility but toilet not useable	41.5	38.5	32.7	
	Toilet useable	29.6	26.8	51.4	
	% Schools with no separate provisions for girls toilets	46.2	51.8	34.7	
	Of schools with separate girls toilets, % schools with				
Girls toilet	Toilet locked	16.3	11.5	8.4	
	Toilet not useable	17.5	16.0	15.3	
	Toilet useable	20.0	20.7	41.6	
	No library	27.1	21.3	11.7	
Library	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	36.5	40.3	55.4	
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	36.5	38.4	32.9	
Mid-day meal	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	86.1	86.8	89.0	
a day medi	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	94.6	93.9	91.8	



The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 specifies a series of norms and standards for a school.

Norms for number of teachers vary according to the level of the school (primary or upper primary) and total student enrollment.

Norms for classrooms require the school to have at least one classroom for every teacher.

Norms for facilities require schools to provide each of the facilities mentioned in Table 13, among others.

RTE norms regulate provision of facilities but not their useability. ASER school observations also include whether facilities could be used. This information is included in Table 13.

ASER 2012 91



School funds and activities (PAISA)

Table 14: % Schools that report receiving SSA grants - Full financial year

SSA school		pril 20 March				pril 20 1arch				pril 20 ⁄larch		
	No.	%	Scho	ols	No.	%	Scho	ols	No.	%	Schools No Don't know	
grants	of Sch.	Yes	No	Don't know	of Sch.	Yes	LINO	Don't know	of Sch.	Yes	INO	
Maintenance grant	373	85.5	6.7	7.8	379	85.5	7.1	7.4	426	93.2	3.5	3.3
Development grant	360	83.3	8.1	8.6	379	81.8	10.6	7.7	424	90.6	5.0	4.5
TLM grant	355	88.2	6.2	5.6	380	90.5	4.7	4.7	424	93.9	3.1	3.1

The PAISA section of ASER tracks receipt and spending of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) grants at the school level. This information is collected from schools visited during the survey. This page reports proportion of schools receiving the grants and carrying out specified activities in the schools. More detailed analysis of the PAISA data will be available in the PAISA 2012 report which will be released in March 2013.¹

Table 15: % Schools that report receiving SSA grants - Half financial year

SSA school		2010 urvey				2011 ırvey (2012 urvey		ols Don't				
	No.	%	Scho	ols	No.	% Schools		% Schools		% Schools				%	Scho	ols
grants	of Sch.	Yes	LIVO	Don't know	of Sch.	Yes	111()	Don't know	of Sch.	Yes	No	Don't know				
Maintenance grant	323	31.0	55.7	13.3	364	34.9	54.1	11.0	424	65.8	30.7	3.5				
Development grant	313	29.4	57.2	13.4	364	40.4	47.8	11.8	423	63.1	32.6	4.3				
TLM grant	311	32.8	55.6	11.6	364	39.0	51.7	9.3	423	64.5	32.4	3.1				

Table 16: % Schools carrying out different activities since April 2011

	% Schools				
	Type of Activity	Yes	No	Don't know	
Const.	New Classroom	14.5	83.0	2.5	
	Repair of building (roof, floor, wall etc.)	59.2	38.4	2.4	
	Repair of doors & windows	49.8	48.1	2.1	
Repairs	Repair of boundary wall	23.1	74.8	2.2	
	Repair of drinking water facility	36.3	61.3	2.4	
	Repair of toilet	23.9	73.9	2.2	
Painting	Painting White wash/plastering		9.0	1.2	
& white-	Painting blackboard/Display board/Painting on wall	87.0	11.6	1.4	
wash	Painting of doors & walls	80.7	18.2	1.2	
	Purchase of furniture (cupboard etc.)	48.8	49.3	1.9	
	Purchase of electrical fittings	43.0	54.4	2.6	
Purchase	Purchase of chalk, duster, register etc.	94.6	4.0	1.4	
	Purchase of sitting mats/Tat patti	65.2	33.6	1.2	
	Purchase of charts, globes & other teaching material	86.8	12.0	1.2	
Other	Expenditure on school events	82.3	16.5	1.2	
Other	Payment of bills (electricity, water, cleaning etc.)	35.7	61.3	3.0	

EVERY RURAL GOVERNMENT PRIMARY/UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL IS ENTITLED TO EACH OF THESE SSA GRANTS EVERY YEAR.

How much goes to	Fo
each school	10

For what purposes

SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT GRANT / SCHOOL GRANT

Rs.5000 per year per primary school	This grant can be used for buying school equipment
primary scrioor	such as blackboard sitting

Rs.7000 per year per upper primary school

buying school equipment such as blackboard, sitting mats etc. Also for buying chalk, duster, registers and other office equipment.

Rs 5000 + Rs 7000 =Rs 12000 if the school is Std I-VII/VIII.

Note: Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same premises. The grant amount varies by type of school: whether it is a primary or upper primary school.

SCHOOL MAINTENANCE GRANT

Rs.5000 - Rs 7500 per school per year if the school has upto 3 classrooms.

This grant can be used for maintenance of school building, including whitewashing;

Rs 7500 - Rs.10000 per year if the school has more than 3 classrooms.

whitewashing; beautification; and repair of toilets, hand pump, boundary wall, playground

Primary and Upper Primary schools are treated as separate schools even if they are in the same building.

The grant amount depends on number of classrooms (excluding Headmaster room and office room)

TLM GRANT

Rs.500 per teacher per year in primary and upper primary schools.

This grant can be used by teachers to buy teaching aids, such as charts, globes, posters, models etc.

92 | ASER 2012

¹ For more information see www.accountabilityindia.in